

AMENABILITY AND REPRESENTATION OF LOCALLY COMPACT GROUPS

ABDOLHAMID RIAZI

Received February 7, 1994; revised September 28, 1996

ABSTRACT. Let G be a locally compact topological amenable group and $\{\pi, H\}$ a representation of $M(G)$, then

$$\text{Inf } \{\|\pi(\mu)x\| : \mu \in M(G)\} = \text{dis}(x, K_{H,\pi}) \text{ for all } x \in H$$

where $K_{H,\pi}$ is the linear span of $\{y - \pi(\mu)y : y \in H, \mu \in M_0(G)\}$ and $\text{dis}(x, K_{H,\pi})$ is the distance of x from $K_{H,\pi}$. If G is a nontrivial compact group then the regular representation is reducible, also $P : L^2(G) \rightarrow \overline{K_{\pi,H}}$ the orthogonal projection of $L^2(G)$ onto $\overline{K_{H,\pi}}$ commutes with convolution, that is $P(\mu * f) = \mu * Pf$ for all $\mu \in M(G)$ and all f in $L^2(G)$.

§1 Definitions and Notations. Let G be a locally compact group and $M(G)$ be the Banach algebra of all bounded regular Borel measures on G with variation norm and convolution as multiplication and $M_0(G)$ the set of probability measures in $M(G)$ ($\mu \in M_0(G)$ is and only if $\mu \in M(G), \mu \geq 0$ and $\|\mu\| = 1$). Let $M(G)^*$ be the continuous dual of $M(G)$ and 1 the linear functional in $M(G)^*$ such that $1(\mu) = \mu(G)$, for all μ in $M(G)$. A linear functional M in $M(G)^{**}$, is called a mean on $M(G)^*$ if

$$M(1) = \|M\| = 1.$$

For each μ in $M(G)$ define $\mu \circ F : M(G)^* \rightarrow M(G)^*$ by

$$(\mu \circ F)(\nu) = F(\mu * \nu) \text{ for all } \nu \in M(G), F \in M(G)^*.$$

A mean M is called topological left invariant on $M(G)^*$ if $M(\mu \circ F) = M(F)$, for all $F \in M(G)^*$ and $\mu \in M_0(G)$. $F \circ \mu$ and topological right invariant means on $M(G)^*$ are defined in a similar way (see [5]). G is said to be topological left (right) amenable if there is a topological left (right) invariant mean on $M(G)^*$. G is called topological amenable if there is a topological invariant (both topological left and topological right invariant) mean on $M(G)^*$. It is easy to show that G is topological left amenable if and only if G is topological right amenable if and only if G is topological amenable.

Let H be a Hilbert space and $\{\pi, H\}$ be a representation of $M(G)$ (see [3] for definition of representation). It is known that π is continuous, in fact $\|\pi(\mu)\| \leq \|\mu\|$ for all $\mu \in M(G)$ (see [3]). A subspace K of H is said to be invariant under π if $\pi(\mu)K \subseteq K$ for all $\mu \in M(G)$. π is called irreducible if $\{0\}$ and H are the only closed invariant subspaces of H . We say that π is faithful if π is one-to-one. Let $H = L^2(G)$ and consider $\pi : M(G) \rightarrow B(L^2(G))$

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). 43A07.

Key words and phrases. Amenable group, Representation, Invariant subspace.

defined by $\pi(\mu)f = \mu * f$, where $(\mu * f)(x) = \int f(y^{-1}x)d\mu(y)$, then π is called regular representation of $M(G)$. The regular representation is faithful [3].

In this paper G denotes a locally compact topological group.

§2 Main results. Let $\{\pi, H\}$ be a representation of $M(G)$ and $K_{H,\pi}$ be the linear span of $\{y - \pi(\mu)y : y \in H, \mu \in M_0(G)\}$. For $x \in H$, let $\text{dis}(x, K_{H,\pi})$ denote the distance of x from $K_{H,\pi}$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be a topological amenable group, then*

$$\text{Inf}\{\|\pi(\mu)x\| : \mu \in M_0(G)\} = \text{dis}(x, K_{H,\pi}) \quad \text{for all } x \in H.$$

Proof. Let $\{\mu_\alpha\}$ be a net in $M_0(G)$ converging to topological right invariance in norm. That is, $\|\mu_\alpha * \mu - \mu_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0$ for any $\mu \in M_0(G)$ (see [4, Theorem 3.1]). For any $y \in H$ and $\mu \in M_0(G)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(\mu_\alpha)(y - \pi(\mu)y)\| &= \|\pi(\mu_\alpha)y - \pi(\mu_\alpha * \mu)y\| \\ &= \|\pi(\mu_\alpha - \mu_\alpha * \mu)y\| \\ &\leq \|\mu_\alpha - \mu_\alpha * \mu\| \|y\| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

hence, by linearity of $\pi(\mu_\alpha)$ we conclude that $\|\pi(\mu_\alpha)z\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $z \in K_{H,\mu}$.

Now given $\epsilon > 0$ there is $z \in K_{H,\pi}$ such that $\|x + z\| < \text{dis}(x, K_{H,\pi}) + \epsilon$ and since $\|\pi(\mu_\alpha)z\| \rightarrow 0$, there is α_0 such that $\|\pi(\mu_{\alpha_0})z\| < \epsilon$. hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(\mu_{\alpha_0})x\| &\leq \|\pi(\mu_{\alpha_0})(x + z)\| + \|\pi(\mu_{\alpha_0})z\| \\ &\leq \|x + z\| + \epsilon < \text{dis}(x, K_{H,\pi}) + 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\text{Inf}\{\|\pi(\mu)x\| : \mu \in M_0(G)\} \leq \text{dis}(x, K_{H,\pi})$. Clearly $\{\pi(\mu)x : \mu \in M_0(G)\} \subseteq x + K_{H,\pi}$ for all $x \in H$, thus $\text{Inf}\{\|\pi(\mu)x\| : \mu \in M_0(G)\} \geq \text{dis}(0, x + K_{H,\pi}) = \text{dis}(x, K_{H,\pi})$ hence the result follows.

Remark. For antirepresentation of discrete semigroups, Theorem 2.1 can be found in Glicksberg [2, p.99 – 104]. The proof here is different but analogue to that in Granirer [1] who considers antirepresentation of the semigroup S instead of the measure algebra.

Definition 2.2. Let $N(H) = \{x \in H : \text{Inf}\{\|\pi(\mu)x\| : \mu \in M_0(G)\} = 0\}$. When $H = L^2(G)$ we denote $N(H)$ by $N(G)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose G is a nontrivial topological amenable group if $\pi : M(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is a faithful representation, then $N(H) = H$ or π is reducible.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.1 $N(H) = \bar{K}_{H,\pi}$. Now we show that $N(H)$ is an invariant subspace of H .

For each $\nu \in M(G)$ there are $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0$ and $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in M_0(G)$ such that $\nu = \alpha_1\nu_1 - \alpha_2\nu_2$. Let $x \in H$ and $\mu \in M_0(G)$, then

$$\pi(\nu_1)(\pi(\mu)x - x) = \pi(\nu_1 * \mu)x - x + x - \pi(\nu_1)x \in K_{H,\pi},$$

and since π is linear we therefore conclude that $\pi(\nu)K_{H,\pi} \subseteq K_{H,\pi}$ for all $\nu \in M(G)$, that is $K_{H,\pi}$ is an invariant subspace of H . So by continuity of $\pi, N(H) = \bar{K}_{H,\pi}$ is also an invariant subspace of H .

Since G is nontrivial group and π is faithful $N(H) \neq \{0\}$. In fact let $\mu \in M_0(G)$ be such that $\mu \neq \epsilon_e$ (ϵ_e is the Dirac measure at the identity of G). Without loss of generality we may assume that $\pi(\epsilon_e) = I$ the identity operator of $B(H)$, (see [3]) so $\pi(\mu) \neq I$, that is there is $x \in X$ such that $\pi(\mu)x \neq x$ or $\pi(\mu)x - x \neq 0$, so $0 \neq \pi(\mu)x - x \in K_{H,\pi} \subseteq N(H)$. If $N(H) \neq H$ then $N(H)$ is a nontrivial closed invariant subspace of H , so π is reducible.

Corollary 2.4. *Let G be a nontrivial topological amenable group and $\pi : M(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ be a faithful irreducible representation of $M(G)$, then $K_{H,\pi}$ is dense in H .*

Corollary 2.5. *If G is a compact group, then the regular representation is reducible.*

Proof. If G is trivial then $M(G)$ is the linear span of ϵ_e and using the fact that $\pi(\epsilon_e) = I$ it is easy to show that any nontrivial closed subspace of H is invariant under π , so π is reducible. So without loss of generality we may assume that G is nontrivial. If we show that $N(G) \neq L^2(G)$ then by Theorem 2.3, π is reducible to end this, we notice that since G is compact $1 \in L^2(G)$, but $1 \notin N(G)$ because $\text{Inf}\{\|\mu * 1\| : \mu \in M_0(G)\} = \sqrt{\lambda(G)} > 0$, where λ is a left Haar measure on G .

Corollary 2.6. *Let G be a compact group and $P : L^2(G) \rightarrow N(G)$ be the orthogonal projection of $L^2(G)$ onto $N(G)$. Then $P(\mu * f) = \mu * Pf$ for all $\mu \in M(G)$ and $f \in L^2(G)$.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.5, regular representation is reducible, hence by [3], $P \in (\pi(M(G)))'$ that is $P\pi(\mu) = \pi(\mu)P$ for all $\mu \in M(G)$. Hence

$$P\pi(\mu f) = \pi(\mu)Pf \quad \text{for all } \mu \in M(G) \quad \text{and } f \in L^2(G)$$

$$\text{so } P(\mu * f) = \mu * Pf \quad \text{for all } \mu \in M(G) \quad \text{and } f \in L^2(G).$$

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank the Department of Mathematics University of Alberta for providing facilities during my sabbatical year. Thanks also to Prof. A. T. Lau for his encouragements.

REFERENCES

1. E. Granier, *Functional analytic properties of extremely amenable semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (1967), 53–75.
2. I. Glicksberg, *On convex hulls of translate*, Pacific J. Math. **13** (1963), 97–113.
3. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Hidelberg, New York, 1987.
4. J. C. S. Wong, *An ergodic property of locally compact amenable semigroups*, Pacific J. Math. **48** (1973), 615–619.
5. J. C. S. Wong and A. Riazi, *Characterisations of amenable locally compact semigroups*, Pacific J. Math. **108** (1983), 479–496.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, ALBERTA, CANADA

Permanent address: FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF AMIR KABIR, HAFEZ AVENUE, TEHRAN, IRAN