

RELATIONS BETWEEN SOME CLASSES OF FUNCTIONS

U. GOGINAVA

Received March 9, 2000; revised June 26, 2000

ABSTRACT. In this paper the necessary and sufficient conditions for the inclusion of classes H^ω and $V[v(n)]$ in the class $BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty)$ is found.

It is well-known that the notion of variation of a function was introduced by C. Jordan in 1881 in the paper [6], devoted to the convergence of Fourier series. In 1924 N. Wiener [11] generalized this notion and introduced the notion of p -variation. L. Young [12] introduced the notion of Φ -variation of a function.

Definition 1 (see [12]) *Let Φ be a strictly increasing continuous function on $[0, +\infty)$ and $\Phi(0) = 0$. f will be said to have bounded Φ -variation on $[0, 1]$, or $f \in V_\Phi$ if*

$$v_\Phi(f) = \sup_{\Pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \Phi(|f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})|) < \infty,$$

where $\Pi = \{0 \leq x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n \leq 1\}$ is an arbitrary partition.

If $\Phi(u) = u$ the V_Φ coincides with the Jordan class V and when $\Phi(u) = u^p$, $p > 1$ it coincides with the Wiener class V_p .

$C(0, 1)$ and $B(0, 1)$ are, respectively, spaces of continuous and bounded functions given on $[0, 1]$.

In 1974 Z.A. Chanturia [3] introduced the notion of the modulus of variation of a function.

Definition 2 (see [3]) *The modulus of variation of function $f \in B(0, 1)$ is said to be the function $v(n, f)$ defined as: $v(0, f) = 0$ and for $n \geq 1$*

$$v(n, f) = \sup_{\Pi_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f(t_{2k+1}) - f(t_{2k})|,$$

where Π_n is an arbitrary partition of $[0, 1]$ into n disjoint intervals (t_{2k}, t_{2k+1}) , $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.

If $v(n)$ is a non-decreasing and convex upwards function and $v(0) = 0$ then $v(n)$ will be called the modulus of variation [3].

Let the modulus of variation $v(n)$ is given, then the class of functions f , given on $[0, 1]$, for which $v(n, f) = O(v(n))$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, will be denoted by $V[v(n)]$ [3].

In 1990 H. Kita and K. Yoneda [7] introduced the notion of the generalized Wiener's class $BV(p(n) \uparrow p)$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26A45.

Key words and phrases. Modulus of variations, generalized Wiener's class.

Let f be a function defined on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ with period 1. Δ is said to be a partition with period 1, if

$$(1) \quad \Delta : \dots < t_{-1} < t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m < t_{m+1} < \dots$$

satisfies $t_{k+m} = t_k + 1$ for $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, where m is a positive integer.

Definition 3 (see [7]) *When $1 \leq p(n) \uparrow p$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, where $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$, f is said to be a function of $BV(p(n) \uparrow p)$ if and only if*

$$V(f; p(n) \uparrow p) = \sup_{n \geq 1} \sup_{\Delta} \left\{ \left(\sum_{k=1}^m |f(t_k) - f(t_{k-1})|^{p(n)} \right)^{1/p(n)} : \rho(\Delta) \geq \frac{1}{2^n} \right\} < +\infty,$$

where $\rho(\Delta) = \inf_k |t_k - t_{k-1}|$.

When $p(n) = p$ for all n , $BV(p(n) \uparrow p)$ coincides with V_p which is the Wiener's classes of bounded p -variation.

If $f \in C(0, 1)$, then the function

$$\omega(\delta, f) = \max \{ |f(x) - f(y)| : |x - y| \leq \delta, x, y \in [0, 1] \}$$

is called the modulus of continuity of the function f .

The modulus of continuity of an arbitrary function $f \in C(0, 1)$ has the following properties:

1. $\omega(0) = 0$;
2. $\omega(\delta)$ is nondecreasing;
3. $\omega(\delta)$ is continuous on $[0, 1]$;
4. $\omega(\delta_1 + \delta_2) \leq \omega(\delta_1) + \omega(\delta_2)$ for $0 \leq \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \delta_1 + \delta_2 \leq 1$.

An arbitrary function $\omega(\delta)$ which is defined on $[0, 1]$ and has the properties 1-4 is called the modulus of continuity.

If the modulus of continuity $\omega(\delta)$ is given then H^ω denoted the class of function $f \in C(0, 1)$ for which $\omega(\delta, f) = O(\omega(\delta))$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

The relation between different classes of generalized bounded variation was taken into account in the works of Avdaspahic [1], Kovocik [8], Belov [2], Chanturia [4] and Medvedieva [9].

H.Kita and K.Yoneda [7] proved some sufficient conditions for the inclusion of classes H^ω and $V[v(n)]$ in the class $BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty)$. In this paper the necessary and sufficient conditions for this inclusion is found. In particular, we prove the followings

Theorem 1 $H^\omega \subset BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty)$ if and only if

$$(2) \quad \omega(t) = O\left(t^{1/p(\lceil \log_2 1/t \rceil)}\right) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0+.$$

Theorem 2 $V[v(n)] \subset BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty)$ if and only if

$$(3) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2^n} (v(k) - v(k-1))^{p(n)} \right)^{1/p(n)} < +\infty.$$

For the proof of this theorems two lemmas are needed:

Lemma 1 (Oskolkov [10]) *Let there be given disjoint intervals $\Delta_k \subset [0, 1]$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and $\{g_k : k \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of periodic functions with period 1 such that $g_k(x) = 0$ for $x \in [0, 1] \setminus \Delta_k$, if $\omega(\delta, g_k) \leq \omega(\delta)$ and the functions g is defined by*

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k(x)$$

then

$$\omega(\delta, g) \leq 2\omega(\delta).$$

Lemma 2 (see [5], p. 111) *Let $0 \leq a_n \downarrow$, $0 \leq b_n \downarrow$, and let the relations*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k b_i$$

be true for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then for convex functions Φ the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \Phi(a_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \Phi(b_i)$$

holds.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $f \in H^\omega$ and Δ be a partition defined by (1) such that $\rho(\Delta) \geq \frac{1}{2^n}$. Then from the condition of the theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |f(t_j) - f(t_{j-1})|^{p(n)} \right)^{1/p(n)} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (\omega(t_j - t_{j-1}, f))^{p(n)} \right)^{1/p(n)} \\ & = O \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^m (\omega(t_j - t_{j-1}))^{p(n)} \right)^{1/p(n)} \right) \\ & = O \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^m (t_j - t_{j-1})^{\frac{p(n)}{p\left(\left\lceil \log \frac{1}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \right\rceil\right)}} \right)^{1/p(n)} \right) \\ & = O \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^m (t_j - t_{j-1})^{\frac{p(n)}{p\left(\left\lceil \log \frac{1}{\rho(\Delta)} \right\rceil\right)}} \right)^{1/p(n)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= O \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^m (t_j - t_{j-1}) \right)^{1/p(n)} \right) = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore $f \in BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty)$ holds.

Next we suppose that $\{p(n) : n \geq 1\}$ and $\omega(\delta)$ does not satisfy (2). As an example we construct function from H^ω which is not in $BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty)$.

Since $\omega(t) (t^{1/p(\lceil \log_2 1/t \rceil)})^{-1}$ is not bounded by hypothesis, there exists a sequence of positive numbers $\{u'_k \downarrow 0 : k \geq 1\}$ such that

$$\omega(u'_k) (u'_k)^{-1/p(\lceil \log_2 1/u'_k \rceil)} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then it is evident that there exists a sequence $\{u_k : k \geq 1\} \subset \{u'_k : k \geq 1\}$ such that

$$(4) \quad 4 \sqrt{\frac{u_k}{\omega(u_k)^{p(\lceil \log 1/u_k \rceil)}}} + 5u_k \leq u_{k-1}.$$

Consider the function f_k defined by

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} \omega(u_k), & \text{if } x = (4j+3)u_k, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m_k; \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in [0, u_k] \cup [(4m_k+5)u_k, 1], \quad x = (4j+1)u_k, \\ & j = 1, 2, \dots, m_k; \\ \text{is linear and continuous} & \text{for other } x \in [0, 1], \end{cases}$$

where

$$m_k = \left[\sqrt{\frac{1}{\omega(u_k)^{p(\lceil \log 1/u_k \rceil)} u_k}} \right].$$

Let

$$f_0(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(x), \quad f_0(0) = 0$$

and

$$f_0(x+l) = f_0(x), \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

First we prove that $f_0 \in H^\omega$. Let $\delta \leq u_k$. Since $\frac{\omega(\delta_1)}{\delta_1} \leq 2 \frac{\omega(\delta_2)}{\delta_2}$, $0 < \delta_2 < \delta_1$, it follows that

$$(5) \quad \omega(\delta, f_k) = O \left(\delta \frac{\omega(u_k)}{u_k} \right) = O(\omega(\delta)).$$

Let $\delta > u_k$. Since $\omega(\delta)$ is non-decreasing function we get

$$(6) \quad \omega(\delta, f_k) \leq 2 \|f_k\|_C = 2\omega(u_k) \leq 2\omega(\delta).$$

From (5) and (6) we have

$$(7) \quad \omega(\delta, f_k) = O(\omega(\delta)).$$

From Lemma 1 and by (4), (7) we obtain

$$f_0 \in H^\omega.$$

Next we shall prove that $f_0 \notin BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty)$. From the construction of the function we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_k} |f_0((4j+3)u_k) - f_0((4j+1)u_k)|^{p(\lfloor \log 1/u_k \rfloor)} \right)^{1/p(\lfloor \log 1/u_k \rfloor)} \\
&= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_k} |f_k((4j+3)u_k) - f_k((4j+1)u_k)|^{p(\lfloor \log 1/u_k \rfloor)} \right)^{1/p(\lfloor \log 1/u_k \rfloor)} \\
&= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_k} |f_k((4j+3)u_k)|^{p(\lfloor \log 1/u_k \rfloor)} \right)^{1/p(\lfloor \log 1/u_k \rfloor)} \\
&= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \omega(u_k)^{p(\lfloor \log 1/u_k \rfloor)} \right)^{1/p(\lfloor \log 1/u_k \rfloor)} \\
&= \omega(u_k) m_k^{1/p(\lfloor \log 1/u_k \rfloor)} \\
&\geq c\omega(u_k) \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{\omega(u_k)^{p(\lfloor \log 1/u_k \rfloor)} u_k}} \right)^{1/p(\lfloor \log 1/u_k \rfloor)} \\
&= c\sqrt{\omega(u_k) u_k^{-1/p(\lfloor \log 1/u_k \rfloor)}} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get $f_0 \notin BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty)$ and the proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $f \in V[v(n)]$ and $\Delta: \dots < t_{-1} < t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m < t_{m+1} < \dots$ be any partition with period 1 and $\rho(\Delta) \geq \frac{1}{2^n}$. Without loss of generality it may be assumed that

$$|f(t_j) - f(t_{j-1})| \geq |f(t_{j+1}) - f(t_j)|, \quad j = 1, \dots, m-1.$$

It is evident that

$$\sum_{j=1}^m |f(t_j) - f(t_{j-1})| \leq v(m) = \sum_{j=1}^m (v(j) - v(j-1)).$$

Since $v(n)$ is upwards convex, for any $n \geq 1$

$$(8) \quad v(n+1) - v(n) \leq v(n) - v(n-1),$$

if we take $a_k = |f(t_k) - f(t_{k-1})|$, $b_k = v(k) - v(k-1)$ and $\Phi(u) = u^{p(n)}$, and apply Lemma 2, from the condition of the theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^m |f(t_j) - f(t_{j-1})|^{p(n)} \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^m (v(j) - v(j-1))^{p(n)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} (v(j) - v(j-1))^{p(n)}, \\ &\left(\sum_{j=1}^m |f(t_j) - f(t_{j-1})|^{p(n)} \right)^{1/p(n)} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^n} (v(j) - v(j-1))^{p(n)} \right)^{1/p(n)} \leq c < \infty, \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we proved that $f \in BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty)$.

Next we suppose that the condition (3) does not satisfy. As an example we construct function from $V[v(n)]$ which is not in $BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty)$.

Since

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^n} (v(j) - v(j-1))^{p(n)} \right)^{1/p(n)} = \infty,$$

there exists a sequence of integers $\{m_k : k \geq 1\}$ such that

$$(9) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{m_k}} (v(j) - v(j-1))^{p(m_k)} \right)^{1/p(m_k)} = \infty.$$

We choose a monotone increasing sequence of positive integers $\{n_k : k \geq 1\} \subset \{m_k : k \geq 1\}$ such that

$$(10) \quad p(n_k) \geq n_{k-1}.$$

$$(11) \quad n_k \geq 2n_{k-1}$$

From (10) it is evident that

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n_{k-1}} - 2^{n_{k-2}} - 1} (v(j) - v(j-1))^{p(n_k)} \right)^{1/p(n_k)} \\ &\leq c 2^{\frac{n_{k-1}}{p(n_k)}} \leq c < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the inequality

$$(13) \quad \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \right)^p \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^p \quad (0 < p \leq 1, a_k \geq 0, k = 1, 2, \dots),$$

by (9) and (12) we get

$$(14) \quad \left(\sum_{j=2^{n_{k-1}} - 2^{n_{k-2}} - 1 + 1}^{2^{n_k}} (v(j) - v(j-1))^{p(n_k)} \right)^{1/p(n_k)} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

From (13) we have

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{j=2^{n_k-1}-n_{k-2}-1+1}^{2^{n_k}} (v(j) - v(j-1))^{p(n_k)} \right)^{1/p(n_k)} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{j=2^{n_k-1}-n_{k-2}-1+1}^{2^{n_k}-n_{k-1}-1} (v(j) - v(j-1))^{p(n_k)} \right)^{1/p(n_k)} \\ & \quad + \left(\sum_{j=2^{n_k}-n_{k-1}-1+1}^{2^{n_k}} (v(j) - v(j-1))^{p(n_k)} \right)^{1/p(n_k)}. \end{aligned}$$

First we prove that

$$(16) \quad \left(\sum_{j=2^{n_k-1}-n_{k-2}-1+1}^{2^{n_k}-n_{k-1}-1} (v(j) - v(j-1))^{p(n_k)} \right)^{1/p(n_k)} \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

We suppose that $\{n_k : k \geq 1\}$ does not satisfy (16).

From (8),(10) and (11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{j=2^{n_k-1}-n_{k-2}-1+1}^{2^{n_k}-n_{k-1}-1} (v(j) - v(j-1))^{p(n_k)} \right)^{1/p(n_k)} \\ & \geq \left[(v(2^{n_k}-n_{k-1}-1) - v(2^{n_k}-n_{k-1}-1-1))^{p(n_k)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times (2^{n_k}-n_{k-1}-1 - 2^{n_{k-1}-n_{k-2}-1}) \right]^{1/p(n_k)} \\ & \geq c [v(2^{n_k}-n_{k-1}-1) - v(2^{n_k}-n_{k-1}-1-1)] \frac{2^{n_k/p(n_k)}}{2^{n_{k-1}/p(n_k)}} \\ & \geq c [v(2^{n_k}-n_{k-1}-1) - v(2^{n_k}-n_{k-1}-1-1)] 2^{n_k/p(n_k)}, \end{aligned}$$

then by hypothesis we get

$$(17) \quad v(2^{n_k}-n_{k-1}-1) - v(2^{n_k}-n_{k-1}-1-1) = O\left(2^{-n_k/p(n_k)}\right).$$

By (8) and (17) we get

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{j=2^{n_k}-n_{k-1}-1+1}^{2^{n_k}} (v(j) - v(j-1))^{p(n_k)} \right)^{1/p(n_k)} \\ & \leq [v(2^{n_k}-n_{k-1}-1) - v(2^{n_k}-n_{k-1}-1-1)] 2^{\frac{n_k}{p(n_k)}} \\ & \quad = O(1) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

From (15),(18) and by hypothesis we obtain

$$(19) \quad \left(\sum_{j=2^{n_k-1}-n_{k-2}-1+1}^{2^{n_k}} (v(j) - v(j-1))^{p(n_k)} \right)^{1/p(n_k)} \leq c < \infty \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

We arrive at a contradiction (see (14)).

Therefore we get

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{j=2^{n_k-1}-n_{k-2}-1+1}^{2^{n_k}-n_{k-1}-1} (v(j) - v(j-1))^{p(n_k)} \right)^{1/p(n_k)} \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n_k}-n_{k-1}-1-2^{n_k-1}-n_{k-2}-1} (v(j+2^{n_k-1}-n_{k-2}-1) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - v(j+2^{n_k-1}-n_{k-2}-1-1))^{p(n_k)} \right)^{1/p(n_k)} \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the function $g_k(x)$ defined by

$$g_k(x) = \begin{cases} v(2^{n_k-1}-n_{k-2}-1+j) - v(2^{n_k-1}-n_{k-2}-1+j-1), & \text{if } x = \frac{2j}{2^{n_k}}, \\ j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n_k}-n_{k-1}-1 - 2^{n_k-1}-n_{k-2}-1 - 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2^{n_k}}] \cup \left[\frac{2^{n_k}-n_{k-1}-1-2^{n_k-1}-n_{k-2}-1}{2^{n_k}}, 1 \right], \\ x = \frac{2j+1}{2^{n_k}}, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n_k}-n_{k-1}-1 - 2^{n_k-1}-n_{k-2}-1 - 1, \\ \text{is linear and continuous for other } x \in [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} g_k(x), \quad g(0) = 0$$

and

$$g(x+l) = g(x), \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

First we prove that $g \in V[v(n)]$. For any positive integer $n \geq 2^{n_2-n_1-1}$ we choose an integer $k(n)$ such that

$$2^{n_{k(n)-1}-n_{k(n)-2}-1} \leq n < 2^{n_{k(n)}-n_{k(n)-1}-1}.$$

Denote

$$m(n) = 2^{n_{k(n)-1}-n_{k(n)-2}-1}.$$

It is evident that

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} & v(n, g) \leq \\ & \leq c \left(\sum_{k=3}^{k(n)-1} v(2^{n_k}-n_{k-1}-1 - 2^{n_k-1}-n_{k-2}-1, g_k) + v(n - m(n), g_{k(n)}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the construction of the function we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(22) \quad & \sum_{k=3}^{k(n)-1} v(2^{n_k-n_{k-1}-1} - 2^{n_{k-1}-n_{k-2}-1}, g_k) \\
& \leq \sum_{k=3}^{k(n)-1} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n_k-n_{k-1}-1} - 2^{n_{k-1}-n_{k-2}-1} - 1} [v(2^{n_{k-1}-n_{k-2}-1} + j) \\
& \quad - v(2^{n_{k-1}-n_{k-2}-1} + j - 1)] \\
& \leq \sum_{k=3}^{k(n)-1} [v(2^{n_k-n_{k-1}-1}) - v(2^{n_{k-1}-n_{k-2}-1})] \\
& \leq cv(2^{n_{k(n)-1}-n_{k(n)-2}-1}) \leq cv(n).
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(23) \quad & v(n - m(n), g_{k(n)}) \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-m(n)} [v(2^{n_{k(n)-1}-n_{k(n)-2}-1} + j) - v(2^{n_{k(n)-1}-n_{k(n)-2}-1} + j - 1)] \\
& = v(n - m(n) + 2^{n_{k(n)-1}-n_{k(n)-2}-1}) - v(2^{n_{k(n)-1}-n_{k(n)-2}-1}) \leq v(n).
\end{aligned}$$

Owing to (21), (22) and (23) we get $g \in V[v(n)]$.

Finally we prove that $g \notin BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty)$. By (20) and from the construction of the function we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n_k-n_{k-1}-1} - 2^{n_{k-1}-n_{k-2}-1} - 1} \left| g\left(\frac{2j-1}{2^{n_k}}\right) - g\left(\frac{2j}{2^{n_k}}\right) \right|^{p(n_k)} \right)^{1/p(n_k)} \\
& = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n_k-n_{k-1}-1} - 2^{n_{k-1}-n_{k-2}-1} - 1} \left| g_k\left(\frac{2j-1}{2^{n_k}}\right) - g_k\left(\frac{2j}{2^{n_k}}\right) \right|^{p(n_k)} \right)^{1/p(n_k)} \\
& = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n_k-n_{k-1}-1} - 2^{n_{k-1}-n_{k-2}-1} - 1} \left| g_k\left(\frac{2j}{2^{n_k}}\right) \right|^{p(n_k)} \right)^{1/p(n_k)} \\
& = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n_k-n_{k-1}-1} - 2^{n_{k-1}-n_{k-2}-1} - 1} (v(2^{n_{k-1}-n_{k-2}-1} + j) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - v(2^{n_{k-1}-n_{k-2}-1} + j - 1))^{p(n_k)} \right)^{1/p(n_k)} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get $g \notin BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty)$ and the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.Avdispahic. On the classes ΛBV and $V[v(n)]$. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **95**(1985), 230-235.
- [2] A.S. Belov . Relations between some classes of generalized variation. Reports of enlarged sessions of the seminar of I.Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics **3**(1988),11-13.(Russian)
- [3] Z.A. Chanturia. The modulus of variation and its application in the theory of Fourier. *Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR*, **214** (1974), 63-66. (Russian)
- [4] Z.A. Chanturia. On the uniform convergence of Fourier series. *Mat. sb.* **100** (1976), 534-554.(Russian)
- [5] G.H.Hardy, J.E.Littlewood, G.Polya. Inequalities, Cambridge, 1934.
- [6] C. Jordan. Sur la series de Fourier. *C.R. Acad. Sci.*, Paris, **92** (1881), 228-230.
- [7] H. Kita, K. Yoneda. A Generalization of bounded variation. *Acta Math. Hung.* **56** (1990), 229-238.
- [8] O.Kovacik. On the embedding $H^\omega \subset V_p$. *Math.Slovaca.* **43**(1993),573-578.
- [9] M.V. Medvedieva. On the inclusion of classes H^ω . *Mat.Zametki.* **64**(1998),713-719. (Russian)
- [10] K.N.Oskolkov. Non-ampliability of Lebesgue estimates for approximation of functions by the Fourier sums with the given modulus of continuity. *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **112**(1971), 337-345. (Russian)
- [11] N. Wiener. The quadratic variation of a function and its Fourier coefficients, *Massachusetts J. of Math.*, **3** (1924), 72-94.
- [12] L.C. Young. Sur un generalization de la notion de variation de Winer et sur la convergence de series de Fourier, *C.R. Acad. Sci.* Paris, **204** (1937), 470-472.

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, TBILISI STATE UNIVERSITY,
1, CHAVCHAVADZE ST., TBILISI 380028, GEORGIA