

QUASI-IDEALS AND EXTENSIONS OF BCK-ALGEBRAS

S. F. FARAG AND A. N. BABIKER

Received December 3, 1999

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce the notion of a quasi-ideal K of a BCK -algebra X which is a special kind of subalgebras. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the extension of X by the quasi-ideal K over a BCK -algebra Γ .

Introduction

BCK -algebras were introduced as an algebraic formulation of a propositional calculus by K. Iseki and E. Y. Imai in 1966 [7]. A lot of literature dealing with algebraic theory using first order properties (see. e.g.[4,5,11,16]) and ideal theory of BCK -algebras (see. e.g. [1,2,6,9,15]) is available. Let X and Y be two BCK -algebras and $X \cap Y = \{0\}$, K. Iseki and E. Y. Imai, define the union $X \cup Y$ to be a new BCK -algebra (see [7]). In this union X and Y are subalgebras. H. Yutani [18] and H. Jaing [12] studied the extensions of BCK -algebras. We introduce a new notion, called quasi-ideal and use it to get an extension of any BCK -algebra X by another BCK -algebra Γ in which X is an ideal and Γ is a subalgebra. More precisely, let X be any BCK algebra, a non-empty subset K of X is called a quasi-ideal of X if $K * X = K$. Then K is a subalgebra of X and any ideal of X is a quasi-ideal. J. G. Varlet, in [17], introduced the notion of Varlet ideals. In 1977, K. Iseki also introduced the notion of additive ideals (see [10]). We show, see Theorem 2.2, that any Varlet or additive ideal is a quasi-ideal.

The quasi-ideal K is then used to construct the extension $E_{\Phi}(X, K : \Gamma)$ of X over Γ . This extension satisfies the exact sequence $\{0\} \rightarrow X \rightarrow E_{\Phi}(X, K : \Gamma) \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \{0\}$. This means that $E_{\Phi}(X, K : \Gamma)/X$ is isomorphic to Γ .

1. Preliminaries

A BCK -algebra is a system $(X, *, 0, \leq)$ satisfying the following axioms for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- (1) $(x * y) * (x * z) \leq (z * y)$
- (2) $x * (x * y) \leq y$
- (3) $x \leq x$
- (4) $0 \leq x$
- (5) $x \leq y, y \leq x$ imply $x = y$
- (6) $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$.

If X contains an element 1 such that $x \leq 1$, for all $x \in X$, then X is said to be bounded. X is called commutative if $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$ for all $x, y \in X$ where $x \wedge y = y * (y * x)$. A bounded commutative BCK -algebra X is a distributive lattice with respect to \wedge and \vee , where $x \vee y = N(Nx \wedge Ny)$ for all $x, y \in X$, and $Nx = 1 * x$ (see [4], [11],[16]). X is called implicative if $x * (y * x) = x$ for all $x, y \in X$. It is well-known that every implicative BCK -algebra is commutative but the converse is not true in general [11]. A non-empty subset J of a BCK -algebra X is called an ideal if $0 \in J$ and $x, y * x \in J$ imply $y \in J$. It follows that if J is an ideal, $x \in J$ and $y \leq x$ then $y \in J$. An ideal of a commutative BCK -algebra is closed with respect to \wedge and \vee in the sense that for any $x, y \in J$, we have $x \wedge y, x \vee y \in J$.

Indeed $x \wedge y \leq x, x \in J$ implies that $x \wedge y \in J$. To prove that $x \vee y \in J$, we recall (see Hoo [6, Theorem 6 (iii)]) that $(x \vee y) * y = x * y \leq x$ implies that $(x \vee y) * y \in J$. Again $y \in J$ and J being an ideal implies that $(x \vee y) \in J$. A non-empty subset Y of a BCK -algebra X is said to be a subalgebra of X if for any $x, y \in Y, x * y \in Y$, i.e., Y is called under the binary operation $*$ of X . It follows that if Y is a subalgebra, then $0 \in Y$. It is easy to see that $\{0\}$ and X are subalgebras in any BCK -algebra X . Moreover $\{0, x\}$ is a subalgebra for any $x \in X$. For some further properties of BCK -algebras and undefined terminology and notions used here, we refer to [9,11,16].

Definition 1.1. Let X be any BCK -algebra, for any subsets $E, F \subseteq X$, define

- (i) $E * F = \{x * y : x \in E, y \in F\}$
- (ii) $A(E) = \{x \in X : x \leq e \text{ for some } e \in E\}$

Lemma 1.2. Let x be any BCK -algebra. If $0 \in F \subseteq X$, then for each $E \subseteq X$ we have $E \subseteq E * F$.

Proof. Obvious. \square

Lemma 1.3. Let X be any BCK -algebra. If E is a subset of X , then

- (i) $E * X$ is a subset of $A(E)$.
- (ii) If X is commutative, then $E * X = A(E)$

Proof. (i) $x \in E * X$, then $x = e * y \leq e$ for some e in E and y in X . Therefore x is in $A(E)$ and hence $E * X \subseteq A(E)$. (ii) It is enough to prove that $A(E) \subseteq E * X$. Let $x \in A(E)$, then $x \leq e$ for some e in E . Hence $x * e = 0$. Therefore $x = x * (x * e) = e * (e * x) \in E * X$. So $A(E) \subseteq E * X$. \square

Lemma 1.4. Let $A, B, E \subseteq X$, then we have

- (i) $A \subseteq B$ implies that $A * E \subseteq B * E$ and $E * A \subseteq E * B$.
- (ii) $(A \cap B) * E \subseteq (A * E) \cap (B * E)$
- (iii) $E * (A \cap B) \subseteq (E * A) \cap (E * B)$
- (iv) $(A \cup B) * E = (A * E) \cup (B * E)$
- (v) $E * (A \cup B) = (E * A) \cup (E * B)$

Proof. (i) Since $x \in A * E \Rightarrow x = a * e : a \in A$ and $e \in E \Rightarrow x = a * e : a \in B$ and $e \in E \Rightarrow x \in B * E$. Therefore $A * E \subseteq B * E$. the other assertion follows similarly.

(ii) Since $A \cap B \subseteq A, B \Rightarrow (A \cap B) * E \subseteq A * E, B * E$. Hence $(A \cap B) * E \subseteq (A * E) \cap (B * E)$.

(iii) The proof follows similarly as in (ii)

(iv) Since $A, B \subseteq A \cup B$ implies that $A * E, B * E \subseteq (A \cup B) * E$. Therefore $(A * E) \cup (B * E) \subseteq (A \cup B) * E$. Now let $x \in (A \cup B) * E \Rightarrow x = y * e : y \in A \cup B, e \in E$. This implies that $x = y * e : (y \in A \text{ or } y \in B)$ and $e \in E$. Therefore $x = y * e : (y \in A, e \in E)$ or $(y \in B, e \in E)$. Hence $x = y * e : y * e \in (A * E)$ or $y * e \in (B * E)$. Thus $x \in (A * E) \cup (B * E)$. So $E * (A \cup B) \subseteq (E * A) \cup (E * B)$. Therefore $E * (A \cup B) = (E * A) \cup E * B$.

(v) follows like (iv). \square

2. Quasi-ideals and Ideals in BCK -Algebras

In this section we define the quasi-ideals and then we use the above results to prove several properties of them.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a BCK -algebra. A non-empty subset K of X is said to be a quasi-ideal in X if and only if $K * X = K$. We shall denote this by $K < SX$.

Theorem 2.2. Let X be any BCK -algebra. Then we have

- (1) Any quasi-ideal in X is a subalgebra of X .
- (2) Any ideal of X is a quasi-ideal in X .

(3) If J is a Varlet ideal (or an additive ideal) of X , then J is a quasi-ideal in X .

Proof. (1) Let K be a quasi-ideal. Since $\emptyset \neq K = K * X$, hence there exists $x \in K$ such that $0 = x * x \in K * X = K$. Moreover for any x and y in K , $x * y \in K * K \subseteq K * X = K$. Hence K is a subalgebra.

(2) Let I be an ideal in X . Then $0 \in I$, and hence $I \neq \emptyset$. For any x in I and y in X , $x * y \in I$, since $x * y \leq x$. Therefore $I * X \subseteq I$. Moreover $I = I * 0 \subseteq I * X$. Hence $I * X = I$; i.e., I is a quasi ideal.

(3) Obvious. \square

Proposition 2.3. Let X be a BCK-algebra and H, K be quasi-ideals in X . Then

(1) $H \cap K$ is a quasi-ideal in X .

(2) $H \cup K$ is a quasi-ideal in X .

Proof. (1) Let $L = H \cap K$, Then $L \subseteq L * X = (H \cap K) * X \subseteq (H * X) \cap (K * X) = H \cap K = L$. Hence $H \cap K$ is a quasi-ideal in X .

(2) As above, let $L = H \cup K$, then $L \subseteq L * X = (H \cup K) * X = (H * X) \cup (K * X) = H \cup K = L$. Therefore $H \cup K$ is a quasi-ideal in X .

In general, it is known that, the union of two ideals is not an ideal. The above two propositions lead to the following result.

Corollary 2.4. The union of two ideals of a BCK-algebra is a quasi-ideal. \square

Proposition 2.5. Let X, Y be two BCK-algebras. If H is a quasi-ideal in X and K is a quasi-ideal in Y , then $H \times K$ is a quasi-ideal in $X \times Y$.

Proof. $(H \times K) * (X \times Y) = (H * X) \times (K * Y) = H \times K$.

Counterexample 2.6. “The union of a quasi-ideal K and a subalgebra B , of a BCK-algebra X , is not, in general, a quasi-ideal.”

Proof. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $n * m = \max.\{n - m, 0\}$, $K = \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $B = \{0, 4\}$. It is easy to check that K is a quasi-ideal in X and B is a subalgebra of X . However $K \cup B = \{0, 1, 2, 4\}$ is neither a quasi-ideal nor a subalgebra. This is clear since $4 * 1 = 3 \notin K \cup B$.

Remark. “The above example shows also that B is a subalgebra which is not a quasi-ideal, and K is a quasi-ideal which is not an ideal.”

Proposition 2.7. Let X be a BCK-algebra. If K is a quasi-ideal in X and B is a subalgebra of X , then $K \cap B$ is a quasi-ideal in B .

Proof. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} (K \cap B) * B &\subseteq (K * B) \cap (B * B) \\ &\subseteq (K * X) \cap B && \text{“Since } B < X\text{”} \\ &= K \cap B && \text{“Since } K < SX\text{”} \\ &\subseteq (K \cap B) * B. && \text{“Since } 0 \in B\text{”} \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $(K \cap B) * B = K \cap B$. Therefore $K \cap B < SB$.

Proposition 2.8. Let X be a BCK-algebra. For any $x \in X$, $A(x)$ is a quasi-ideal in X .

Proof. Since $0 \in X$, then $A(x) \subseteq A(x) * X$. Let $y \in X$, then $x * y \leq x$. Hence $x * y \in A(x)$. Therefore $A(x) = A(x) * X$, i.e., $A(x)$ is a quasi-ideal in X .

Corollary 2.9. If E is a non-empty subset of a BCK-algebra X , then $A(E)$ is a quasi-ideal in X .

Proof. See Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.8.

Lemma 2.10. Let K be a quasi-ideal in a BCK -algebra X . If $0 \in E \subseteq X$, then $K * E = K$.

Proof. Since $K \subseteq K * E \subseteq K * X = K$, result follow. \square

Lemma 2.11. For any subset E of a BCK -algebra X , $X * E$ is a quasi ideal in X .

Proof. Let $(x * e) * y \in (X * E) * X$. Since $(x * e) * y = (x * y) * e \in X * E$, therefore $(X * E) * X \subseteq X * E$. Obviously $X * E \subseteq (X * E) * X$. Hence $(X * E) * X = X * E$. Thus $X * E$ is a quasi-ideal of X . \square

Corollary 2.12. Every ideal I of a BCK -algebra X contains a quasi-ideal.

Proof. For any set $E \neq \emptyset$ in X , we have $(I * E) * X = (I * X) * E = I * E$. Therefore $I * E$ is a quasi-ideal in X . On the other hand, for each $k \in I * E$, there exists $h \in I$ and $e \in E$ such that $k = h * e$. Thus $k \leq h$. This implies that $k \in I$. Hence $I * E \subseteq I$. This completes the proof. \square

We shall need the following well-known result, given by Isaki in [10], to state and prove our next lemma.

Proposition 2.13. If X is a bounded BCK -algebra, then we have the following:

- (1) The set of all involutions $J = \{k \in X : NNk = k\}$ is a subalgebra of X .
- (2) $NNNx = Nx$, for each x in X .
- (3) $(Nx) * y = (Ny) * x$, for all $x, y \in X$. \square

Remark. It is clear that $Nx \in J$, for all $x \in X$.

Lemma 2.14. Let X be a bounded BCK -algebra. Then the set of all involutions J , is a quasi-ideal of X .

Proof. Let $k \in J$ and $x \in X$. Then $NN(k * x) = NN((NNk) * x) = NN((Nx) * (Nk)) = (Nx) * (Nk) = (NNk) * x = k * x$. Therefore $k * x \in J$. This means that J is a quasi-ideal in X . \square

Lemma 2.15. If X is a bounded BCK -algebra and K is a quasi-ideal in X such that $1 \in K$, then $K \supseteq J = \{x \in X : NNx = x\}$.

Proof. Since $Nx = 1 * x$ and $1 \in K$, therefore $Nx \in K$, for each $x \in X$. This implies that $(1 * x) * x \in K$, for each $x \in X$. So $x \in K$ whenever $NNx = x$. This means that $K \supseteq J$. \square

Using the above two lemmas, we get the following

Theorem 2.16. In any bounded BCK -algebra X , the set of all involutions, J , is the smallest quasi-ideal in X , that contains 1 . \square

Lemma 2.17. If X a bounded BCK -algebra such that $NNx = x$, for all $x \in X$ and K is a quasi-ideal in X , then $1 \in K$ if and only if $K = X$.

Proof. Suppose that K is a quasi-ideal in X and $1 \in K$, therefore $1 * x \in K$, for all $x \in X$. Similarly $1 * (1 * x) \in K$, for all $x \in X$. Since $1 * (1 * x) = x$, for all $x \in X$, so $x \in K$, for all $x \in X$. This proves that $K = X$. The other implication is obvious. \square

Theorem 2.18. In any bounded commutative BCK -algebra X , there is no proper quasi-ideal in X that contains 1 . \square

Theorem 2.19. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an onto BCK -homomorphism.

- (1) If K is a quasi-ideal in X , then $f(K)$ is a quasi-ideal in Y .
- (2) If H is a quasi-ideal in Y , then $f^{-1}(H)$ is a quasi-ideal in X .

Proof. (1) Let $f(k) \in f(K)$, for some $k \in K$. Then for any $y \in Y$, there exists $x \in X$ such that $f(k) * y = f(k) * f(x) = f(k * x) \in f(K)$. Therefore $f(K)$ is a quasi-ideal in Y .

(2) If $k \in f^{-1}(H)$ and $x \in X$ are arbitrary, then $f(k) \in H$ and $f(x) \in Y$. Since H is a quasi-ideal in Y , thus $f(k * x) = f(k) * f(x) \in H$. This implies that $k * x \in f^{-1}(H)$. Hence $f^{-1}(H)$ is a quasi ideal in X . \square

Theorem 2.20. If J is an ideal of a BCK-algebra X and $x \notin J$, then the set

$$\Omega_{x,J} = \{y \in X : y * x \in J\}$$

is a quasi-ideal of X , which contains x and J .

Proof. If $u \in \Omega_{x,J}$ and $z \in X$, then $(u * z) * x = (u * x) * z \leq u * x \in J$. Since J is an ideal, then $(u * z) * x \in J$. This implies that $u * z \in \Omega_{x,J}$. Therefore $\Omega_{x,J}$ is a quasi ideal. \square

Note: The following example shows that $\Omega_{x,J}$ is not the smallest quasi-ideal containing x and J .

Counterexample 2.21. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ in which the operation $*$ is given by the following table:

| | | | | | |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|
| $*$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 |

Then $(X, *, 0)$ is a BCK-algebra. It is easy to verify that $J = \{0, 1, 2\}$ is an ideal of X . The quasi-ideal $\Omega_{4,J} = \{y \in X : y * 4 \in \{0, 1, 2\}\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\} = X$. This implies that $\Omega_{4,J}$ is not the minimal quasi-ideal containing 4 and J , since $K = \{0, 1, 2, 4\}$ is a quasi ideal of X .

3. Extensions of BCK-algebras

Let $(X, *_x, .0_x)$ and $(\Gamma, *_\Gamma, 0_\Gamma)$ be BCK-algebras. If K is a quasi-ideal of X , then for each $\gamma \in \Gamma - \{0_\Gamma\}$, let $\varphi_\gamma(K)$ denotes a set of new distinct elements equal in number to the elements of K . Let $\varphi_\Gamma(K)$ be the union of all $\varphi_\gamma(K), \gamma \neq 0$.

Definition 3.1. Let $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma) = \varphi_0(x) \cup \varphi_\Gamma(K)$ such that:

(E-1) $\varphi_0(x) = x$, for each x in X .

(E-2) If $\alpha \neq \beta$, then $\varphi_\alpha(x) \neq \varphi_\beta(y)$, for all α, β in Γ and all x, y in X .

Theorem 3.2. If the operation $*$ and the relation \leq are defined in $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$ by

(E-3) $\varphi_\alpha(x) * \varphi_\beta(y) = \varphi_{\alpha * \beta}(x * y)$, for all α, β in Γ and all x, y in X and

(E-4) $\varphi_\alpha(x) \leq \varphi_\beta(y)$ if and only if $\alpha \leq \beta$ and $x \leq y$, then

(1) $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$ is a BCK-algebra and

(2) X is an ideal of $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$.

Proof. From now on we shall write $*$ instead of $*_x$ or $*_\Gamma$ and 0 instead of 0_x or 0_Γ and $E(X, K : \Gamma)$ instead of $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$ whenever there is no confusion. First we show that $E(X, K : \Gamma)$ is a BCK-algebra. Hence let $x, y, z \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$. We observe that $(x * y) * (x * z) \leq z * x$ and $(\alpha * \beta) * (\alpha * \gamma) \leq \gamma * \beta$. By axioms (E-3) and (E-4), therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 1. \quad [\varphi_\alpha(x) * \varphi_\beta(y)] * [\varphi_\alpha(x) * \varphi_\gamma(z)] &= [\varphi_{\alpha * \beta}(x * y)] * [\varphi_{\alpha * \gamma}(x * z)] \\ &= \varphi_{[(\alpha * \beta) * (\alpha * \gamma)]}[(x * y) * (x * z)] \\ &\leq \varphi_{\gamma * \beta}(z * y) \\ &= \varphi_\gamma(z) * \varphi_\beta(y). \end{aligned}$$

2. Since $\alpha * (\alpha * \beta) \leq \beta$ and $x * (x * y) \leq y$, therefore by (E-3) and (E-4) we have

$$\varphi_\alpha(x) * [\varphi_\alpha(x) * \varphi_\beta(y)] = \varphi_{\alpha * (\alpha * \beta)}(x * (x * y)) \leq \varphi_\beta(y).$$

3. Since $\alpha \leq \alpha$ and $x \leq x$, then (E-4) gives $\varphi_\alpha(x) \leq \varphi_\alpha(x)$.

4. By (E-1) and (E-4): $0 = \varphi_0(0) \leq \varphi_\alpha(x)$.

5. By (E-3) and (E-4); $\varphi_\alpha(x) \leq \varphi_\beta(y)$ if and only if $\alpha \leq \beta, x \leq y$ if and only if $\alpha * \beta = 0, x * y = 0$ if and only if $\varphi_{\alpha * \beta}(x * y) = 0$ if and only if $\varphi_\alpha(x) * \varphi_\beta(y) = 0$.

6. Using 5. above, (E-3) and (E-4) we have $\varphi_\alpha(x) \leq \varphi_\beta(y)$ and $\varphi_\beta(y) \leq \varphi_\alpha(x)$ imply that $\varphi_{\alpha * \beta}(x * y) = 0 = \varphi_{\beta * \alpha}(y * x)$. Hence, by (E-2); $\alpha * \beta = 0 = \beta * \alpha$ and $x * y = 0 = y * x$. So we have $\alpha = \beta$ and $x = y$. Therefore $\varphi_\alpha(x) = \varphi_\beta(y)$. This implies that $E(X, K : \Gamma)$ is a *BCK*-algebra

Secondly to prove that X is an ideal, we observe that $\varphi_\alpha(x)$ is in X if and only if $\alpha = 0$. Hence $\varphi_0(x) = x$. Now let $\varphi_\alpha(x)$ and $\varphi_\beta(y) * \varphi_\alpha(x)$ be in X . Hence $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta * \alpha = 0$. Therefore $\beta = 0$. So $\varphi_\beta(y) = y \in X$. This proves that X is an ideal in $E(X, K : \Gamma)$. \square

Definition 3.3. $E(X, K : \Gamma)$ is called the extension of X by K over Γ .

Theorem 3.4. If X and Γ are commutative, positive implicative or implicative, then so is $E(X, K : \Gamma)$.

proof. Let X and Γ be commutative, then: $\varphi_\alpha(x) * [\varphi_\alpha(x) * \varphi_\beta(y)] = \varphi_{\alpha * (\alpha * \beta)}(x * (x * y)) = \varphi_{\beta * (\beta * \alpha)}(y * (y * x)) = \varphi_\beta(y) [\varphi_\beta(y) * \varphi_\alpha(x)]$. Hence $E(X, K : \Gamma)$ is commutative. The rest of the proof is similar. \square

The following lemmas give various situations in which $E(X, K : \Gamma)$ is not bounded though X, K or Γ may be bounded.

Lemma 3.5. Let X and Γ be bounded *BCK*-algebras. If K is a quasi-ideal of X such that $1 \notin K$, then $E(X, K : \Gamma)$ is not bounded.

Proof. Suppose $\varphi_\beta(h)$ is an upper bound for some $\beta \in \Gamma$ and $h \in X$. Hence $\varphi_\alpha(k) * \varphi_\beta(h) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $k \in X$, i.e., $\varphi_{\alpha * \beta}(k * h) = 0$. This implies that $\alpha * \beta = 0$ and $k * h = 0$. Therefore $\alpha \leq \beta$ and $k \leq h$. Hence $\beta = 1$ and $h = 1$. Since $1 \notin K$, therefore $\varphi_1(1) \notin E(X, K : \Gamma)$ and thus we have a contradiction. \square

Corollary 3.6. If $1 \in K$, then $\varphi_1(1)$ is an upper bound in $E(X, K : \Gamma)$. \square

We observe that K may be a bounded quasi-ideal with an upper bound different from 1, i.e., $A(x)$ for some $x \in X$. Thus we have:

Corollary 3.7. If K is bounded and $1 \notin K$, then $E(X, K : \Gamma)$ is not bounded. \square

Corollary 3.8. If $E(X, K : \Gamma)$ is bounded, then X, K and Γ are bounded and $1 \in K$.

Proof. See Lemma 3.5 and Corollary 3.7. \square

Lemma 3.9. Let $E(X, K : \Gamma)$ be the extension of X by K over Γ , then for all $\varphi_\alpha(x)$ and $\varphi_\beta(y)$ in $E(X, K : \Gamma)$ we have:

$$(1) \quad \varphi_\alpha(x) \wedge \varphi_\beta(y) = \varphi_{\alpha \wedge \beta}(x \wedge y)$$

(2) If $E(X, K : \Gamma)$ is bounded, then

$$(i) \quad N(\varphi_\alpha(x)) = \varphi_{N\alpha}(Nx) \quad (ii) \quad \varphi_\alpha(x) \vee \varphi_\beta(y) = \varphi_{\alpha \vee \beta}(x \vee y)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \varphi_\alpha(x) \wedge \varphi_\beta(y) &= \varphi_\beta(y) * [\varphi_\beta(y) * \varphi_\alpha(x)] \\ &= \varphi_\beta(y) * [\varphi_{\beta * \alpha}(y * x)] \\ &= \varphi_{\beta * (\beta * \alpha)}(y * (y * x)) = \varphi_{\alpha \wedge \beta}(x \wedge y) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2) \text{ (i) } N\varphi_\alpha(x) = \varphi_1(1) * \varphi_\alpha(x) = \varphi_{1*\alpha}(1 * x) = \varphi_{N\alpha}(Nx).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii) } \quad \varphi_\alpha(x) \vee \varphi_\beta(y) &= N[N\varphi_\alpha(x) \wedge N\varphi_\beta(y)] = N[\varphi_{N\alpha}(Nx) \wedge \varphi_{N\beta}(Ny)] \\ &= N[\varphi_{N\alpha \wedge N\beta}(Nx \wedge Ny)] = \varphi_{N(N\alpha \wedge N\beta)}(N(Nx \wedge Ny)) \\ &= \varphi_{\alpha \vee \beta}(x \vee y). \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.10. In $E(X, K : \Gamma)$, $\varphi_\alpha(x)$ is an involution if and only if x and α are involutions in X and Γ respectively.

Proof. Clear by 2(i) above. \square

Lemma 3.11. If $E(X, K : \Gamma)$ is bounded, then $NN\varphi_\alpha(x) = x \vee \varphi_\alpha(0)$, for any x in X and α in Γ .

Proof. For any x in X and α in Γ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \vee \varphi_\alpha(0) &= N[Nx \wedge N\varphi_\alpha(0)] \\ &= N[N\varphi_0(x) \wedge N\varphi_\alpha(0)] \\ &= N[\varphi_{N0}(Nx) \wedge \varphi_{N\alpha}(N0)] \\ &= N[\varphi_1(Nx) \wedge \varphi_{N\alpha}(1)] \\ &= N[\varphi_{1 \wedge N\alpha}(Nx \wedge 1)] \\ &= N[\varphi_{N\alpha}(Nx)] \\ &= NN\varphi_\alpha(x). \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.12. If $E(X, K : \Gamma)$ is bounded such that $NNx = x$ and $NN\alpha = \alpha$, for each x in X and α in Γ , then $\varphi_\alpha(x) = x \vee \varphi_\alpha(0)$. \square

Corollary 3.13. If $E(X, K : \Gamma)$ is bounded and commutative, then $\varphi_\alpha(x) = x \vee \varphi_\alpha(0)$. \square

Notation (1) If $\Lambda \subseteq \Gamma$ and $Y \subseteq X$, then we write $\varphi_\Lambda(Y) = \{\varphi_\beta(y) : \beta \in \Lambda \text{ and } y \in Y\}$.

(2) If $x \wedge y = 0$, we call $z = x \vee y$ the direct join of x and y .

Notice. In a bounded involutive or commutative BCK-Extension $E(X, K : \Gamma)$, $\varphi_\alpha(x)$ is the direct join of x and $\varphi_\alpha(0)$.

Lemma 3.14. In $E(X, K : \Gamma)$, we have: $A(\varphi_\alpha(x)) = \varphi_{A(\alpha)}(A(x))$.

Proof. $\varphi_\beta(y) \in A(\varphi_\alpha(x))$ if and only if $\varphi_\beta(y) \leq \varphi_\alpha(x)$ if and only if $\beta \leq \alpha, y \leq x$ if and only if $\beta \in A(\alpha), y \in A(x)$ if and only if $\beta \in A(\alpha), \varphi_\beta(y) \in \varphi_\beta(A(x))$ if and only if $\varphi_\beta(y) \in \varphi_{A(\alpha)}(A(x))$. \square

Lemma 3.15. $E(X, K : \Gamma)$ is not, in general, a chain eventhough X, K and Γ are chains.

Proof. Let $\varphi_\alpha(x), \varphi_\beta(y) \in E(X, K, \Gamma)$ such that $\alpha \leq \beta$ and $y \leq x; y \neq x$. Then $\varphi_\alpha(x) * \varphi_\beta(y) \neq 0 \neq \varphi_\beta(y) * \varphi_\alpha(x)$. \square

4. Subalgebras, Quasi-ideals and Ideals of BCK-Extensions

Lemma 4.1. Let X and Γ be BCK-algebras. If Y is a subalgebra of X , K is a quasi-ideal in X and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ then $Z = Y \cup \varphi_\gamma(K \cap Y)$ is a subalgebra of $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$.

Proof. It is clear $0 \in Z$. To prove that $x * y \in Z$, for any $x, y \in Z$, consider the following cases:

(1) If $x, y \in Y$, then $x * y \in Y \subseteq Z$.

(2) If $x, y \in \varphi_\gamma(K \cap Y)$, then there exist $h, k \in K \cap Y$ such that $x = \varphi_\gamma(h)$ and $y = \varphi_\gamma(k)$. This implies that $x * y = \varphi_\gamma(h) * \varphi_\gamma(k) = h * k \in Y \subseteq Z$.

(3) If $x \in Y$ and $y \in \varphi_\gamma(K \cap Y)$, then $x * y = x * \varphi_\gamma(k)$, for some $k \in K \cap Y$. Therefore $x * y = x * k$. Hence $x * y \in Z$. On the other hand $y * x = \varphi_\gamma(k) * x = \varphi_\gamma(k * x) \in \varphi_\gamma(K \cap Y)$. This implies that $y * x \in Z$. \square

Note. In 4.1 $Z = Y \cup \varphi_\gamma(K \cap Y)$ can be written as $E_\Phi(Y, K \cap Y : \{0, \gamma\})$.

Corollary 4.2. If X, Y, K and Γ are as above and $B = \{0, \gamma\}$, for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $E_\Phi(X, K : B)$ and $E_\Phi(K, K : B)$ are subalgebras of $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$. \square

Corollary 4.3. Let X, Γ be BCK -algebras and K be a quasi-ideal of X . Then we have the following:

(1) If Y is subalgebra of X , then Y is a subalgebra of $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$.

(2) If Y is a subalgebra of K , then $Y \cup \varphi_\gamma(Y)$ is a subalgebra of $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$. \square

Lemma 4.4. Let X, Γ be BCK -algebras and K be a quasi-ideal of X . If B and Y are subalgebras of Γ and X respectively; and $H = K \cap Y$, then $E_\Phi(Y, H : B)$ is a subalgebra of $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$.

Proof. It is clear that $0 \in E_\Phi(Y, H : B)$. To prove that $x * y \in E_\Phi(Y, H : B)$, for any $x, y \in E_\Phi(Y, H : B)$, consider the following cases:

(1) If $x, y \in Y$, then $x * y \in Y$.

(2) If $x \in Y$ and $y = \varphi_t(h)$, where $t \in B, h \in H$, then $x * y = x * \varphi_t(h) = x * h \in Y$ (since $H \subseteq Y$). Since H is a quasi-ideal of Y , then $h * y \in H$. Therefore $\varphi_t(h) * x \in E_\Phi(Y, H : B)$. This implies that $y * x = \varphi_t(h) * x = \varphi_t(h * x) \in E_\Phi(Y, H : B)$.

(3) If $x = \varphi_r(h)$ and $y = \varphi_t(k)$ are in $E_\Phi(Y, H : B)$, then $x * y = \varphi_r(h) * \varphi_t(k) = \varphi_{r*t}(h * k)$ is in $E_\Phi(Y, H : B)$, since $r * t \in B$ and $h * k \in H$. \square

Corollary 4.5. Let X and Γ be BCK -algebras. If H is a quasi-ideal of X , then H is a quasi-ideal of $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$.

Proof. By corollary 4.3 H is a subalgebra. Let $h \in H, x \in X$ and $k \in K$. Then $h * x \in H$. Moreover $h * \varphi_\gamma(k) = \varphi_0(h) * \varphi_\gamma(k) = \varphi_{0*\gamma}(h * k) = \varphi_0(h * k) = h * k \in H$. \square

Theorem 4.6. Let Y and K be quasi-ideals of a BCK -algebra X . If B is quasi-ideal of a BCK -algebra Γ and $H = Y \cap K$, then $E_\Phi(Y, H : B)$ is a quasi-ideal of $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon \in E_\Phi(Y, H : B)$ and $e \in E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$ be arbitrary elements, then we need to prove that $\varepsilon * e$ is in $E_\Phi(Y, H : B)$. Consider the following four cases: (1) If $\varepsilon = y \in Y$ and $e = x \in X$, then $\varepsilon * e = y * x \in Y$; since Y is a quasi-ideal of X . Therefore $\varepsilon * e$ is in $E_\Phi(Y, H : B)$. (2) If $\varepsilon = y \in Y$ and $e = \varphi_\gamma(k)$, for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $k \in K$. In this case $\varepsilon * e = y * \varphi_\gamma(k) = y * k \in Y$, as above. (3) If $e = x \in X$ and $\varepsilon = \varphi_t(h)$, for some $t \in B$ and $h \in H$. Then $\varepsilon * e = \varphi_t(h) * x = \varphi_t(h * x)$. since H is a quasi-ideal of $X, h * x \in H$. This implies that $\varepsilon * e \in E_\Phi(Y, H : B)$. (4) Finally, if $\varepsilon = \varphi_t(h)$ and $e = \varphi_\gamma(k)$ for some $t \in B, h \in H, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $k \in K$, then $\varepsilon * e = \varphi_t(h) * \varphi_\gamma(k) = \varphi_{t*\gamma}(h * k)$. Since B and H are quasi-ideals in Γ and X , respectively, we get $t * \gamma \in B$ and $h * k \in H$. Therefore $\varepsilon * e = \varphi_{t*\gamma}(h * k)$ is in $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$. \square

For any two subsets $B \subseteq \Gamma$ and $Z \subseteq X$, let $\varphi_B(Z) = \{\varphi_t(z) : t \in B \text{ and } z \in Z\}$. Using this notation we get the following.

Theorem 4.7. If K is a quasi-ideal of a BCK -Algebra X and Γ is another BCK -algebra, then $F = K \cup \varphi_{\Gamma'}(K)$ is a quasi-ideal of $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$, where $\Gamma' = \Gamma - \{0\}$.

Proof. In fact $F = E_\Phi(K, K : \Gamma)$. Therefore, by putting $Y = K$ result follows by Theorem 4.6. \square

Theorem 4.8. Let X and Γ be BCK-algebras. If J and E are ideals of X and Γ , respectively; and K is any quasi-ideal of X , then , we have $E_{\Phi}(J, J \cap K : E)$ is an ideal of $E_{\Phi}(X, K : \Gamma)$.

Proof. If ε and $e * \varepsilon$ are in $E_{\Phi}(J, J \cap K : E)$, for some e in $E_{\Phi}(X, K : \Gamma)$, then we need to prove that e is in $E_{\Phi}(J, J \cap K : E)$. Consider the following four cases: (1) If $e = x \in X$ and $\varepsilon = k \in J$, then $x * k = e * \varepsilon \in E_{\Phi}(J, J \cap K : E)$. This implies that $x * k \in J$. Therefore $x \in J$. Hence $e = x$ is in $E_{\Phi}(J, J \cap K : E)$. (2) If $e = x \in X$ and $\varepsilon = \varphi_{\beta}(t)$, for some $\beta \in E$ and $t \in J \cap K$, then $e * \varepsilon = x * \varphi_{\beta}(t) = x * t \in J$ (as above). Therefore $x \in J$. Hence $e = x$ is in $E_{\Phi}(J, J \cap K : E)$. (3) If $e = \varphi_{\gamma}(k)$, for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $k \in K$ and, $\varepsilon = t \in J$, then $e * \varepsilon = \varphi_{\gamma}(k) * t = \varphi_{\gamma}(k * t)$. This implies that $\gamma \in E$ and $k * t \in J \cap K$. Therefore $\gamma \in E$ and $k \in J$. Hence $\gamma \in E$ and $k \in J \cap K$. Thus $e = \varphi_{\gamma}(k)$ is in $E_{\Phi}(J, J \cap K : E)$. (4) Let $e = \varphi_{\gamma}(k)$ and $\varepsilon = \varphi_{\beta}(t)$, for some $\gamma \in \Gamma, k \in K, \beta \in E$ and $t \in J \cap K$. Then $e * \varepsilon = \varphi_{\gamma}(k) * \varphi_{\beta}(t) = \varphi_{\gamma * \beta}(k * t) \in E_{\Phi}(J, J \cap K : E)$. This means that $\gamma * \beta \in E$ and $k * t \in J \cap K$. Since E is an ideal of Γ , we get $\gamma \in E$. Meanwhile $k * t \in J$ implies that $k \in J$. Therefore $\gamma \in E$ and $k \in H \cap K$. Thus $e = \varphi_{\gamma}(k)$ is in $E_{\Phi}(J, J \cap K : E)$. \square

Using this theorem we get the following results.

Corollary 4.9. Let X and Γ be BCK-algebras such that J and E are ideals of X and Γ , respectively. If $K \supseteq K_1$ and they are quasi-ideals of X and J , respectively, then $E_{\Phi}(J, K_1 : E)$ is an ideal of $E_{\Phi}(X, K : \Gamma)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.8, since $K_1 \subseteq J \cap K$. \square

Corollary 4.10. Let X and Γ be BCK-algebras such that J and E are ideals of X and Γ , respectively. IF K is any quasi-ideal of X then, $J \cup E$ is an ideal of $E_{\Phi}(X, K : \Gamma)$.

Proof. In Corollary 4.9, let $K_1 = \{0\}$. Since $E_{\Phi}(J, \{0\} : E) = J \cup E$, result follows. We observe that E represents the set $\{\varphi_{\gamma}(0) : \gamma \in E\}$ for obvious reasons. \square

Theorem 4.11. If $E_{\Phi}(X, K : \Gamma)$ and $E_{\Psi}(X, H : \Gamma)$ are the extensions of X by K and H respectively over Γ , then $E_{\Psi}(E_{\Phi}(X, K : \Gamma), H : \Gamma) = E_{\Phi}(E_{\Psi}(X, H : \Gamma), K : \Gamma)$.

Proof. Let $Y = E_{\Phi}(X, K : \Gamma)$ and $Z = E_{\Psi}(X, H : \Gamma)$. Since H is a quasi-ideal of Y , see Corollary 4.5, then $Y \cup \Psi_{\Gamma}(H)$ can be considered as the extension $E_{\Psi}(Y, H : \Gamma)$. Similarly $Z \cup \Phi_{\Gamma}(K)$ can be considered as the extension $E_{\Phi}(Z, K : \Gamma)$. Then setwise and by definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{\Psi}(E_{\Phi}(X, K : \Gamma), H : \Gamma) &= E_{\Psi}(Y, H : \Gamma) \\
 &= Y \cup \Psi_{\Gamma}(H) \\
 &= E_{\Phi}(X, K : \Gamma) \cup \Psi_{\Gamma}(H) \\
 &= [X \cup \Phi_{\Gamma}(K)] \cup \Psi_{\Gamma}(H) \\
 &= [X \cup \Psi_{\Gamma}(H)] \cup \Phi_{\Gamma}(K) \\
 &= E_{\Psi}(X, H : \Gamma) \cup \Phi_{\Gamma}(K) \\
 &= Z \cup \Phi_{\Gamma}(K) \\
 &= E_{\Phi}(Z, K : \Gamma) \\
 &= E_{\Phi}(E_{\Psi}(X, H : \Gamma), K : \Gamma).
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for each $\varphi_{\alpha}(k)$ and $\psi_{\gamma}(h)$ in $E_{\Psi}(Y, H : \Gamma) = E_{\Phi}(Z, K : \Gamma)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_{\gamma}(h) * \varphi_{\alpha}(k) &= \psi_{\gamma}(h * \varphi_{\alpha}(k)) \\
 &= \psi_{\gamma}(h * k)
 \end{aligned}$$

is in $\Psi_\Gamma(H)$, for $H \subseteq X \subseteq Y$ and $H * K = H$. Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_\alpha(k) * \psi_\gamma(h) &= \varphi_\alpha(k * \psi_\gamma(h)) \\ &= \varphi_\alpha(k * h)\end{aligned}$$

is in $\Phi_\Gamma(K)$, for $K \subseteq X \subseteq Z$ and $K * H = K$. Similarly one can prove that $a * b$ is well defined by considering $a, b \in E_\Phi(E_\Psi(X, H : \Gamma), K : \Gamma = E_\Psi(E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma), H : \Gamma))$. Hence

$$E_\Phi(E_\Psi(X, H : \Gamma), K : \Gamma) = E_\Psi(E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma), H : \Gamma)$$

as *BCK*-algebras. \square

Corollary 4.12. Let X, Y, Z, Γ, K and H be given as above. Then $Y = E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$ and $Z = E_\Psi(X, H : \Gamma)$ are ideals in $E_\Psi(E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma), H : \Gamma)$.

Proof. See Theorems 3.2, 4.11. \square

5. Isomorphism Theorems

Theorem 5.1 (First Isomorphism Theorem Part 1) Let X and Γ be *BCK*-algebras. If K is a quasi-ideal of X , then $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)/X$ is isomorphic to Γ .

Proof. From Theorem 3.2, we have X is an ideal in $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$. Next we show that for any α in Γ , $\varphi_\alpha(K)$ is an equivalence class, that is an element of $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)/X$. Let $\varphi_\alpha(x)$ and $\varphi_\beta(y)$ be in $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$; and \sim be the equivalence relation: $\varphi_\alpha(x) \sim \varphi_\beta(y)$ if and only if $\varphi_\alpha(x) * \varphi_\beta(y)$ and $\varphi_\beta(y) * \varphi_\alpha(x)$ are in X . Therefore $\varphi_{\alpha * \beta}(x * y)$ and $\varphi_{\beta * \alpha}(y * x)$ are in X . This implies that $\alpha * \beta = 0 = \beta * \alpha$, whence $\alpha = \beta$. Therefore, if $\alpha \neq 0$, $[\varphi_\alpha(h)] = [\varphi_\beta(k)]$ if and only if $\alpha = \beta$. So $[\varphi_\alpha(h)] = \varphi_\alpha(K)$ is an equivalence class for each $\alpha \neq 0$. If $\alpha = 0$, then $\varphi_0(x) \sim \varphi_0(y)$ for each x and each y in X . Therefore $[\varphi_0(x)] = X$ is an equivalence class. It should be noted that $\varphi_\alpha(K) * \varphi_\beta(K) = \varphi_{\alpha * \beta}(K)$, $\varphi_\alpha(K) * X = \varphi_\alpha(K * X) = \varphi_\alpha(K)$ and $X * \varphi_\alpha(K) = X * K = X$, whenever $\alpha \neq 0 \neq \beta$. Now let the function $f : E(X, K : \Gamma)/X \rightarrow \Gamma$ be defined as: $f(X) = f([\varphi_0(x)]) = 0$ and $f(\varphi_\alpha(K)) = f([\varphi_\alpha(k)]) = \alpha$. This implies that:

$$\begin{aligned}f(\varphi_\alpha(K) * \varphi_\beta(K)) &= \alpha * \beta = f(\varphi_\alpha(K)) * f(\varphi_\beta(K)), \\ f(\varphi_\alpha(K) * X) &= f(\varphi_\alpha(K)) = \alpha = \alpha * 0 = f(\varphi_\alpha(K)) * f(X) \quad \text{and} \\ f(X * \varphi_\alpha(K)) &= f(X) = 0 = 0 * \alpha = f(X) * f(\varphi_\alpha(K)).\end{aligned}$$

Hence f is an epimorphism (homomorphism and onto). To prove that f is a one to one function (injective), let $f(\varphi_\alpha(K)) = f(\varphi_\beta(K))$. Then by definition of f , $\alpha = \beta$. Thus $\varphi_\alpha(K) = \varphi_\beta(K)$. Therefore result follows. \square

Definition 5.2. Let K be a quasi-ideal of a *BCK*-algebra X and Γ be any *BCK*-algebra. If $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$ is the extension of X by K over Γ , Z is any subset of Γ and $x \in X$, then $\Phi_Z(x)$ is defined to be $\{\varphi_\gamma(x) : \gamma \in Z\}$.

Lemma 5.3. If B is a subalgebra, an ideal or a quasi-ideal of Γ , then $\Phi_B(0)$ is a subalgebra, an ideal or a quasi-ideal of $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$ respectively. Moreover B is isomorphic to $\Phi_B(0)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\Phi_B(0) = E_\Phi(\{0\}, \{0\} : B)$. Then the first part of lemma follows directly by Lemma 4.4, Theorem 4.6 and Theorem 4.8. To prove the second part, let the function $\zeta : B \rightarrow \Phi_B(0)$ be given by $\zeta(\beta) = \varphi_\beta(0)$. It is clear that this map is one-to-one. If α and β are in B , then $\zeta(\alpha * \beta) = \varphi_{\alpha * \beta}(0) = \varphi_{\alpha * \beta}(0 * 0) = \varphi_\alpha(0) * \varphi_\beta(0) = \zeta(\alpha) * \zeta(\beta)$. This means that ζ is a homomorphism and result follows. \square

Theorem 5.4 (First Isomorphism Theorem Part 2). Let X and Γ be BCK-algebras. If K is a quasi-ideal of X , then Γ can be embedded as an ideal in $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$ in which case $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)/\Gamma$ is isomorphic to X .

Proof. From Lemma 5.3, Γ is isomorphic to $\Phi_\Gamma(0)$. Hence Γ can be considered as an ideal in $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$ for $\Phi_\Gamma(0)$ is an ideal in $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$, again by Lemma 5.3. So we can define $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)/\Gamma$ which in fact is $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)/\Phi_\Gamma(0)$. We note that the elements of $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)/\Gamma$ are of the form $\Phi_\Gamma(x)$ and the operation is given as $\Phi_\Gamma(x) * \Phi_\Gamma(y) = \Phi_\Gamma(x * y)$, where x and y are in X . Let the map $\zeta : X \rightarrow E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)/\Gamma$ be defined as $\zeta(x) = \Phi_\Gamma(x)$. Let $\Phi_\Gamma(x) = \Phi_\Gamma(y)$. This implies that $\Phi_\Gamma(x) * \Phi_\Gamma(y) = \Phi_\Gamma(0)$. Therefore $\Phi_\Gamma(x * y) = \Phi_\Gamma(y * x) = \Phi_\Gamma(0)$. Hence $x * y = y * x = 0$. This means that $x = y$. Therefore ζ is one to one. It is clear that ζ is onto. Hence ζ is a one-to-one correspondence. To prove that ζ is a homomorphism, let x and y be in X . Then $\zeta(x * y) = \Phi_\Gamma(x * y) = \Phi_\Gamma(x) * \Phi_\Gamma(y) = \zeta(x) * \zeta(y)$. This implies that ζ is a homomorphism and theorem is proved. \square

Theorem 5.5 (Second Isomorphism Theorem). If X and Γ are BCK-algebras and K is a quasi-ideal in X , then $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)/\Gamma$ is an ideal in $E_\Psi(E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma), X : \Gamma)/\Gamma$ and $[E_\Psi(E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma), X : \Gamma)/\Gamma]/[E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)/\Gamma]$ is isomorphic to $E_\Psi(E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma), X : \Gamma)/E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$.

Proof. Using Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.4, we get $E_\Psi(E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma), X : \Gamma)/\Gamma$ is isomorphic to $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$ and $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)/\Gamma$ is isomorphic to X . Since X is an ideal in $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$, thus $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)/\Gamma$ is an ideal in $E_\Psi(E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma), X : \Gamma)/\Gamma$. Now

$$[E_\Psi(E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma), X : \Gamma)/\Gamma]/[E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)/\Gamma] \cong E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)/X \cong \Gamma. \quad (1)$$

Moreover

$$E_\Psi(E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma), X : \Gamma)/E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma) \cong \Gamma. \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2) above result follows. \square

Lemma 5.6. If X and Γ are BCK-algebras; then $E_\Phi(X, \{0\} : \Gamma)$ is isomorphic to $X \cup \Gamma$.

Proof. We have $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma) = X \cup \Phi_\Gamma(K)$. Therefore $E_\Phi(X, \{0\} : \Gamma) = X \cup \Phi_\Gamma(0)$. This implies that $E_\Phi(X, \{0\} : \Gamma)$ is isomorphic to $X \cup \Gamma$, for $\Phi_\Gamma(0)$ is isomorphic to Γ , see Lemma 5.3 \square

Using this lemma we deduce Iseki's and Imai's theorem (see[7]) in the following corollary.

Corollary 5.7. Let X and Y be BCK-algebras such that $X \cap Y = \{0\}$, then $X \cup Y$ is a BCK-algebra.

Proof. $X \cup Y = E_\Phi(X, \{0\} : Y)$. \square

Theorem 5.8. Let X and Γ be BCK-algebras. If K is an ideal of X , then K is an ideal of $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$. Moreover $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)/K$ is isomorphic to $E_\Phi(X/K, [0] : \Gamma)$ which is isomorphic to $(X/K) \cup \Gamma$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, we have X is an ideal of $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$. Since K is an ideal of X , then K is an ideal of $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$. Let $\varphi_\alpha(k)$ and $\varphi_\beta(h)$ be in $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$; and \sim be the equivalence relation: $\varphi_\alpha(k) \sim \varphi_\beta(h)$ if and only if $\varphi_\alpha(k) * \varphi_\beta(h)$ and $\varphi_\beta(h) * \varphi_\alpha(k)$ are in K . Then it is clear that the equivalence classes are the elements of $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)/K$. Let us compute these classes; $\varphi_\alpha(k) * \varphi_\beta(h)$ and $\varphi_\beta(h) * \varphi_\alpha(k)$ are in K ; if and only if $\varphi_{\alpha * \beta}(k * h)$ and $\varphi_{\beta * \alpha}(h * k)$ are in K ; if and only if $\alpha * \beta = 0 = \beta * \alpha$ and $k * h, h * k \in K$; if and only if $\alpha = \beta$ and $k * h, h * k \in K$. This implies that whenever $\alpha \neq 0$, we get $h, k \in K$ and therefore the equivalence class $[\varphi_\alpha(k)] = \Phi_\alpha(K)$, for any $\alpha \neq 0$ and $k \in K$. Moreover $[\varphi_\alpha(k)] \cap X = \Phi_\alpha(K) \cap X = \emptyset$. Next we prove that any class in $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)/K$ other than

$\Phi_\alpha(K)$, $\alpha \neq 0$; is in X/K . This means that these classes are of the form $[\varphi_0(x)] = [x] \in X/K$. Let $\varphi_\alpha(k) \in [\varphi_0(x)]$, for some $\alpha \in \Gamma, k \in K$ and $x \in X$. Therefore $\varphi_\alpha(k) * \varphi_0(x)$ and $\varphi_0(x) * \varphi_\alpha(k)$ are in K . Hence $\varphi_{\alpha*0}(k * x)$ and $\varphi_{0*\alpha}(x * k)$ are in K . This implies that $\alpha = 0$ and $k * x, x * k \in K$. Therefore $k \in [x]$ as desired. Hence any class $[x]$ in X/K is a class in $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)/K$. So we get $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)/K = (X/K) \cup \{\Phi_\alpha(K) : \alpha \neq 0\}$. Since $K = [0]$, we have $(X/K) \cup \{\Phi_\alpha(K) : \alpha \neq 0\} = E_\Phi(X/K, [0] : \Gamma)$. This proves that $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)/K = E_\Phi(X/K, [0] : \Gamma)$. \square

Remark. It should be noted that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\alpha(K) * \Phi_\beta(K) &= \{\varphi_\alpha(k) * \varphi_\beta(h) : k, h \in K\} \\ &= \{\varphi_{\alpha*\beta}(k * h) : k, h \in K\} \\ &= \{\varphi_{\alpha*\beta}(k') : k' \in K\} \\ &= \Phi_{\alpha*\beta}(K). \end{aligned}$$

And the product, in $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)/K$, of $[x]$ and $[y]$ is the same as in X/K .

Theorem 5.9. For any BCK-algebras X and Γ , if H and K are ideals of X such that $H \subseteq K$, then H is an ideal in $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$ and $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)/H$ is isomorphic to $E_\Phi(X/H, K/H : \Gamma)$.

Proof. Clear by the above theorem. \square

Theorem 5.10. If X and Γ are BCK-algebras and K is a quasi-ideal in X , then $K \times \Gamma$ is embedded in $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$ as a quasi-ideal.

Proof. By Theorem 4.10, we have $F = K \cup \Phi_\Gamma(K) = E_\Phi(K, K : \Gamma)$ is a quasi-ideal in $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$. Now let the map $\zeta : K \times \Gamma \rightarrow F$ be given by $\zeta(k, \gamma) = \varphi_\gamma(k)$. Then it is clear that ζ is one-to-one and onto. To prove that ζ is a homomorphism, let (h, β) and (k, γ) be in $K \times \Gamma$, then $\zeta((h, \beta) * (k, \gamma)) = \zeta(h * k, \beta * \gamma) = \varphi_{\beta*\gamma}(h * k) = \varphi_\beta(h) * \varphi_\gamma(k) = \zeta(h, \beta) * \zeta(k, \gamma)$. Therefore $K \times \Gamma$ is isomorphic to a quasi-ideal in $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$ and result follows. \square

Theorem 5.11. If H and K are quasi-ideals of a BCK-algebra X and B is a quasi-ideal of a BCK-algebra Γ , then $H \cup B$ is embedded in $E_\Phi(X, K : \Gamma)$ as a quasi-ideal.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 5.6. \square

REFERENCES

1. J. Ahsan and A. B. Thaheem, *On ideals in BCK-algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes, 5 (1977), 167-172.
2. J. Ahsan, E. Y. Deeba and A. B. Thaheem, *On prime ideals of BCK-algebras*, Math. Japonica 36(1991), 875-882.
3. M. Aslam and A. B. Thaheem, *On certain ideals in BCK-algebras*, Math. Japonica 36(1991), 895-906.
4. W. H. Cornich, *On Iseki' BCK-algebras*, Algebraic structures and applications Proc. of the First Western Australian Conference on Algebra, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 101-122.
5. .
6. C. S. Hoo and P. V. Ramana Murty, *The ideals of bounded commutative BCK-algebras*, Math. Japonica 32 (1987), 723-233.
7. K. Iseki and E. Y. Imai, *On axiom system of propositional calculi*, Proc. Japan Academy 42(1966), 19-22.
8. K. Iseki, *On some ideals in BCK-algebras*, Seminar Notes 3(1975), 65-70.
9. K. Iseki and S. Tanaka, *Ideal Theory of BCK-algebras*, Math. Japonica 21(1976), 351-366.
10. K. Iseki, *On implicative BCK-algebras with condition (S)*, Math. Seminar Notes 5(1977), 249-253.
11. K. Iseki and S. Tanaka, *An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras*, Math. Japonica 23(1978), 1-26.
12. H. Jiang, *Computational methods in the study of finite BCK-algebras with low orders*, Kobe J. Math. 7(1990), 33-46.

13. M. Palasinski, *Ideals in BCK -algebra which are lower semilattice*, Math. Japonica 26(1981), 245-250.
14. M. Palasinski, *Decomposition of ideals in certain BCK -algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes 10 (1982), 467-471.
15. A. B. Thaheem, *Characterizations of certain ideals in BCK -algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes 6(1978), 475-481.
16. T. Traczyk, *On the variety of bounded commutative BCK -algebras*, Math. Japonica 24(1979), 283-292.
17. J. C. Varlet, *Relative annihilators in semilattices*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 9(1973), 169-185.
18. H. Yutani, *Disjoint unions of BCK -algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes 8(1980), 421-423.