ON MAXIMAL IDEALS OF ORDERED SEMIGROUPS

NIOVI KEHAYOPULU AND MICHAEL TSINGELIS

Received February 9, 2001

ABSTRACT. For a semigroup (or ordered semigroup) S, we denote by $\mathcal{I}(M)$ the ideal of S generated by M ($M \subseteq S$). In this note we prove the following: If S is an ordered semigroup (or a semigroup), then a proper ideal M of S is a maximal ideal of S if and only if for every $a \in S \setminus M$, we have $\mathcal{I}(M \cup \{a\}) = S$. If S is a finitely generated ordered semigroup (or a semigroup), then each proper ideal of S is contained in a maximal ideal of S. If S is an ordered semigroup (or a semigroup) for which there exists an element a of S such that $\mathcal{I}(a) = S$, then each proper ideal of S is contained in a maximal ideal of S. Similar results hold if, in the results above, we replace the word "ideal" by "left ideal" or "right ideal".

If $(S, ., \leq)$ is an ordered semigroup, a non-empty subset A of S is called a left (resp. right) ideal of S if 1) $SA \subseteq A$ (resp. $AS \subseteq A$) and 2) $a \in A$, $S \ni b \leq a$ implies $b \in A$. The non-empty subset A of S is called an ideal of S if it is both a left and a right ideal of S [1]. An ideal I of a semigroup (resp. ordered semigroup) S is called prime if $a, b \in S$ such that $ab \in I$ implies $a \in I$ or $b \in I$. Equivalent Definition: $A, B \subseteq S$ such that $AB \subseteq I$ implies $A \subseteq I$ [1].

If S is an ordered semigroup (resp. semigroup) and $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq S$, we denote by $\mathcal{I}(A)$ the ideal of S generated by A i.e. the smallest -under inclusion relation- ideal of S containing A. We denote by $\mathcal{L}(A)$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}(A)$) the left (resp. right) ideal of S generated by A. If S is an ordered semigroup and $H \subseteq S$, we denote $(H] := \{t \in S \mid t \leq h \text{ for some } h \in H\}$. For an ordered semigroup S, we have $\mathcal{I}(A) = (A \cup SA \cup AS \cup SAS]$, $\mathcal{L}(A) = (A \cup SA]$, $\mathcal{R}(A) = (A \cup SA)$ (cf. [1]). For a semigroup S, we have $\mathcal{I}(A) = A \cup SA \cup AS \cup SAS$, $\mathcal{L}(A) = A \cup SA$, $\mathcal{R}(A) = A \cup AS$. For $A = \{a\}$, we write $\mathcal{I}(a)$ instead of $\mathcal{I}(\{a\})$. Similarly, we write $\mathcal{L}(a)$, $\mathcal{R}(a)$.

We denote by $S \setminus M$ the complement of S to M.

1. An ideal M of a semigroup (resp. ordered semigroup) S is called proper if $M \neq S$ [2]. A proper ideal M of a semigroup (resp. ordered semigroup) S is called maximal if there exists no ideal T of S such that $M \subset T \subset S$, equivalently, if for each ideal T of S such that $M \subseteq T$, we have T = M or T = S (cf. also [1]).

Proposition 1. Let S be an ordered semigroup (resp. semigroup) and M a proper ideal of S. Then M is a maximal ideal of S if and only if for every $a \in S \setminus M$, we have $\mathcal{I}(M \cup \{a\}) = S$.

Proof. \Rightarrow . Let $a \in S \setminus M$. Since $M \subseteq M \cup \{a\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(M \cup \{a\})$, $\mathcal{I}(M \cup \{a\})$ is an ideal of S and M a maximal ideal of S, we have $\mathcal{I}(M \cup \{a\}) = M$ or $\mathcal{I}(M \cup \{a\}) = S$. Since

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 06F05.

Key words and phrases. Proper, maximal ideal of ordered semigroups.

 $a \in \mathcal{I}(M \cup \{a\})$ and $a \notin M$, we have $\mathcal{I}(M \cup \{a\}) \neq M$. So $\mathcal{I}(M \cup \{a\}) = S$.

 \Leftarrow . Let S be an ordered semigroup, T an ideal of S, $M \subseteq T$ and $M \neq T$. Let $a \in T$, $a \notin M$. Since $a \in S \setminus M$, we have $\mathcal{I}(M \cup \{a\}) = S$. Since $M \subseteq T$ and $a \in T$, we have $M \cup \{a\} \subseteq T$. Thus we have

$$S = \mathcal{I}(M \cup \{a\}) = ((M \cup \{a\}) \cup S(M \cup \{a\}) \cup (M \cup \{a\})S \cup S(M \cup \{a\})S]$$
$$\subseteq (T \cup ST \cup TS \cup STS] = (T] = T. \quad \Box$$

A chain is, by definition, a non-empty set.

Lemma 1. If (P, \leq) is an ordered set and $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$ a chain in P, then there exists an element $a_k \in \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$ such that $a_i \leq a_k$ for every $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

Proof. If $a_i \leq a_1$ for every i = 1, 2, ..., n, then we finish. Suppose for the element $a_2 \in \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\}$ we have $a_2 \leq a_1$. (Otherwise, we change the order of the elements in $\{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\}$). Then $a_1 \leq a_2$. If $a_i \leq a_2$ for every i = 3, 4, ..., n, then we have

 $a_1 \leq a_2, \quad a_3, a_4, \dots, a_n \leq a_2, \text{ and we finish.}$

Suppose for the element $a_3 \in \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$, we have $a_3 \not\leq a_2$. Then $a_2 \leq a_3$. We continue this way.

Suppose for the element $a_{n-2} \in \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$, we have $a_{n-2} \not\leq a_{n-3}$. Then $a_{n-3} \leq a_{n-2}$. If $a_i \leq a_{n-2}$ for every i = n - 1, n, then we have

 $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_{n-3} \leq a_{n-2}, \quad a_{n-1}, a_n \leq a_{n-2}, \text{ and we finish }.$ Suppose for the element $a_{n-1} \in \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$, we have $a_{n-1} \not\leq a_{n-2}$. Then $a_{n-2} \leq a_{n-1}$. If $a_n \leq a_{n-1}$, then we have $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_{n-2} \leq a_{n-1}, a_n \leq a_{n-1}, \text{ and we finish.}$ If $a_n \not\leq a_{n-1}$, then $a_{n-1} \leq a_n$, and $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_{n-2} \leq a_{n-1} \leq a_n$. \Box .

By Lemma 1, we have the Lemma 2 below:

Lemma 2. If S is a non-empty set and $\{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n\}$ a chain in $(\mathcal{P}(S), \subseteq)$, then there exists a set $A_k \in \{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n\}$ such that $A_i \subseteq A_k$ for every $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ \Box .

Let S be an ordered semigroup (resp. semigroup) and $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq S$. We say that S is generated by A, and write $S = \langle A \rangle$, if

For each $x \in S$ there exist $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$ (*n* natural number) such that $x = a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$. For $A = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$, we write $\langle b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle$ instead of $\langle \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\} \rangle$.

Proposition 2. Let S be a finitely generated ordered semigroup (resp. semigroup). Then, each proper ideal of S is contained in a maximal ideal of S.

Proof. Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in S$ such tha $S = \langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \rangle$, and I a proper ideal of S. We consider the set:

$$\mathcal{A} := \{ J \mid J \text{ ideal of } S, I \subseteq J \subseteq S \}.$$

We have $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$ (since $I \in \mathcal{A}$), thus (\mathcal{A}, \subseteq) is an ordered set. Let \mathcal{B} be a chain in \mathcal{A} . The set $\bigcup \{B \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is an upper bound of \mathcal{B} in \mathcal{A} . In fact: Since $\mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$, the set $\bigcup \{B \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is an ideal of S -the proof is easy.
$$\begin{split} &I \subseteq \bigcup \{B \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\} \subset S. \text{ In fact:} \\ &\text{Since } I \subseteq B \subset S \ \forall \ B \in \mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{B}, \text{ we have } I \subseteq \bigcup \{B \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\} \subseteq S. \\ &\text{Let } I \subseteq \bigcup \{B \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\} = S. \text{ Since } a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in S, \text{ we have} \end{split}$$

 $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \bigcup \{B \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\}.$

Let $a_1 \in B_1, a_2 \in B_2, \ldots, a_n \in B_n$ for some $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n \in \mathcal{B}$. Since \mathcal{B} is a chain in (\mathcal{A}, \subseteq) and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(S)$, \mathcal{B} is a chain in $\mathcal{P}(S)$, \mathcal{B} is a chain in $(\mathcal{P}(S), \subseteq)$. Since $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n \in \mathcal{B}$, $\{B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n\}$ is a chain in $(\mathcal{P}(S), \subseteq)$. By Lemma 2, there exists $B_k \in \{B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n\}$ such that $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n \subseteq B_k$. Then $a_i \in B_k$ for every $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

We have $B_k = S$. Indeed: Let $x \in S$. Since $S = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$, we have

 $x = b_1 \dots b_m$ for some $b_1, \dots, b_m \in \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}.$

Since $b_m = a_1(\in B_1)$ or $b_m = a_2(\in B_2)$ or or $b_m = a_n(\in B_n)$, we have $b_m \in B_k$. Then we have $x \in SB_k \subseteq B_k$. On the other hand, since $B_k \in \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, we have $B_k \subset S$. Contradiction.

By Zorn's Lemma, there exists a maximal element in \mathcal{A} , say M. Since $M \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $I \subseteq M$, and $M \subset S$ (that is, M is a proper ideal of S). Let T be an ideal of S such that $M \subseteq T$ and $T \neq M$ (\Rightarrow T = S?) Let $T \neq S$. Since $I \subseteq M$, we have $I \subseteq T \subset S$, and $T \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $M \subseteq T \in \mathcal{A}$ and M maximal in \mathcal{A} , we have M = T. Impossible. \Box

If S is an ordered semigroup (resp. semigroup), a unit of S is an element $e \in S$ such that ex = xe = x for all $x \in S$.

Proposition 3. Let S be an ordered semigroup (resp. semigroup) for which there exists an element $a \in S$ such that $\mathcal{I}(a) = S$. Then, each proper ideal of S is contained in a maximal ideal of S.

Proof. Let I be a proper ideal of S. We consider the set:

 $\mathcal{A} := \{ J \mid J \text{ ideal of } S, \ I \subseteq J \subset S \}.$

Since $I \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$, then the set $M := \bigcup \{J \mid J \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is an ideal of S, and $I \subseteq M$. The set M is a maximal ideal of S. In fact:

If M = S, then $a \in \bigcup \{J \mid J \in A\}$. Then there exists $J \in A$ such that $a \in J$. Since J is an ideal of S containing a, by hypothesis, we have $S = \mathcal{I}(a) \subseteq J$. On the other hand, since $J \in A$, we have $J \subset S$. Impossible. Thus M is a proper ideal of S.

Let now T be an ideal of S such that $M \subseteq T$ and $T \neq S$. Then we have $I \subseteq M \subseteq T \subset S$, $T \in \mathcal{A}$, and $T \subseteq M$. Then T = M.

Remark 1. If S is an ordered semigroup (resp. semigroup) and if there is a unit element $e \in S$, then $\mathcal{I}(e) = S$. In fact: Let $x \in S$. Then

$$x = xe \in Se \subseteq (e \cup Se \cup eS \cup SeS] = \mathcal{I}(e). \quad \Box$$

By Proposition 3 and Remark 1, we have the following:

Proposition 4. Let S be an ordered semigroup (resp. semigroup) with unit. Then each proper ideal of S is contained in a maximal ideal of S.

Remark 2. Let S be an ordered semigroup. If $a \in S$ such that (Sa] = S (or (aS]=S or (SaS]=S), then $\mathcal{I}(a) = S$. In fact, we have

$$S = (Sa] \subseteq (a \cup Sa \cup aS \cup SaS] = \mathcal{I}(a) \subseteq S.$$

If $a \in S$ such that Sa = S, then (Sa] = (S] = S. If $a \in S$ such that aS = S (resp. SaS=S), then (aS] = S (resp. (SaS] = S).

If now S is a semigroup such that Sa = S or aS = S or SaS = S, then $\mathcal{I}(a) = S$.

Remark 3. If for an ordered semigroup (or a semigroup) S there exists an element $a \in S$ such that $\mathcal{I}(a) = S$, then is S finitely generated ? It is not, in general:

We notice first that for each $n \in N$ (N the set of natural numbers) there exists a prime p such that p > n. Moreover, if $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \in N$ and p prime such that $p/a_1a_2, \ldots, a_k$, then there exists $a_i \in \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k\}$ such that p/a_i .

We consider now the ordered semigroup $(N, ., \leq)$ of natural numbers with the usual multiplicationorder. Since 1 is the unit of N, we have $\mathcal{I}(1) = N$. Let N be finitely generated, that is, let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \in N$ such that $N = \langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \rangle$.

Let p be a prime such that $p > max\{a_1, a_2, ..., a_k\}$. Then $p > a_1, a_2, ..., a_k$. Since $p \in N$, there exist $b_1, b_2, ..., b_m \in \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_k\}$ such that $p = b_1b_2..., b_m$. Then $p/b_1b_2..., b_m$, and p/b_j for some $b_j \in \{b_1, b_2, ..., b_m\}$. Then $b_j = \mu p$ for some $\mu \in N$. Since $\mu \ge 1$, we have $b_j = \mu p \ge p$. Impossible.

2. Similar results hold if, in the results above, we replace the word "ideal" by "left ideal" or "right ideal".

A left ideal L (resp. right ideal R) of an ordered semigroup (resp. semigroup) S is called proper if $L \neq S$ (resp. $R \neq S$). A proper left ideal M of an ordered semigroup (resp. semigroup) S is called maximal if for every left ideal I of S such that $M \subseteq L$, we have M = L or M = S. The definition of maximal right ideals is similar. We have the following:

Proposition 5. Let S be an ordered semigroup (resp. semigroup) and M a proper left (resp. right) ideal of S. Then M is a maximal ideal of S if and only if for every $a \in S \setminus M$, we have $\mathcal{L}(M \cup \{a\}) = S$.

Proposition 6. Let S be a finitely generated ordered semigroup (resp. semigroup). Then, each proper left (resp. right) ideal of S is contained in a maximal left (resp. right) ideal of S.

Proposition 7. Let S be an ordered semigroup (resp. semigroup) and let $a \in S$ such that $\mathcal{L}(a) = S$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}(a) = S$). Then, each proper left (resp. right) ideal of S is contained in a maximal left (resp. right) ideal of S.

Remark 4. If S is an ordered semigroup (resp. semigroup) and if there is a unit element $e \in S$, then $\mathcal{L}(e) = S$ and $\mathcal{R}(e) = S$.

Proposition 8. Let S be an ordered semigroup (resp. semigroup) with unit. Then each proper left (resp. right) ideal of S is contained in a maximal left (resp. right) ideal of S.

Remark 5. Let S be an ordered semigroup. If $a \in S$ such that (Sa] = S, then $\mathcal{L}(a) = S$. If $a \in S$ such that (aS] = S, then $\mathcal{R}(a) = S$.

Remark 6. Let S be an ordered semigroup (resp. semigroup) and let $a \in S$ such that $\mathcal{L}(a) = S$. Then, for each left ideal L of S containing a, we have L = S. In fact: Let L be a left ideal of S such that $a \in L$. Then $S = \mathcal{L}(a) \subseteq L \subseteq S$. Similar results hold if we replace the word "left ideal" by "right ideal" or "ideal'.

Remark 7. If S is an ordered semigroup (resp. semigroup) and $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq S$ such that $S = \langle A \rangle$ then, for each left ideal L of S such that $A \subseteq L$, we have L = S. In fact: Let $x \in S$. Since $S = \langle A \rangle$, there exists $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in A$ such that $x = a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n$. If n = 1, then $x = a_1 \in A \subseteq L$, and $x \in L$. If $n \geq 2$, then $x = a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n = (a_1 a_2 \ldots a_{n-1})a_n \in SA \subseteq SL \subseteq L$, and $x \in L$.

Similarly, if S is an ordered semigroup (resp. semigroup) and $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq S$ such that $S = \langle A \rangle$ then, for each right ideal R of S such that $A \subseteq R$, we have R = S. \Box

This research was supported by the Special Research Account (No. 70/3/4967) of the University of Athens.

References

- N. Kehayopulu, On weakly prime ideals of ordered semigroups, Mathematica Japonica, 35 No. 6 (1990), 1051-1056.
- [2] N. Kehayopulu, Note on Green's relations in ordered semigroups, Mathematica Japonica, 36 No. 2 (1991), 211-214.

University of Athens, Department of Mathematics Mailinig (home) address: Nikomidias 18, 161 22 Kesariani, Greece e-mail: nkehayop@cc.uoa.gr