

## SOME FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN COMPLETE SEMI-METRIC SPACES

J. S. UME, J. K. KIM AND Y. J. CHO

Received September 8, 1997; revised November 18, 1997

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give some fixed point theorems in complete semi-metric spaces by using the concept of  $w$ -distance. Our results generalize some well-known fixed point theorems.

**I. Introduction.** Recently, Kada-Suzuki-Takahashi [3] introduced the concept of  $w$ -distance and, by using this concept, proved a nonconvex minimization theorems and some fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces.

In this paper, we also prove some fixed point theorems in complete semi-metric spaces by using the concept of  $w$ -distance. Our results generalize some fixed point theorems of authors ([1], [2], [4]).

**II. Fixed Point Theorems in Semi-Metric Spaces.** First, we introduce some definitions, examples and lemmas for our main theorems. Throughout this paper, let  $X$  be a semi-metric space with a semi-metric  $d$ , which is denoted by  $(X, d)$ , i.e.,  $d(x, y) = d(y, x) \geq 0$ ,  $d(x, x) = 0$  and  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

**Definition 2.1.** [13] Let  $(X, d)$  be a semi-metric space. A function  $p : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a  $w$ -semi-distance on  $X$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (wsd-1)  $p(x, z) \leq p(x, y) + p(y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,
- (wsd-2) For all  $x \in X$ ,  $p(x, \cdot) : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is lower semicontinuous,
- (wsd-3) For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $p(z, x) \leq \delta$  and  $p(z, y) \leq \delta$  imply that  $d(x, y) \leq \epsilon$ .

Some examples and properties of  $w$ -distances are given in [3].

**Definition 2.2.** A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a semi-metric space  $(X, d)$  is said to be *convergent* if there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$ . We write  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a semi-metric space  $(X, d)$  is called a *Cauchy sequence* if  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_m) = 0$ .

---

(\*) Supported by NON-DIRECTED RESEARCH FUND, Korean Research Foundation, 1997, and the by the Academic Research Fund of Ministry of Education, Korea, 1997, Project No. BSRI-97-1405.

**Key Words:** Semi-metric space,  $w$ -semi-distance, fixed point.

**1991 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 54H25, 47H10.

**Definition 2.4.** A semi-metric space  $(X, d)$  is said to be *complete* if every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  converges to a point in  $X$ .

Let  $(X, d)$  be a semi-metric space,  $p$  be a  $w$ -semi-continuous on  $X$  and  $T$  be a mapping of  $X$  into itself. For  $A \subset X$ , denote

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_d(A) &= \sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in A\}, \\ \delta_p(A) &= \sup\{p(x, y) : x, y \in A\}\end{aligned}$$

and, for each  $x \in X$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$O(x, n) = \{x, Tx, T^2x, \dots, T^n x\},$$

$$O(x, \infty) = \{x, Tx, T^2x, \dots\}.$$

We need some lemmas for our main Theorems:

**Lemma 2.1.** [3] *Let  $(X, d)$  be a semi-metric space and  $p$  be a  $w$ -semi-distance on  $X$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  and  $\{\alpha_n\}$  be a sequence in  $[0, \infty)$  converging to 0. If  $p(x_n, x_m) \leq \alpha_n$  for any  $n, m \in N$  with  $m > n$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. From the definition of a  $w$ -semi-distance, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $p(x, y) \leq \delta$  and  $p(x, z) \leq \delta$  for  $x, y, z \in X$  imply  $d(y, z) \leq \epsilon$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  and  $p(x_n, x_m) \leq \alpha_n$  for any  $m, n \in N$  with  $n < m$ , there exists  $n_0 \in N$  such that

$$p(x_n, x_m) \leq \alpha_n < \delta$$

for  $m > n \geq n_0$ . Thus, for  $m, n \geq 1 + n_0$ ,

$$p(x_{n_0}, x_n) \leq \alpha_{n_0} < \delta, \quad p(x_{n_0}, x_m) \leq \alpha_{n_0} < \delta$$

and so, by (wsd-3),

$$d(x_n, x_m) \leq \epsilon,$$

which implies that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . This completes the proof.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a semi-metric space and  $p$  be a  $w$ -semi-continuous on  $X$ . Let  $E$  be a nonempty closed subset of  $X$  and  $T : E \rightarrow E$  be a mapping such that*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned}p(Tx, Ty) &\leq a_1 p(x, y) + a_2 p(x, Tx) + a_3 p(y, Ty) \\ &\quad + a_4 p(x, Ty) + a_5 p(y, Tx)\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in E$ , where  $a_i > 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$  and  $r = \sum_{i=1}^5 a_i < 1$ . Then we have the following:

- (1) For each  $x \in X$ ,  $n \in N$  and  $i, j \in N$  with  $i, j \leq n$ ,

$$p(T^i x, T^j x) \leq r \delta_p(O(x, n)),$$

- (2) For each  $x \in E$  and  $n \in N$ , there exist  $k, l \in N$  with  $k, l \leq n$  such that

$$\delta_p(O(x, n)) = \max\{p(x, x), p(x, T^k x), p(T^l x, x)\},$$

(3) For each  $x \in E$ ,

$$\delta_p(O(x, \infty)) \leq \frac{1}{1-r} \{p(x, x) + p(x, Tx) + p(Tx, x)\},$$

(4) For each  $x \in E$ ,  $\{T^n x\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $E$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $x \in E$ ,  $n \in N$  and  $i, j \in N$  with  $i, j \leq n$ . Then  $T^{i-1}x, T^i x, T^{j-1}x, T^j x \in O(x, n)$ , where  $T^0 x = x$ . From (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(T^i x, T^j x) &= p(TT^{i-1}x, TT^{j-1}x) \\ &\leq a_1 p(T^{i-1}x, T^{j-1}x) + a_2 p(T^{i-1}x, T^i x) + a_3 p(T^{j-1}x, T^j x) \\ &\quad + a_4 p(T^{i-1}x, T^j x) + a_5 p(T^{j-1}x, T^i x) \\ &\leq r \delta_p(O(x, n)) \end{aligned}$$

and so (1) is proved.

(2) From (1), it follows that, for each  $x \in E$  and  $n \in N$ , there exist  $k, l \in N$  with  $k, l \leq n$  such that

$$\delta_p(O(x, n)) = \max\{p(x, x), p(x, T^k x), p(T^l x, x)\},$$

which proves (2).

(3) Applying the condition (wsd-1), we have

$$p(x, T^k x) \leq p(x, Tx) + p(Tx, T^k x) \leq p(x, Tx) + r \delta_p(O(x, n))$$

and

$$p(T^l x, Tx) \leq p(T^l x, Tx) + p(Tx, x) \leq r \delta_p(O(x, n)) + p(Tx, x).$$

Thus we have

$$\delta_p(O(x, n)) \leq \frac{1}{1-r} \{p(x, x) + p(x, Tx) + p(Tx, x)\}.$$

Since  $n$  is arbitrary, the proof of (3) is completed.

(4) Let  $x \in E$  and define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $E$  by  $x_{n+1} = T^{n+1}x$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then, by (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad p(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= p(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq a_1 p(x_{n-1}, x_n) + a_2 p(x_{n-1}, x_n) + a_3 p(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + a_4 p(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + a_5 p(x_n, x_n) \\ &\leq r \max\{p(x_{n-1}, x_n), p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}), p(x_n, x_n)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad p(x_{n-1}, x_n) &= p(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 p(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + a_2 p(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + a_3 p(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\quad + a_4 p(x_{n-2}, x_n) + a_5 p(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) \\ &\leq r \max\{p(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}), p(x_{n-1}, x_n), p(x_{n-2}, x_n), p(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1})\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (2.4) \quad p(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) &= p(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq a_1 p(x_{n-2}, x_n) + a_2 p(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + a_3 p(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + a_4 p(x_{n-2}, x_{n+1}) + a_5 p(x_n, x_{n-1}) \\ &\leq r \max\{p(x_{n-2}, x_n), p(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}), \\ &\quad p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n-2}, x_{n+1}), p(x_n, x_{n-1})\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5) \quad p(x_n, x_n) &= p(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) \\
&\leq a_1 p(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) + a_2 p(x_{n-1}, x_n) + a_3 p(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\
&\quad + a_4 p(x_{n-1}, x_n) + a_5 p(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\
&\leq r \max\{p(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), p(x_{n-1}, x_n)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (2.2)~(2.5), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad p(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq r^2 \max\{p(x_i, x_j) : n-2 \leq i \leq n, n-1 \leq j \leq n+1\} \\
&\leq r^3 \max\{p(x_i, x_j) : n-3 \leq i \leq n, n-2 \leq j \leq n+1\} \\
&\quad \dots \\
&\leq r^{n-1} \max\{p(x_i, x_j) : 1 \leq i \leq n, 2 \leq j \leq n+1\} \\
&\leq \frac{r^n}{1-r} a(x),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $a(x) = p(x, x) + p(x, Tx) + p(Tx, x)$ . If  $n < m$ , then, by (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7) \quad p(x_n, x_m) &\leq p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + p(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{m-n-1} p(x_{n+k}, x_{n+k+1}) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{m-n-1} \frac{r^{n+k}}{1-r} a(x) \\
&= \frac{r^n}{1-r} a(x) \sum_{k=0}^{m-n-1} r^k \\
&\leq \frac{r^n}{(1-r)^2} a(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.1,  $\{T^n x\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $E$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete semi-metric space and  $p$  be a  $w$ -semi-continuous on  $X$ . Let  $E$  be a nonempty closed subset of  $X$  and  $T : E \rightarrow E$  be a mapping satisfying the conditions (2.1) and (2.8): For all  $y \in E$  with  $y \neq Ty$ ,*

$$(2.8) \quad \inf\{p(x, y) + p(x, Tx) : x \in E\} > 0.$$

Then we have the following:

- (1)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = z$ ,
- (2)  $p(T^n x, y) \leq \frac{r^n}{(1-r)^2} a(x)$ , where  $a(x) = p(x, x) + p(x, Tx) + p(Tx, x)$ ,
- (3)  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $z$  in  $E$ ,

*Proof.* (1) Let  $x \in E$  and define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $E$  by  $x_{n+1} = T^{n+1}x$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then, by Lemma 2.2,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $E$ . Since  $E$  is complete, the Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to a point  $z \in X$ , i.e.,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = z$ .

- (2) From (2.6), we have

$$(2.9) \quad p(x_n, z) \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) \leq \frac{r^n}{(1-r)^2} a(x)$$

and so (2) is proved.

(3) Assume that  $z \neq Tz$  for all  $z \in E$ . By the hypothesis, since

$$\inf\{p(x, z) + p(x, Tx) : x \in E\} > 0,$$

from (2.6) and (2.9), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \inf\{p(x, z) + p(x, Tx) : x \in E\} \\ &\leq \inf\{p(x_n, z) + p(x_n, Tx_n) : n \in N\} \\ &\leq \inf\left\{\frac{r^n}{1-r}a(x) + \frac{r^n}{(1-r)^2}a(x) : n \in N\right\} \\ &\leq \frac{2-r}{(1-r)^2} \inf\{r^n : n \in N\} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $T$  has a fixed point  $z$  in  $E$ . The uniqueness of the fixed point  $z$  follows from the condition (2.1). This completes the proof.

If we put  $p = d$  and  $E = X$  in Theorem 2.3, we have the following:

**Corollary 2.4.** [2] *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T$  be a mapping of  $X$  into itself. If there exist nonnegative real numbers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_5$  with  $r = \sum_{i=1}^5 a_i < 1$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) &\leq a_1d(x, y) + a_2d(x, Tx) + a_3d(y, Ty) \\ &\quad + a_4d(x, Ty) + a_5d(y, Tx) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. L. J. Ćirić, *A generalization of Banach's contraction principle*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **45** (1974), 267–273.
2. G. E. Hardy and T. D. Rogers, *A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Reich*, Canad. Math. Bull. **16** (1973), 201–206.
3. O. Kada, T. Suzuki and W. Takahashi, *Nonconvex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces*, Math. Japonica **44** (1996), 381–391.
4. R. Kannan, *Some results on fixed points II*, Amer. Math. Monthly **76** (1969), 405–408.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHANGWON NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGWON 641-773, KOREA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYUNGNAM UNIVERSITY, MASAN 631-701, KOREA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GYEONGSANG NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, CHINJU 660-701, KOREA

*E-mail:* jsume@sarim.changwon.ac.kr; jongkyuk@hanma.kyungnam.ac.kr; yjcho@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr