EXTENDED COMPLEMENTARY DOMAIN OF THE FURUTA INEQUALITY

EIZABURO KAMEI

Received March 3, 2004; revised April 5, 2004

ABSTRACT. We discuss monotone properties of Furuta type inequalities: Let $A \ge B \ge 0$ and $0 \le t \le p$. Then for each $t \in [0, 1]$, $A^t \sharp_{\frac{1-t}{p-t}} B^p$ is increasing for $p \ge 1$, and if $A^p \ge B^p$ for some fixed p > 0, then $A^t \sharp_{\frac{1-t}{p-t}} B^p$ is increasing for $t \in [0, 1]$. Moreover, if $A^t \ge B^t$ for some fixed t > 0, then the following inequalities hold;

$$\begin{aligned} &for \ t \leq \beta \leq p, \ (A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} B^p)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta}} \leq B^{\delta} \leq A^t \sharp_{\frac{\delta-t}{p-t}} B^p \\ &for \ p \leq \delta \leq \beta, \ (A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} B^p)^{\frac{\delta}{\beta}} \leq A^t \natural_{\frac{\delta-t}{p-t}} B^p. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, it improves a recent result of Yang and Zuo.

1. Introduction. Recently, C.Yang and H.Zuo [25] have shown monotone properties of Furuta type inequalities on complementary domain. They point out a fresh aspect of operator means, however their results are depending on [18] too much and the proofs are confused a little. The α -power mean of A and B introduced by Kubo-Ando [24] is given by

(1)
$$A \sharp_{\alpha} B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} (A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\alpha} A^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for } 0 \le \alpha \le 1.$$

We can regard (1) as a path connecting $A(=A \sharp_0 B)$ and $B(=A \sharp_1 B)$, (cf.[17],[21]). We use the notation \sharp_r to distinguish from α -power mean \sharp_α ($\alpha \in [0, 1]$) as follows:

$$A \natural_r B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} (A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^r A^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for } r \notin [0,1]$$

Throughout this note, A and B are positive operators on a Hilbert space. For convenience, we denote $A \ge 0$ (resp. A > 0) if A is a positive (resp. positive invertible) operator.

The main theorem of [25] is the following:

Theorem A. Let $A \ge B \ge 0$ and fix $0 < p_0 < 1$. Then $A^t \sharp_{\frac{p_0-t}{p-t}} B^p$ is an increasing function for $t \in [0, p_0]$ and $p \in [p_0, 1]$.

This result shows the monotonicity of the paths $A^t \sharp_{\frac{p_0-t}{p-t}} B^p$ from A^t to B^p at the fixed point p_0 .

The Furuta inequality [13] (cf.[14]) can be written by the form of α -power mean as follows ([2],[16]).

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47A63 and 47A64.

Key words and phrases. positive operator, Furuta inequality, operator inequality.

Furuta inequality: If $A \ge B \ge 0$, then

(F)
$$A^{-t} \sharp_{\frac{1+t}{p+t}} B^p \le A \text{ and } B \le B^{-t} \sharp_{\frac{1+t}{p+t}} A^p$$

holds for $t \ge 0$ and $1 \le p$.

From this formulation, $A^{-t} \sharp_{\alpha} B^p$ is a path from A^{-t} to B^p and (F) is understood as the comparison between A and the operator value $A^{-t} \sharp_{\frac{1+t}{p+t}} B^p$ at the internally dividing point 1 of [-t, p] with the ratio $\frac{1+t}{p+t}$.

The Furuta inequality (F) is an extension of the Löwner-Heinz inequality:

(LH) If
$$A \ge B \ge 0$$
, then $A^{\alpha} \ge B^{\alpha}$ for $0 \le \alpha \le 1$.

In [16], we had rearranged (F) more precisely in one line by the form of α -power mean (cf.[3]).

Satellite theorem of Furuta inequality: If $A \ge B \ge 0$, then

$$(SF) A^{-t} \sharp_{\frac{1+t}{p+t}} B^p \leq B \leq A \leq B^{-t} \sharp_{\frac{1+t}{p+t}} A^p$$

holds for all $t \ge 0$ and $1 \le p$.

Whether similar relations to (F) hold for the case $t \leq 0$ is a subject easily drawn ([4],[5],[6],[18]). In this context, Theorem A gives some answers to this. The following is a further generalization of these.

Theorem 1. Let $A \ge B > 0$ and $0 \le t \le 1 \le p$. Then

(1)
$$A^t \sharp_{\frac{1-t}{p-t}} B^p$$
 is increasing for $p \ge 1$.

(2) If
$$A^p \ge B^p$$
, then $A^t \sharp_{\frac{1-t}{p-t}} B^p$ is increasing for $t \in [0,1]$

Proof. (1) For $1 \le p_1 \le p_2$,

$$A^{t} \sharp_{\frac{1-t}{p_{2}-t}} B^{p_{2}} = A^{t} \sharp_{\frac{1-t}{p_{1}-t}} (A^{t} \sharp_{\frac{p_{1}-t}{p_{2}-t}} B^{p_{2}}) \ge A^{t} \sharp_{\frac{1-t}{p_{1}-t}} (B^{t} \sharp_{\frac{p_{1}-t}{p_{2}-t}} B^{p_{2}}) = A^{t} \sharp_{\frac{1-t}{p_{1}-t}} B^{p_{1}}.$$

(2) For
$$0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le 1 \le p$$
 with $t_2 - t_1 \le p - t_2$

$$\begin{aligned} A^{t_2} & \sharp_{\frac{1-t_2}{p-t_2}} B^p = B^p \ \sharp_{\frac{p-1}{p-t_2}} A^{t_2} = B^p \ \sharp_{\frac{p-1}{p-t_1}} \left(B^p \ \natural_{\frac{p-t_1}{p-t_2}} A^{t_2} \right) \\ &= B^p \ \sharp_{\frac{p-1}{p-t_1}} \left(A^{t_2} \ \natural_{\frac{t_1-t_2}{p-t_2}} B^p \right) = B^p \ \sharp_{\frac{p-1}{p-t_1}} A^{t_2} (A^{-t_2} \ \sharp_{\frac{t_2-t_1}{p-t_2}} B^{-p}) A^{t_2} \\ &\geq B^p \ \sharp_{\frac{p-1}{p-t_1}} A^{t_2} (A^{-t_2} \ \sharp_{\frac{t_2-t_1}{p-t_2}} A^{-p}) A^{t_2} = B^p \ \sharp_{\frac{p-1}{p-t_1}} A^{t_1} = A^{t_1} \ \sharp_{\frac{1-t_1}{p-t_1}} B^p. \end{aligned}$$

As an application, we have the following result which is an extension of Theorem A. As a matter of fact, the range of p is extended:

Corollary Let $A \ge B \ge 0$ and fix $0 < p_0 < 1$. Then $A^t \sharp_{\frac{p_0-t}{p-t}} B^p$ is an increasing function for $t \in [0, p_0]$ and $p \ge p_0$.

Proof. Since $A \ge B \ge 0$, $A_1 = A^{p_0} \ge B_1 = B^{p_0}$ for fixed $p_0 \in (0, 1)$ by (LH). By Theorem 1, $A_1^t \sharp_{\frac{1-t}{p-t}} B_1^p$ is increasing for $p \ge 1$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Putting $t_1 = p_0 t$, $p_1 = p_0 p$, we have $A_1^t \sharp_{\frac{1-t}{p-t}} B_1^p = A^{t_1} \sharp_{\frac{p_0-t_1}{p_1-t_1}} B^{p_1}$ is increasing for $p_1 \ge p_0$ and $t_1 \in [0, p_0]$.

2. Extended complementary domain. As a generalized form of (F), Furuta had shown the following grand Furuta inequality [15], which is a parameteric one interpolating (F) and Ando-Hiai inequality equivalent to their majorization theorem [1]. We cite it here by the satellite form with the α -power mean ([8],[20]).

If
$$A \ge B > 0$$
, then for $r \ge 0$ and $0 \le t \le 1 \le p \le \beta$,
(GF)
$$A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{1+r}{\beta+r}} \left(A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} B^p\right) \le \left(A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} B^p\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \le B \le A \le \left(B^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} A^p\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \le B^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{1+r}{\beta+r}} \left(B^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} A^p\right)$$

The following has shown in [10] which is the key point for our proof of the grand Furuta inequality, and (2) has shown in [8].

Theorem B. Let $A \ge B \ge 0$ and $0 \le t \le 1 \le p \le \beta$. Then the following (1) and (2) holds.

(1)
$$(A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{2n-t}} B^p)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \le B \le A$$

(2)
$$(A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} B^p)^{\frac{p}{\beta}} \le B^p$$

 $Additionally, \ both \ (A^t \ \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} \ B^p)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \ and \ (A^t \ \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} \ B^p)^{\frac{p}{\beta}} \ are \ decreasing \ for \ \beta(\geq p).$

As complements of the Furuta inequality, we had investigated the part $A^t \not\models_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} B^p$ of (GF) and had the following results in [18](cf.[4],[5],[6],[19]).

Theorem C. If $A \ge B > 0$, then

(1)
$$A^t \natural_{\frac{2p-t}{p-t}} B^p \le B^{2p} \le A^{2p} \quad for \quad 0 \le t$$

(2)
$$A^t \natural_{\frac{1-t}{p-t}} B^p \le B \le A \quad for \quad 0 \le t$$

We here remark that $A^t \not\equiv_{\alpha} B^p$ is a path connecting A^t with B^p and $A^t \not\equiv_{\frac{p-t}{p-t}} B^p$ for $\beta \geq p$ is regarded as the value of the path at the external point β of [t, p] with ratio $\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}$. From the viewpoint of this, Theorem C says that $A^t \not\equiv_{\frac{p-t}{p-t}} B^p$ is comparable with A^{β} and B^{β} , at the points $\beta = 1$ and $\beta = 2p$, respectively.

C.Yang and H.Zuo [25] have tried to see (GF) for the case $r \leq 0$. So we also follow it from the same view point as theirs. The next is an application of Theorem B.

Theorem 2. Let $A \geq B \geq 0$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1 \leq p$. Then for each $1 \geq r \geq 0$, $A^r \ddagger_{\frac{1-r}{p-r}} (A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} B^p)^{\frac{p}{\beta}}$ is decreasing for $\beta \geq p$ and

$$A^r \sharp_{\frac{1-r}{p-r}} \left(A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} B^p \right)^{\frac{p}{\beta}} \le A^r \sharp_{\frac{1-r}{\beta-r}} \left(A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} B^p \right)$$

E. KAMEI

holds for $\beta \geq p$. If $A^p \geq B^p$, then $A^r \ddagger_{\frac{1-r}{p-r}} (A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} B^p)^{\frac{p}{\beta}}$ is increasing for $r \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Theorem B assures $B_1 = (A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} B^p)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \leq A$ and $(A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} B^p)^{\frac{p}{\beta}}$ is decreasing for $\beta \geq p$. Hence so is $A^r \natural_{\frac{1-r}{p-r}} (A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} B^p)^{\frac{p}{\beta}}$. The desired inequality holds from

$$A^{r} \sharp_{\frac{1-r}{\beta-r}} B_{1}^{\beta} = A^{r} \sharp_{\frac{1-r}{p-r}} (A^{r} \sharp_{\frac{p-r}{\beta-r}} B_{1}^{\beta}) \ge A^{r} \sharp_{\frac{1-r}{p-r}} (B_{1}^{r} \sharp_{\frac{p-r}{\beta-r}} B_{1}^{\beta}) = A^{r} \sharp_{\frac{1-r}{p-r}} B_{1}^{p}.$$

Next, if $A^p \geq B^p$, then $A^p \geq B^p \geq B_1^p$ by Theorem B (2), so that $A^r \sharp_{\frac{1-r}{p-r}} B_1^p = A^r \sharp_{\frac{1-r}{p-r}} (A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} B^p)^{\frac{p}{\beta}}$ is increasing for $r \in [0, 1]$ by Theorem 1.

Now, our discussions of the above are done under the assumptions of $A^p \ge B^p$ or $A \ge B$ for $p \ge 1 \ge t > 0$, so we next assume $A^t \ge B^t$ as in below. For this, we need the following key fact, which was shown in the proof of Theorem B ([9],[22],[23]).

Lemma. Let A, B > 0 and $0 \le t . If <math>A^t \ge B^t$, then

$$A^t
arrow^{\beta-t}_{n-t} B^p \leq B^{\beta}$$

Proof. We give a proof for convenience. Since $A \not\models_r B = A(A^{-1} \not\models_{-r} B^{-1})A$, we have

$$A^{t} \models_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} B^{p} = B^{p} \models_{\frac{p-\beta}{p-t}} A^{t} = B^{p} (B^{-p} \ddagger_{\frac{\beta-p}{p-t}} A^{-t}) B^{p} \le B^{p} (B^{-p} \ddagger_{\frac{\beta-p}{p-t}} B^{-t}) B^{p} = B^{\beta} (B^{-p} a^{-p} a^{-t}) B^{p} = B^{\beta} (B^{-p} a^{-t}) B^{p} = B$$

In [19], we had compared the order among the paths represented by α -mean, which is our view point of the Furuta type inequalities. The following is inspired by Theorem A.

Theorem 3. Let A, B > 0 and $0 \le t . If <math>A^t \ge B^t$, then the following inequalities hold.

(1) For
$$t \le \delta \le p$$
, $(A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} B^p)^{\frac{\partial}{\beta}} \le B^{\delta} \le A^t \natural_{\frac{\delta-t}{p-t}} B^p$.

(2) For
$$p \le \delta \le \beta$$
, $(A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} B^p)^{\frac{\delta}{\beta}} \le A^t \natural_{\frac{\delta-t}{p-t}} B^p$

Proof. (1) Since $A^t \not\equiv_{\frac{p-t}{p-t}} B^p \ge B^t \not\equiv_{\frac{p-t}{p-t}} B^p = B^{\delta}$, the right side of (1) holds. To show the first inequality, we have only to use Lemma inductively. For $p \le \beta_1 \le 2p - t$, Lemma says $A^t \not\equiv_{\frac{\beta_1-t}{p-t}} B^p \le B^{\beta_1}$ and we have $(A^t \not\equiv_{\frac{\beta_1-t}{p-t}} B^p)^{\frac{\delta}{\beta_1}} \le B^{\delta}$ by (LH). That is, (1) is proved for the case $p \le \beta \le 2p - t$.

If $\beta > 2p - t$, then we take $\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \ldots < \beta_{k-1} < \beta_k = \beta$ such that $\beta_{i+1} < 2\beta_i - t$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k - 1$ and put $B_i = (A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta_i - t}{p-t}} B^p)^{\frac{1}{\beta_i}}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Thus it suffices to show that $B_k^{\delta} \leq B^{\delta}$. For this, we prove $B_k^{\delta} \leq B_{k-1}^{\delta} \leq \ldots \leq B_1^{\delta} \leq B^{\delta}$ by induction. In the preceding discussion, it is shown that $B_1^{\delta} \leq B^{\delta}$. So we assume that $B_i^{\delta} \leq B^{\delta}$ for some *i*. Since $B_i^t \leq B^t \leq A^t$ and $\beta_i \leq \beta_{i+1} \leq 2\beta_i - t$, it follows from Lemma that $A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta_{i+1} - t}{\delta_{i-t}}} B_i^{\beta_i} \leq B_i^{\beta_i + 1}$. Therefore we have

$$B_{i+1}^{\beta_{i+1}} = A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta_{i+1}-t}{p-t}} B^p = A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta_{i+1}-t}{\beta_i-t}} (A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta_i-t}{p-t}} B^p) = A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta_{i+1}-t}{\beta_i-t}} B_i^{\beta_i} \le B_i^{\beta_{i+1}-t}$$

and so $B_{i+1}^{\delta} \leq B_i^{\delta}$ by (LH). By the induction, we have $B_k^{\delta} \leq B_{k-1}^{\delta} \leq \ldots \leq B_1^{\delta} \leq B^{\delta}$. Proof of (2) is similar to (1), but we need two steps to catch β . First of all, we show that if we put $D = (A^t \natural_{\frac{\delta-t}{p-t}} B^p)^{\frac{1}{\delta}}$, then $D^t \leq A^t$. If $p \leq \delta \leq 2p - t$, then

$$D^{\delta} = A^t \natural_{\frac{\delta - t}{p - t}} B^p \leq B^{\delta}$$

by Lemma and so $D^t \leq B^t \leq A^t$ by (LH) since $0 \leq t . In the case <math>2p - t < \delta \leq \beta$, we devide $[p, \delta]$ into $p = \delta_0 < \delta_1 < \dots < \delta_l = \delta$ such that $\delta_{i+1} \leq 2\delta_i - t$ $(i = 0, \dots, l-1)$ and put $D_i = (A^t \natural_{\frac{\delta_i - t}{p - t}} B^p)^{\frac{1}{\delta_i}}$ $(i = 0, \dots, l)$. Then, as in the proof of (1), we have $D_{i+1}^{\delta_{i+1}} \leq D_i^{\delta_{i+1}}$ and so $D^t = D_l^t \leq D_{l-1}^t \leq \dots \leq D_0^t = B^t \leq A^t$. Since we could prove $D^t \leq A^t$, we next apply it to Lemma. First, if $\delta \leq \beta \leq 2\delta - t$, then

$$A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} B^p = A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{\delta-t}} (A^t \natural_{\frac{\delta-t}{p-t}} B^p) = A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{\delta-t}} D^\delta \le D^\beta = (A^t \natural_{\frac{\delta-t}{p-t}} B^p)^{\frac{\beta}{\delta}}.$$

Hence we have the conclusion $(A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{p-t}} B^p)^{\frac{\delta}{\beta}} \leq A^t \natural_{\frac{\delta-t}{p-t}} B^p (\leq B^{\delta})$ by (LH). On the other hand, if $2\delta - t < \beta$, we use the same method as in (1) again: We take $\delta = \beta_0 < \beta_1 < \ldots < \beta_{k-1} < \beta_k = \beta$ such that $\beta_{i+1} \leq 2\beta_i - t$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$ and put $B_i = (A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta_i - t}{p-t}} B^p)^{\frac{1}{\beta_i}}$ $(i=0,\cdots,k)$. Thus we obtain $B_{i+1}^{\beta_{i+1}} \leq B_i^{\beta_{i+1}}$ and so $B_{i+1}^{\delta} \leq B_i^{\delta}$, $(i=1,\ldots,k-1)$. That is, we have the coclusion;

$$(A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta-t}{\delta-t}} B^p)^{\frac{\delta}{\beta}} \leq \ldots \leq (A^t \natural_{\frac{\beta_1-t}{\delta-t}} B^p)^{\frac{\delta}{\beta_1}} \leq A^t \natural_{\frac{\delta-t}{p-t}} B^p.$$

3. Chaotic order. In Theorem 3, we consider operator inequalities of Furuta type under the assumption $A^t \geq B^t$ for some t with $0 < t \leq p$. We note that the chaotic order $\log A \ge \log B$, simply denoted by $A \gg B$, is regarded as the case t = 0 in the sense that $\log X = \lim_{t \to +0} \frac{X^t - I}{t}$ (cf.[7]). In this section, we discuss them under the chaotic order $A \gg B$. Some useful characterizations of the chaotic order have given in [23], (cf., [22]) as follows:

Theorem D. If $A \gg B$, then the following (1) and (2) hold.

(1)
$$A^{-t} \not\parallel_{\frac{\delta+t}{p+t}} B^p \le B^{\delta}$$
 and $A^{\delta} \le B^{-t} \not\parallel_{\frac{\delta+t}{p+t}} A^p$ for $t \ge 0$ and $0 \le \delta \le p$.

(2)
$$A^{-t} \sharp_{\frac{\alpha+t}{p+t}} B^p \le A^{\alpha} \text{ and } B^{\alpha} \le B^{-t} \sharp_{\frac{\alpha+t}{p+t}} A^p \text{ for } -t \le \alpha \le 0 \text{ and } 0 \le p.$$

Concerning to Theorem D, Fujii and Kim [12] point out the next characterization of chaotic order(cf.[23]).

Theorem E. Let A, B > 0. Then the following are equivalent.

(2)
$$A^{-t} \natural_{\frac{\beta+t}{p+t}} B^p \ge B^\beta \text{ for } 0 \le p \le \beta \le 2p, \ t \ge 0$$

(3)
$$A^{\beta} \ge B^{-t} \natural_{\frac{\beta+t}{p+t}} A^{p} \text{ for } 0 \le p \le \beta \le 2p, \ t \ge 0$$

We give additions to Theorem E in parallel with Theorem D.

Under the assumptions $-2t \le \alpha \le -t$, $p \ge 0$, the following (4) and (5) are also equivalent to $A \gg B$.

(4)
$$A^{-t} \natural_{\frac{\alpha+t}{p+t}} B^p \ge A^{\alpha}$$
 (5) $B^{\alpha} \ge B^{-t} \natural_{\frac{\alpha+t}{p+t}} A^p$

For convenience, we prove them. Since $-t \leq \alpha + t \leq 0$,

$$A^{-t} \not\models_{\frac{\alpha+t}{p+t}} B^p = A^{-t} (A^t \not\models_{\frac{-\alpha-t}{p+t}} B^{-p}) A^{-t} = A^{-t} (A^t \not\models_{\frac{-\alpha-t}{t}} (A^t \not\models_{\frac{p+t}{p+t}} B^{-p})) A^{-t} = A^{-t} (A^t \not\models_{\frac{-\alpha-t}{t}} (A^{-t} \not\models_{\frac{p+t}{p+t}} B^p)^{-1}) A^{-t} \ge A^{-t} (A^t \not\models_{\frac{-\alpha-t}{t}} I) A^{-t} = A^{\alpha}.$$

In Theorem 3, we can move $\beta \geq p$ freely, but now we must put some restriction on β under the assumption of chaotic order $A^0 \geq B^0$.

Theorem 4. Let A, B > 0 and $0 \le t \le p \le \beta \le 2p$. If $A \gg B$, then the following (1) and (2) hold.

 $(1) \quad \textit{For } 0 \leq \delta \leq p$

$$A^{-t} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+t}{p+t}} B^p \le B^{\delta} \le (A^{-t} \natural_{\frac{\beta+t}{p+t}} B^p)^{\frac{\delta}{\beta}} \text{ and } (B^{-t} \natural_{\frac{\beta+t}{p+t}} A^p)^{\frac{\delta}{\beta}} \le A^{\delta} \le B^{-t} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+t}{p+t}} A^p$$

(2) For $-t \leq \gamma \leq 0$

$$A^{-t} \not\parallel_{\frac{\gamma+t}{p+t}} B^p \le A^{\gamma} \le (B^{-t} \not\mid_{\frac{\beta+t}{p+t}} A^p)^{\frac{\gamma}{\beta}} \text{ and } (A^{-t} \not\mid_{\frac{\beta+t}{p+t}} B^p)^{\frac{\gamma}{\beta}} \le B^{\gamma} \le B^{-t} \not\mid_{\frac{\gamma+t}{p+t}} A^p$$

Proof. (1) $A^{-t} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+t}{p+t}} B^p \leq B^{\delta}$ and $A^{\delta} \leq B^{-t} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+t}{p+t}} A^p$ are obtained by Theorem D and the rest ones follow from Theorem E (2) and (LH) because $0 \leq \frac{\delta}{\beta} \leq 1$. (2) also follows from Theorem D (2), Theorem E (3) and (LH) since $-1 \leq \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \leq 0$.

Remark. Finally we mention that $A \ge B > 0$ does not imply

$$B^{-t} \natural_{\frac{\beta+t}{p+t}} A^p \le A^\beta$$

for $0 \le t \le p \le \beta$, in general. For example, we choose t = 1, p = 2, $\beta = 6$, clearly $\beta \le 2p$. Then the inequality

$$B^{-1}
arrow rac{7}{3} A^2 \ge A^2 B^2 A^3$$

is assured by

$$\begin{split} B^{-1} & \natural_{\frac{7}{3}} A^2 = A^2 \natural_{-\frac{4}{3}} B^{-1} = A^2 (A^{-2} \natural_{\frac{4}{3}} B) A^2 = A^2 (B \natural_{-\frac{1}{3}} A^{-2}) A^2 \\ & = A^2 B (B^{-1} \natural_{\frac{1}{2}} A^2) B A^2 \ge A^2 B (A^{-1} \natural_{\frac{1}{2}} A^2) B A^2 = A^2 B^2 A^2. \end{split}$$

Now suppose that it holds for every pair $A \ge B > 0$, i.e., $B^{-1} \natural_{\frac{7}{3}} A^2 \le A^6$. Then we have $A^6 \ge A^2 B^2 A^2$, which says that $A \ge B > 0$ implies $A^2 \ge B^2$, a contradiction.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to express his gratitude to the refree for his kind suggestions.

References

- T.Ando and F.Hiai, Log majorization and complementary Golden-Thompson type inequality, Linear Alg. and Its Appl., 197(1994), 113-131.
- [2] M.Fujii, Furuta's inequality and its mean theoretic approach, J. Operator Theory, 23(1990), 67-72.
- [3] M.Fujii, T.Furuta and E.Kamei, Operator functions associated with Furuta's inequality, Linear Alg. and Its Appl., 149(1991), 91-96.
- M.Fujii, T.Furuta and E.Kamei, Complements to the Furuta inequality, Proc. Japan Acad., 70(1994), Ser.A, 239-242.
- [5] M.Fujii, T.Furuta and E.Kamei, Complements to the Furuta inequality, III, Math. Japon., 45(1997), 25-32.
- [6] M.Fujii, J.F.Jiang and E.Kamei, Complements to the Furuta inequality, IV, Math. Japon., 45(1997), 511-518.
- [7] M.Fujii, J.F.Jinag, E.Kamei and K.Tanahashi, A characterization of chaotic order and a problem, J. Inequal. Appl., 2(1998), 149-156.
- [8] M.Fujii and E.Kamei, Furuta's inequality and its application to Ando's theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 115(1992), 409-413.
- [9] M.Fujii and E.Kamei, Grand Furuta inequality of three variavles, Sci. Math. Japon., 59(2004), 569-575.
- [10] M.Fujii and E.Kamei, Difference between chaotic order and usual order in generalized Furuta inequalities, Sci. Math. Japon., 59(2004), 561-567.
- [11] M.Fujii and E.Kamei, Mean theoretic approach to the grand Furuta inequality, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 124(1996), 2751-2756.
- [12] M.Fujii and Y.O.Kim, A characterization of chaotic order by Furuta type operator inequalities via operator convexity, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., 4(2003), 215-222.
- [13] T.Furuta, $A \ge B \ge 0$ assures $(B^r A^p B^r)^{1/q} \ge B^{(p+2r)/q}$ for $r \ge 0, p \ge 0, q \ge 1$ with $(1+2r)q \ge p+2r$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 101(1987), 85-88.
- [14] T.Furuta, Elementary proof of an order preserving inequality, Proc. Japan Acad., 65(1989), 126.
- [15] T.Furuta, Extension of the Furuta inequality and Ando-Hiai log-majorization, Linear Alg. and Its Appl., 219(1995), 139-155.
- [16] E.Kamei, A satellite to Furuta's inequality, Math. Japon., 33(1988), 883-886.
- [17] E.Kamei, Paths of operators parametrized by operator means. Math. Japon., 39(1994), 395-400.
- [18] E.Kamei, Complements to the Furuta inequality, II, Math. Japon., 45(1997), 15-23.
- [19] E.Kamei, Monotonicity of the Furuta inequality on its complementary domain, Math. Japon., 49(1999), 21-26.
- [20] E.Kamei, Parametrized grand Furuta inequality, Math. Japon., 50(1999), 79-83.
- [21] E.Kamei, Order among Furuta type inequalities, Math. Japon., 51(2000), 403-409.
- [22] E.Kamei, Chaotic order and Furuta inequality, Sci. Math. Japon., 53(2001), 289-293.
- [23] E.Kamei and M.Nakamura, Remark on chaotic Furuta inequality, Sci. Math. Japon., 53(2001), 535-539.
- [24] F.Kubo and T.Ando, Means of positive linear operators, Math. Ann., 246(1980), 205-224.
- [25] C.Yang and H.Zuo, A monotone operator function via Furuta-type inequality with negative power, Math. Inequal. Appl., 6(2003), 303-308.

*) MAEBASHI INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, KAMISADORI-MACHI, MAEBASHI, GUNMA, 371-0816, JAPAN E-mail: kamei@maebashi-it.ac.jp