# WEAK CONVERGENCE OF MEASURES ON THE UNION OF HAUSDORFF SPACES

### **RATAKA TAHATA**

#### Received October 10, 2003

ABSTRACT. S. Nakanishi gave some interesting results on the weak convergence of measures on the union X of metric spaces  $(X_{\alpha}, d_{\alpha})$  ( $\alpha \in \Sigma$ ), endowed with the finest topology for which all the canonical injections of  $X_{\alpha}$  to X are continuous. Our aim in this note is to extend her results to the case where each component space  $X_{\alpha}$  is simply a Hausdorff space.

1. Introduction. Let X be the union of metric spaces  $(X_{\alpha}, d_{\alpha})$  ( $\alpha \in \Sigma$ ) satisfying separation axiom (1.1) and metric condition (1.4) in [2], and endowed with the finest topology for which all the canonical injections of  $X_{\alpha}$  to X are continuous. S. Nakanishi gave a criterion for relative compactness of a family  $\mathcal{M}$  of measures defined on  $\beta(X)$  (the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets in the topological space X). Our aim in this note is to extend her results to the case where each component space  $X_{\alpha}$  is simply Hausdorff.

To do so we need to replace the word "sequence" in the separation axiom (1.1) in [2] by "net" and to replace the metric condition (1.4) in [2] by the condition that

if  $\alpha \leq \beta$  ( $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$ ), then the topology of  $X_{\alpha}$  is stronger than the relative topology induced by  $X_{\beta}$ .

S. Nakanishi [2] treated real valued countably additive Borel measures. In our case  $\tau$ -smooth measures are treated.

In this note compactness means net compactness. To prove our result Topøse [3], Part II, Theorem 9.2 plays an important role. In §2, we shall state some results concerning the measures needed in this note. In §3, we shall state the topological properties of the union of Hausdorff spaces. In §4, we shall state the main result in this note. In particular, in the case where  $\{S_n\}$  is an increasing sequence of compact Hausdorff spaces such that if  $m \leq n$ , then the topology of  $S_m$  is stronger than the relative topology induced by  $S_n$  (note that in this case the sequence  $\{S_n\}$  satisfies the separation axiom (1.1) in §3), we shall show that the following result holds: Let S be the union of  $\{S_n\}$  and let S be endowed with the finest topology for which all the canonical injections of  $S_n$  into S are continuous. Then a non-empty set  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\tau$ -smooth measures with  $\sup\{\mu(S): \mu \in \mathcal{M}\} < \infty$  is relatively compact if and only if it satisfies the condition

$$\inf \sup\{\mu(S - S_n) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}\} = 0.$$

**2. Preliminaries.** Let X be a set and O(X) a topology of X. By (X, O(X)) we denote the topological space X endowed with the topology O(X) and by  $\beta(X, O(X))$  we denote the family of its Borel sets and so on. If no confusion happens, then we simply write them as  $X, \beta(X)$  and so on.

Let X be a topological space (not necessarily a Hausdorff space). A net  $(x_{\alpha})$  on X is

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 28A33.

Key words and phrases. Weak convergence, measure, union space .

## RATAKA TAHATA

said to be compact if every subnet has a further subnet which converges (see [1] for the definition of "the convergence of a net"). A subset A of X is called *net compact* if every net on A has a convergent subnet. In case X is a regular topological space, a subset A of X is net compact if and only if A is relatively compact.

By  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  we denote the set of all closed subsets of X. By  $m_+(X)$  we denote the space of all totally finite measures defined on  $\beta(X)$ .

Let  $\mu$  be in  $m_+(X)$ .  $\mu$  is said to be  $\tau$ -smooth if

$$\mu(\cap_{F\in\mathcal{F}}F) = \inf_{F\in\mathcal{F}}\mu(F)$$

holds for any subclass  $\mathcal{F}$  of closed sets filtering downwards (see Topsøe [3], p. XII, P13). By  $m_{\tau}(X)$  we denote the set of all  $\tau$ -smooth measures on X.

**Definition 2.1.** Let X be a topological space. The weak topology on  $m_+(X)$  is defined as the weakest topology on  $m_+(X)$  for which every map  $\mu \mapsto \mu(f)$ , where f is a bounded real valued upper semi-continuous function, is upper semi-continuous.

Under this definition the same conditions (i) - (v) in Topsøe [3], Part II, Theorem 8.1 still hold. The topology on  $m_{\tau}(X)$  induced by  $m_{+}(X)$  with the weak topology is called the weak topology, too.

#### Definition 2.2.

(1) Let  $(\mu_{\alpha})$  be a net on  $m_{+}(X)$ .  $(\mu_{\alpha})$  is said to be  $\tau$ -smooth if the relation

 $\inf_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \limsup_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha}(F) = 0$ 

holds for every subclass  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  filtering downwards to the empty set. (2) Let A be a subset of  $m_+(X)$ . A is said to be  $\tau$ -smooth if the relation

$$\inf_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sup_{\mu \in A} \mu(F) = 0$$

holds for every subclass  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  filtering downwards to the empty set.

**Theorem 2.3**(Topsøe [3], p. 43, Theorem 9.2). Let X be a regular space and consider  $m_{\tau}(X)$  with the weak topology. Then,

(1) a net  $(\mu_{\alpha})$  on  $m_{\tau}(X)$  with  $\limsup_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha}(X) < \infty$  is compact if and only if it is  $\tau$ -smooth.

(2) a subset  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $m_{\tau}(X)$  with  $\sup\{\mu(X) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}\} < \infty$  is relatively compact if and only if it is  $\tau$ -smooth.

**3.** Topology for the union of Hausdorff spaces. Let  $\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Sigma}$  be a family of Hausdorff spaces and X the union of  $X_{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha \in \Sigma$ ). By  $O_r(X)$  we denote the finest topology on X for which, for any  $\alpha$  in  $\Sigma$ , the canonical injection of  $X_{\alpha}$  into X is continuous. In other words,

 $O_r(X) = \{ O \subset X : \text{for any } \alpha \text{ in } \Sigma, \ O \cap X_\alpha \text{ is an open subset of } X_\alpha \}.$ 

By  $(X, O_r(X))$  we denote the topological space X endowed with this topology. Put

$$F_r(X) = \{X - O : O \in O_r(X)\}.$$

We impose the following condition (1.1) on the family  $\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Sigma}$ . It is a generalization of the *axiom* (1.1) in S. Nakanishi [2].

(1.1)(Separation axiom)

If  $(x_i)$  is a net on  $X_{\alpha} \cap X_{\beta}$  such that simultaneously  $\lim_{i} x_i = x$  in  $X_{\alpha}$  and  $\lim_{i} x_i = y$  in  $X_{\beta}$ , then x = y.

By  $\mathcal{K}(X_{\alpha})$  we denote the set of all compact subsets of  $X_{\alpha}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** If X satisfies the separation axiom (1.1), then  $\mathcal{K}(X_{\alpha})$  is included in  $F_r(X)$ .

Proof. Let C be in  $\mathcal{K}(X_{\alpha})$  and  $C \cap X_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$ . It is sufficient to show that  $C \cap X_{\beta}$  is a closed subset of  $X_{\beta}$ . Let  $(x_i)$  be a net on  $C \cap X_{\beta}$  converging to a point x in  $X_{\beta}$ . Since C is a compact subset of  $X_{\alpha}$ , the net  $(x_i)$  has a subnet  $(x_{i_j})$  converging to a point y in C. Since the net  $(x_{i_j})$  converges to  $x \in X_{\beta}$ , by separation axiom (1.1), we have x = y. This implies that  $C \cap X_{\beta}$  is a closed subset of  $X_{\beta}$ . Thus Proposition 3.1 has been proved.

In the sequel we impose the following conditions (1.2) - (1.4) on the family  $\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Sigma}$  of Hausdorff spaces:

(1.2)  $\Sigma$  is quasi-ordered set by a relation " $\leq$ " (see [2], (1.2)).

(1.3) if  $\alpha \leq \beta$  ( $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$ ), then  $X_{\alpha} \subset X_{\beta}$ .

(1.4) if  $\alpha \leq \beta$ , then the topology of  $X_{\alpha}$  is stronger than the relative topology induced by  $X_{\beta}$ .

Furthermore we consider a sequence  $\{S_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$  of sets such that:

 $(1.5) S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \cdots \subset S_n \subset \cdots,$ 

and each  $S_n$  is contained in some  $X_{\alpha_n}$  in such a way that

(1.6) if  $m \leq n$ , then  $\alpha_m \leq \alpha_n$ ,

(1.7) for every  $n, S_n$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}(X_{\alpha_n})$ .

Let each  $S_n$  be endowed with the relative topology induced by  $X_{\alpha_n}$ . Put  $S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ . By  $(S, O_r(S))$  we denote the topological space consisting of the set S and the topology  $O_r(S)$  determined by the sequence  $\{S_n\}$ .

In the following, we suppose that X satisfies the conditions (1.1) - (1.4), and let S be defined as above.

## **Proposition 3.2.** $(S, O_r(S))$ is a normal space.

Proof. First we shall show that  $(S, O_r(S))$  satisfies the axiom of  $T_1$ -separation. A set consisting of only one point is a compact subset in some  $S_n$ . By applying Proposition 3.1 to the spaces  $\{S_n\}$  and S we see that it is a closed subset in S. Hence S satisfies the axiom of  $T_1$ -separation. Next we shall show that  $(S, O_r(S))$  satisfies the axiom of  $T_4$ -separation. Let A and B be disjoint closed subsets of  $(S, O_r(S))$ . For every positive integer n put  $A_n = S_n \cap A$  and  $B_n = S_n \cap B$ .  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  are disjoint compact subsets of  $S_n$ . Since  $S_1$  is a compact Hausdorff space, there exist two open subsets  $G_1$  and  $H_1$  of  $S_1$  such that  $A_1 \subset G_1$ and  $B_1 \subset H_1$  and  $(G_1)^{a_1} \cap (H_1)^{a_1} = \emptyset$ , where for any subset A of  $S_n$ ,  $(A)^{a_n}$  denotes the closure of A in  $S_n$ . Put

$$A'_2 = (G_1)^{a_1} \cup A_2$$
 and  $B'_2 = (H_1)^{a_1} \cup B_2$ .

### RATAKA TAHATA

We have

$$\begin{aligned} A_2' \cap B_2' &= ((G_1)^{a_1} \cap B_2) \cup (A_2 \cap (H_1)^{a_1}) = ((G_1)^{a_1} \cap B_1) \cup (A_1 \cap (H_1)^{a_1}) \\ &\subset ((G_1)^{a_1} \cap (H_1)^{a_1}) \cup ((G_1)^{a_1} \cap (H_1)^{a_1}) = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A'_2$  and  $B'_2$  are disjoint closed subsets of  $S_2$  and  $S_2$  is a compact Hausdorff space, there exist two open subsets  $G_2$  and  $H_2$  of  $S_2$  such that  $A'_2 \subset G_2$ ,  $B'_2 \subset H_2$  and  $(G_2)^{a_2} \cap$  $(H_2)^{a_2} = \emptyset$ . Repeating this process, we obtain two monotone increasing sequences  $\{G_n\}$  and  $\{H_n\}$  of sets such that, for every positive integer n,  $G_n$  and  $H_n$  are disjoint open subsets of  $S_n$ . Put

$$G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$$
 and  $H = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ 

It is obvious that G and H are disjoint sets. Furthermore, G and H are open subsets of S. Indeed, for every positive integer m we have

$$G \cap S_m = \cup_{n=m}^{\infty} (G_n \cap S_m).$$

For positive integer n with  $m \leq n$ ,  $S_m$  is homeomorphic to  $S_m$  endowed with the relative topology of  $S_n$ . Hence, for every positive integer n with  $m \leq n$ ,  $G_n \cap S_m$  is an open subset of  $S_m$ . Accordingly  $G \cap S_m$  is an open subset of  $S_m$ . Hence G is an open subset of  $(S, O_r(S))$ . Similarly it is proved that H is an open subset of  $(S, O_r(S))$ . Therefore  $(S, O_r(S))$  satisfies the axiom of  $T_4$ -separation. Thus Proposition 3.2 has been proved.

By  $(S, O_r(X))$  we denote the topological space S endowed with the relative topology with respect to  $(X, O_r(X))$ .

### Proposition 3.3.

(1)  $S \in \beta(X, O_r(X)),$ (2)  $\beta(S, O_r(S)) = \beta(S, O_r(X)) = \beta(X, O_r(X)) \cap S.$ 

The proof of Proposition 3.3 is similar to that of Lemma 5 in [2].

4. Weak convergence of measures on the union of Hausdorff spaces. Throughout this  $\S$ , we suppose that X satisfies the conditions (1.1) - (1.4) in  $\S3$  and let S be the same as in  $\S3$ .

The following lemma is well known (see [2], p.XIII, P16).

**Lemma 4.1.** If  $\mu$  is in  $m_{\tau}(S, O_r(S))$ , then, for any F in  $F_r(S)$ ,

$$\inf\{\mu(G): F \subset G \text{ and } G \in O_r(S)\} = \mu(F).$$

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a non-empty subset of  $m_{\tau}(S, O_r(S))$  with  $\sup\{\mu(S) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}\} < \infty$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is relatively compact with respect to the weak topology if and only if

$$\inf_{n} \sup \{ \mu(S - S_n) : \mu \in \mathcal{M} \} = 0.$$

Proof. "If part": Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be any subclass of  $F_r(S)$  filtering downwards to the empty set.

166

Put

$$\mathcal{G} = \{ G = S - F : F \in \mathcal{F} \}.$$

 $\mathcal{G}$  is a subset of  $O_r(S)$  filtering upwards to S. Since S is  $\sigma$ -compact, there exists an increasing sequence  $\{G_n\}$  of sets in  $\mathcal{G}$  with  $S_n \subset G_n$  for every positive integer n. For any positive number  $\epsilon$  there exists a positive integer n such that

$$\sup\{\mu(S-S_n): \mu \in \mathcal{M}\} < \epsilon.$$

Put  $F_n = S - G_n$ . Then  $F_n \in \mathcal{F}$  and

$$\inf_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sup\{\mu(F) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}\} \le \sup\{\mu(F_n) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}\} \le \sup\{\mu(S - S_n) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}\} < \epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary,

$$\inf_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sup\{\mu(F) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}\} = 0.$$

This shows that  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\tau$ -smooth. Since S is normal, by Theorem 2.3 in §2,  $\mathcal{M}$  is relatively compact.

"Only if part": Suppose the contrary, that is,

$$\inf_{n} \sup\{\mu(S - S_n) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}\} = 2\alpha > 0.$$

For every positive integer n, there exists a measure  $\mu_n$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mu_n(S-S_n) > \alpha$ . Put  $G_n = S - S_n$ .  $G_n$  is open in S. For every positive integer n, by Lemma 4.1, there exists an  $F_n$  in  $F_r(S)$  such that  $F_n \subset G_n$  and  $\mu_n(G_n - F_n) < \frac{\alpha}{2}$ . Put  $A_n = \bigcup_{n \leq i} F_i$   $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$ . Since, for every positive integer m, we have

$$A_n \cap S_m = (\bigcup_{n \le i} F_i) \cap S_m = \bigcup_{n \le i < m} (F_i \cap S_m),$$

 $A_n \cap S_m$  is compact in  $S_m$ . Therefore,  $\{A_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence of closed subsets of S with  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \emptyset$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is relatively compact,  $\{\mu_n\}$  is relatively compact. By §2, Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\inf_{m} \sup \{ \mu_n(A_m) : n = 1, 2, \dots \} = 0.$$

Accordingly there exists a positive integer  $m_0$  such that  $\sup\{\mu_n(A_{m_0}): n = 1, 2, \dots\} < \frac{\alpha}{2}$ . Thus

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} \le \mu_{m_0}(F_{m_0}) \le \mu_{m_0}(A_{m_0}) \le \sup\{\mu_n(A_{m_0}) : n = 1, 2, \dots\} < \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus Theorem 4.2 has been proved.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $\mu$  be in  $m_{\tau}(X, O(X))$ . If  $\mu$  is concentrated on S, then the restriction  $\nu$  of  $\mu$  to  $\beta(S, O_r(S))$  belongs to  $m_{\tau}(S, O_r(S))$ .

Proof. By Proposition 3.3 in §3 we can consider the restriction  $\nu$  of  $\mu$  to  $\beta(S, O_r(S))$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be any subclass of  $F_r(S)$  filtering downwards. Put  $F = \bigcap \{F' : F' \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . For any positive number  $\epsilon$  there exists a positive integer n such that  $\mu(X - S_n) = \nu(S - S_n) < \epsilon$ . For any set F' in  $\mathcal{F}, F' \cap S_n$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}(X_{\alpha_n})$  and, accordingly,  $F' \cap S_n$  belongs to  $F_r(X)$  by

### RATAKA TAHATA

Proposition 3.1. Put  $\mathcal{F} \cap S_n = \{F' \cap S_n : F' \in \mathcal{F}\}$ .  $\mathcal{F} \cap S_n$  is a subclass of  $F_r(X)$  filtering downwards to  $F \cap S_n$ . Since  $\mu$  is a  $\tau$ -smooth measure on X concentrated on S, we have

$$\inf\{\nu(F'\cap S_n): F'\in\mathcal{F}\} = \inf\{\mu(F'\cap S_n): F'\in\mathcal{F}\} = \mu(F\cap S_n) = \nu(F\cap S_n).$$

There exists an  $F'_0$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\nu(F'_0 \cap S_n) < \nu(F \cap S_n) + \epsilon$ . Hence we have

$$\nu(F'_0) = \nu(F'_0 \cap S_n) + \nu((S - S_n) \cap F'_0) < \nu(F'_0 \cap S_n) + \epsilon < \nu(F) + 2\epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, we have  $\inf\{\nu(F') : F' \in \mathcal{F}\} \leq \nu(F)$ . Since the reverse inequality is obvious, the proof of Lemma 4.3 has been completed.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a non-empty subset of  $m_{\tau}(X, O_r(X))$ . In order that  $\mathcal{M}$  is net compact in  $m_{\tau}(X, O_r(X))$ , it is sufficient that  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies the following two conditions. (1)  $\sup\{\mu(X) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}\} < \infty$ .

(2) There exists an increasing sequence  $\{S_n\}$  of sets such that

$$\inf \sup\{\mu(X - S_n) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}\} = 0,$$

where, for every positive integer n,  $S_n$  is a compact subset of some  $X_{\alpha_n}$  with  $\alpha_m \leq \alpha_n$  for  $m \leq n$ .

Proof. Put  $S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ . Since, for every n,  $S_n$  is endowed with the relative topology induced by  $X_{\alpha_n}$  and since S is endowed with the topology determined by the sequence  $\{S_n\}$ , by Proposition 3.3 and the condition (2) every measure  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  is concentrated on S. Let  $\mathcal{M}_S$  be the set of all the restrictions of measures in  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $\beta(S, O_r(S))$ . By Lemma 4.3 we have  $\mathcal{M}_S \subset m_\tau(S, O_r(S))$ . Since

$$\inf_{n} \sup\{\nu(S-S_n) : \nu \in \mathcal{M}\} = \inf_{n} \sup\{\mu(X-S_n) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}\} = 0,$$

by Theorem 4.2  $\mathcal{M}_S$  is relatively compact. Let  $\{\mu_i\}$  be any net on  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $\nu_i$  be the restriction of  $\mu_i$  to  $\beta(S, O_r(S))$  for every *i*. Since  $\mathcal{M}_S$  is relatively compact in  $m_{\tau}(S, O_r(S))$ , there exists a subnet  $\{\nu_{i_j}\}$  of  $\{\nu_i\}$  converging weakly to some point  $\nu_0$  in  $m_{\tau}(O, O_r(S))$ . For any A in  $\beta(X, O_r(X))$ , put  $\mu_0(A) = \nu_0(A \cap S)$ . Since every  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  is concentrated on S, we have

$$\mu_0(X) = \nu_0(S) = \lim_j \nu_{i_j}(S) = \lim_j \mu_{i_j}(X).$$

For any G in  $O_r(X)$  the set  $X_{\alpha_n} \cap G$  is open in  $X_{\alpha_n}$  and, accordingly,  $S_n \cap G$  is an open subset of  $S_n$ . Hence  $G \cap S$  is an open subset of S. Since  $\{\nu_{i_j}\}$  converges weakly to  $\nu_0$  in  $m_\tau(S, O_r(S))$ , we have

$$\mu_0(G) = \nu_0(G \cap S) \le \liminf_j \nu_{i_j}(G \cap S) = \liminf_j \mu_{i_j}(G).$$

Hence  $\{\mu_{i_j}\}$  converges weakly to  $\mu_0$ . Since  $\nu_0$  belongs to  $m_\tau(S, O_r(S))$ , by definition of  $\mu_0$ ,  $\mu_0$  belongs to  $m_\tau(X, O_r(X))$ . Thus Theorem 4.4 has been proved.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to express his hearty thanks to Prof. T. YAMANAKA for carefully reading the manuscript and to the referees for their valuable advices.

### References

J. L. KELLY: General topology, D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc, New York(1955).
S. NAKANISHI: Weak convergence of measures on the union of metric spaces, I, Math. Japon., **31**, No. 3(1986), 429 - 447.
F. TOPSØE: Topology and measure, Lecture Note in Mathematics, 133, Springer-Verlag Berlin · Heidelberg · New York(1970).
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, NIHON

UNIVERSITY, 1-8, KANDA SURUGADAI, CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO, 101-8308 JAPAN E-mail: tahata@math.cst.nihon-u.ac.jp.