

**A STRUCTURE OF AN INCREASING SEQUENCE OF CLOSED SETS
WHOSE UNION IS A MULTIDIMENSIONAL INTERVAL**

SHIZU NAKANISHI

Received April 16, 2001

ABSTRACT. In order to study Fubini's theorem for multidimensional non-absolute integration, we introduced a lemma in the author's paper [1]. We found some errors in the proof of the lemma, but the lemma is still true. The purpose of this paper is to give a correction to the proof. In this paper, we will show a whole proof of the lemma to be easily understood.

There are some errors in the proof of Lemma 2 in [1] ([1, p.72]). The purpose of this paper is to give a correction to the proof of the lemma and to show that the lemma is true.

We denote the h -dimensional Euclidean space by E_h . For a set A in E_h , we denote the interior of A by A° , and the closure of A by \bar{A} . Given a system $a_1, b_1; a_2, b_2; \dots; a_h, b_h$ of $2h$ real numbers such that $a_i < b_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, h$, the set $\{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_h) : a_i \leq x_i \leq b_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, h\}$ in E_h is called an interval in E_h . A collection of intervals $I_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ such that $(I_i)^\circ \cap (I_j)^\circ = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$ is said to be non-overlapping.

When we consider the space E_h as the product $E_h = E_{h_1} \times E_{h_2}$ of E_{h_1} and E_{h_2} such that $h = h_1 + h_2$, we denote the projection of a set $A \subset E_h$ on E_{h_1} by $\text{proj}_x(A)$, and the projection of $A \subset E_h$ on E_{h_2} by $\text{proj}_y(A)$. In particular, when $h = 2$ and $h_1 = h_2 = 1$, we denote $\text{proj}_x(A)$ by $\text{proj}_x(A)$ and $\text{proj}_y(A)$ by $\text{proj}_y(A)$ in short. For a set $A \subset E_h$, we denote for a point $q \in E_{h_2}$, the set $\{(p', q) : (p', q) \in A, p' \in E_{h_1}\}$ by A^q , similarly for a point $p \in E_{h_1}$, the set $\{(p, q') : (p, q') \in A, q' \in E_{h_2}\}$ by A^p .

We denote the Lebesgue measure of a set A in E_h which is measurable in the Lebesgue sense by $\mu_h(A)$. In particular, for an interval in E_1 we denote $\mu_1(I)$ by $|I|$.

For an interval in E_h , the least upper bound of the distances between a and b with $a, b \in I$ is called the diameter of I . For an interval $I = [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2] \times \dots \times [a_h, b_h]$, the point whose i -th coordinate is $(b_i + a_i)/2$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, h$ is called the center of I . A point whose coordinates are rational numbers is called a rational point.

Let J be an interval in the 1-dimensional Euclidean space E_1 , $M_k (k = 1, 2, \dots)$ a non-decreasing sequence of closed sets such that $\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k \supset J$, and $\varepsilon_k (k = 1, 2, \dots)$ a sequence of numbers with $\varepsilon_k \downarrow 0$. Let F be a non-empty closed set in E_1 and n and m two positive integers with $n < m$. Then, we say that the closed set F has the property (B_1) for $n < m$ in J associated with the sequences $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ if the following condition is satisfied:

- (B₁):(1) $F \subset J$ and $F \subset M_m$;
- (2) Let $J_j (j = 1, 2, \dots)$ be the sequence of intervals contiguous to the set consisting of the set F and the end-points of the interval J . Then, the sequence can be classified

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26A39.

Key words and phrases. closed set, intervals contiguous to a closed set.

into $m - n + 1$ parts: $J_{kj}(j = 1, 2, \dots)$, possibly empty or finite, where $k = n, n + 1, n + 2, \dots, m$, in such a way that:

For $k = n, n + 1, n + 2, \dots, m$, we have

- (i) $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |J_{kj}| < \varepsilon_k$;
- (ii) $(J_{kj})^\circ \cap M_k = \emptyset$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$; and
- (iii) one at least of the end-points of the interval J_{kj} belongs to M_k for $j = 1, 2, \dots$.

Lemma 1. *Let J_0 be an interval in the 1-dimensional Euclidean space E_1 . Let $M_k(k = 1, 2, \dots)$ be a non-decreasing sequence of closed sets such that $\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k = J_0$. Then, for an arbitrary given sequence $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ with $\varepsilon_k \downarrow 0$, any sub-interval J of J_0 has the following property (A_1) in J associated with the sequences $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.*

(A_1) : *There exist two increasing sequences of positive integers $n(i)$ and $m(i)(i = 1, 2, \dots)$ such that $n(i) < m(i) < n(i + 1)$ and a non-decreasing sequence of non-empty closed sets $F_{n(i)m(i)}(i = 1, 2, \dots)$ in such a way that:*

- (1) *each $F_{n(i)m(i)}$ has the property (B_1) for $n(i) < m(i)$ in J associated with $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$; and*
- (2) $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_{n(i)m(i)} = J$ holds.

Lemma 2. *Let R_0 be an interval in the h -dimensional Euclidean space $E_h(h > 1)$. Let $M_k(k = 1, 2, \dots)$ be a non-decreasing sequence of closed sets such that $\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k = R_0$. Then, for an arbitrary given sequence $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ with $\varepsilon_k \downarrow 0$, any sub-interval R of R_0 has the following property (A_h) in R associated with the sequences $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.*

(A_h) : *There exist two increasing sequences of positive integers $n(i)$ and $m(i)(i = 1, 2, \dots)$ such that $n(i) < m(i) < n(i + 1)$ and a non-decreasing sequence of non-empty closed sets $F_{n(i)m(i)}(i = 1, 2, \dots)$ such that*

- (1) $F_{n(i)m(i)} \subset R$ and $F_{n(i)m(i)} \subset M_{m(i)}$,

in such a way that:

- (2) Put $Y = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{proj}_{E_{h-1}}(F_{n(i)m(i)})$ and $Z = \text{proj}_{E_{h-1}}(R) - Y$. Then, we have

- (i) $\mu_{h-1}(Z) = 0$;
- (ii) *for each $q \in Y$ and each $i = 1, 2, \dots$, if $(F_{n(i)m(i)})^q \neq \emptyset$, then the closed set $(F_{n(i)m(i)})^q$ has the property (B_1) for $n(i) < m(i)$ in R^q associated with $\{(M_k)^q\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$; and*
- (iii) $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (F_{n(i)m(i)})^q = R^q$ for each $q \in Y$.

In the property (A_h) , $F_{n(i)m(i)}$ is sometimes denoted by $F_{n(i)m(i)}(R)$, standing for that (A_h) is considered in R . Since $F_{n(i)m(i)} \uparrow$, (i) and (iii) imply that

- (iv) $\mu_h(F_{n(i)m(i)}) \uparrow \mu_h(R)$ holds as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark. In (A_h) of Lemma 2, we can replace the conditions (ii) and (iii) by the following conditions (ii') and (iii'):

(ii') For almost all $q \in Y$ and all $i = 1, 2, \dots$, if $(F_{n(i)m(i)})^q \neq \emptyset$, then the closed set $(F_{n(i)m(i)})^q$ has the property (B₁) for $n(i) < m(i)$ in R^q associated with $\{(M_k)^q\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$;

(iii') $\cup_{i=1}^\infty (F_{n(i)m(i)})^q = R^q$ for almost all $q \in Y$.

Proof. We prove only for the case when $h = 2$. By (ii') and (iii') there exists a set $K \subset Y$ with $\mu_1(K) = 0$ and such that for all $q \in Y - K$, the statements of (ii') and (iii') which correspond to q are true. Take a decreasing sequence of open sets $G(i) (i = 1, 2, \dots)$ such that $G(i) \supset K$ and so $\cap_{i=1}^\infty G(i) \supset K$, and $\mu_1(\cap_{i=1}^\infty G(i)) = 0$. Set $F_{n(i)m(i)}^* = F_{n(i)m(i)} \cap (\text{proj}_x(R) \times (\text{proj}_y(R) - G(i)))$. Then, the sequence $F_{n(i)m(i)}^* (i = 1, 2, \dots)$ satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) required in (A₂).

Next, we prove Lemma 2.

Proof of Lemma 2. The proof of the lemma requires four steps. We prove only for the case of $h = 2$.

The first step. *If an interval R has the property (A₂) in R associated with $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$, then any sub-interval R' of R has the property (A₂) in R' associated with $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$.*

Proof. Let $n(i)$ and $m(i) (i = 1, 2, \dots)$ be the sequences of positive integers and $F_{n(i)m(i)}(R) (i = 1, 2, \dots)$ the sequence of closed sets chosen to satisfy (A₂) in R associated with $\{M_k\}$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}$. Let B' and B'' be two sides of R' which are parallel to the y-axis. Put $Y_k = \text{proj}_y(B' \cap M_k) \cap \text{proj}_y(B'' \cap M_k)$ and $Y_k^* = \text{proj}_x(R') \times Y_k$. Then, $F_{n(i)m(i)}^*(R') = F_{n(i)m(i)}(R) \cap Y_{n(i)}^* (i = 1, 2, \dots)$ is a sequence of closed sets satisfying all of the conditions required in (A₂) in R' associated with $\{M_k\}$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}$.

The second step. *Let R be an interval, F a closed set (empty or non-empty), and $Q(j) (j = 1, 2, \dots)$ a sequence of intervals. Suppose that*

- (a) $R^\circ \subset (\cup_{j=1}^\infty Q(j) \cup F)$;
- (b) $F \subset M_k$ for some k ; and
- (c) each $Q(j) (j = 1, 2, \dots)$ has the property (A₂) in $Q(j)$ associated with $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$.

Then, the interval R has the property (A₂) in R associated with $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$.

As easily seen, by use of the first step to show that the second step is true, it is sufficient to prove the following statement.

The third step. *Let R be an interval in R_0 . Suppose that there are a sequence $Q(j) (j = 1, 2, \dots)$ of non-overlapping intervals and a closed set F (empty or non-empty) such that*

- (a) $F \subset R$, $F \cap Q(j) = \emptyset$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$, and $R^\circ \subset (\cup_{j=1}^\infty Q(j) \cup F) \subset R$;
- (b) $F \subset M_k$ for some k ; and
- (c) each $Q(j) (j = 1, 2, \dots)$ has the property (A₂) in $Q(j)$ associated with $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$.

Then, R has the property (A_2) in R associated with $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$.

Proof. We shall show that there exist two increasing sequences of positive integers $\{n(i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $\{m(i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$, and a non-decreasing sequence of closed sets $\{F_{n(i)m(i)}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ which satisfy all of the conditions required in (A_2) in R associated with $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. By the assumption (c), for each $Q(j)$ there are two increasing sequences of positive integers $\{n(j,t)\}_{t=1}^\infty$ and $\{m(j,t)\}_{t=1}^\infty$ such that $n(j,t) < m(j,t) < n(j,t+1)$ for $t = 1, 2, \dots$ and a sequence of closed sets $\{F_{n(j,t)m(j,t)}(Q(j))\}_{t=1}^\infty$ which satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) required in (A_2) in $Q(j)$ associated with $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. In particular, we have

$$\text{proj}_y(F_{n(j,t)m(j,t)}(Q(j))) \subset \text{proj}_y(Q(j)) \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots \text{ and } t = 1, 2, \dots \quad (1^\circ)$$

By (iii) of (2) we can suppose that for every $y \in \text{proj}_y(F_{n(j,t)m(j,t)}(Q(j)))$ we have

$$(F_{n(j,t)m(j,t)}(Q(j)))^y \cap B'(j) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } (F_{n(j,t)m(j,t)}(Q(j)))^y \cap B''(j) \neq \emptyset$$

$$\text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots \text{ and } t = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2^\circ)$$

where $B'(j)$ and $B''(j)$ are the sides of $Q(j)$ which are parallel to the y-axis.

Now

1. Set $k(0) = 0, n(0) = 0$ and $m(0) = k'$, where k' is an integer such that $F \subset M_{k'} (k' \geq 1)$. There exists such a k' by (b).
2. Supposing $k(i-1), n(i-1)$ and $m(i-1)$ are defined for an $i \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, we shall define $k(i), n(i)$ and $m(i)$ as follows. Let us take an integer $k(i)$ so that

$$k(i-1) < k(i), \text{ and} \quad (3^\circ)$$

$$\mu_2(R - (\cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} Q(j) \cup F)) < (\varepsilon_{m(i-1)+1}/2^i)^2. \quad (4^\circ)$$

This is possible by (a). Next, choose two indices $n(j, t(j, i))$ and $m(j, t(j, i))$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k(i)$ so that

$$m(i-1) + 1 < n(1, t(1, i)) < m(1, t(1, i)) < n(2, t(2, i)) < m(2, t(2, i))$$

$$< \dots < n(k(i), t(k(i), i)) < m(k(i), t(k(i), i)); \text{ and} \quad (5^\circ)$$

$$\mu_1(\text{proj}_y(Q(j)) - \text{proj}_y(F_{n(j,t(j,i))m(j,t(j,i))}(Q(j)))) < \varepsilon_{m(i-1)+1}/N(i)2^i, \quad (6^\circ)$$

where $N(i) = \sum_{r=1}^{k(i)} r_{(k(i))} C_r$. This is possible by (iv). Put

$$n(i) = m(i-1) + 1 \text{ and } m(i) = m(k(i), t(k(i), i)). \quad (7^\circ)$$

Since, for $i \geq 1, k(i) > k(0)$ and so $k(i) \geq 1$, we have $n(i) = m(i-1) + 1 < m(1, t(1, i)) \leq m(k(i), t(k(i), i)) = m(i)$. Therefore

$$i < n(i) < m(i) < n(i+1) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (8^\circ)$$

Repeating this process, we obtain $k(i), n(i)$ and $m(i) (i = 1, 2, \dots)$. Since $n(j, t(j, i)) \leq m(k(i), t(k(i), i)) = m(i) < m(i) + 1 (= n(i+1)) < n(j, t(j, i+1))$ for $1 \leq j \leq k(i)$, we have

$$t(j, i) < t(j, i + 1) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots, k(i). \quad (9^\circ)$$

Fix an $i \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$. Corresponding to $y \in \text{proj}_y(R)$ we consider a system consisting of all of the intervals $Q(j)$ belonging to $\{Q(1), Q(2), \dots, Q(k(i))\}$ and such that $((Q(j))^\circ)^y \neq \emptyset$. We denote the system by $P(y)$ (possible empty). We denote by $\Delta(i)$ the class of non-empty systems P consisting of intervals chosen from $\{Q(1), Q(2), \dots, Q(k(i))\}$ for which there exists a $y \in \text{proj}_y(R)$ such that $P = P(y)$. We denote P belonging to $\Delta(i)$ by $P : Q(j(1, P)), Q(j(2, P)), \dots, Q(j(h(P), P))$. For $P \in \Delta(i)$, we have

$$\{y : P(y) = P\} = \cap_{h=1}^{h(P)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j(h, P)))^\circ - \cup_{Q(j) \notin P, 1 \leq j \leq k(i)} (\text{proj}_y(Q(j)))^\circ.$$

Put

$$J(P) = \cap_{h=1}^{h(P)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j(h, P)))^\circ - \cup_{Q(j) \notin P, 1 \leq j \leq k(i)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j)). \quad (10^\circ)$$

Then, $J(P)$ consists of finite non-empty open intervals on y-axis. We have

$$J(P) \cap J(P') = \emptyset \text{ if } P \neq P' \text{ for } P, P' \in \Delta(i). \quad (11^\circ)$$

Since $\cup_{P \in \Delta(i)} (\cap_{h=1}^{h(P)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j(h, P)))) \supset \cup_{P \in \Delta(i)} \overline{J(P)} \supset \cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j))$, we have

$$\cup_{P \in \Delta(i)} (\cap_{h=1}^{h(P)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j(h, P)))) = \cup_{P \in \Delta(i)} \overline{J(P)} = \cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j)). \quad (12^\circ)$$

The number of systems belonging to $\Delta(i)$ is $\sum_{r=1}^{k(i)} \binom{k(i)}{r} C_r$ at most.

We set

$$E(i) = \{y : y \in \text{proj}_y(R^\circ), \mu_1((R)^y - (\cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} Q(j) \cup F)^y) < \varepsilon_{n(i)}/2^i\}. \quad (13^\circ)$$

Take a closed set $H(i)$ so that

$$H(i) \subset E(i), \quad \mu_1(E(i) - H(i)) < \varepsilon_{n(i)}/2^{i+1}.$$

Take a closed set $S^*(i)$ so that

$$S^*(i) \subset H(i) - \cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j)), \text{ and}$$

$$\mu_1((H(i) - \cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j))) - S^*(i)) < \varepsilon_{n(i)}/2^{i+1}. \quad (14^\circ)$$

Put

$$S(i) = (H(i) \cap \cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j))) \cup S^*(i).$$

Since $H(i) = (H(i) \cap \cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j))) \cup (H(i) - \cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j)))$, $S(i)$ is a closed set contained in $E(i)$ such that

$$\mu_1(E(i) - S(i)) = \mu_1(E(i) - H(i)) + \mu_1((H(i) - \cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j))) - S^*(i)) < \varepsilon_{n(i)}/2^i. \quad (15^\circ)$$

We have

$$S(i) - S^*(i) = H(i) \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{k(i)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j)) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{k(i)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j)). \quad (16^\circ)$$

Put

$$T(i) = \bigcup_{P \in \Delta(i)} (\bigcap_{h=1}^{h(P)} \text{proj}_y(F_{n(j(h,P),t(j(h,P),i))m(j(h,P),t(j(h,P),i))}(Q(j(h,P)))) \cap \overline{J(P)}), \quad (17^\circ)$$

$$U(i) = S(i) \cap (S^*(i) \cup T(i)) = S^*(i) \cup (S(i) \cap T(i)), \quad (18^\circ)$$

$$V(i) = Y(i) \cap (\bigcap_{i'=i}^\infty U(i')),$$

where $Y(i) = \text{proj}_y(B' \cap M_1) \cap \text{proj}_y(B'' \cap M_1)$ and B' and B'' are the two sides of R which are parallel to y -axis.

We have

$$Y(i) \subset \text{proj}_y(R), \quad Y(i) \uparrow \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty, \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_1(Y(i)) = \mu_1(\text{proj}_y(R)). \quad (19^\circ)$$

Hence, $V(i) \uparrow$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, and since $V(i) \subset E(i)$, $V(i) \subset \text{proj}_y(R^\circ)$ holds.

Put $V^*(i) = \text{proj}_x(R) \times V(i)$, then $V^*(i)$ is a closed set such that

$$V^*(i) \uparrow \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad V^*(i) \subset \text{proj}_x(R) \times \text{proj}_y(R^\circ) \subset R. \quad (20^\circ)$$

We now define $F_{n(i)m(i)}(R)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ as follows:

$$F_{n(i)m(i)}(R) = V^*(i) \cap (\bigcup_{j=1}^{k(i)} F_{n(j,t(j,i))m(j,t(j,i))}(Q(j)) \cup M_{n(i)}). \quad (21^\circ)$$

We next prove that this is a sequence of non-empty closed sets satisfying the conditions (1) and (2) required in (A₂) in R associated with $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. It is clear that $F_{n(i)m(i)}(R)$ is a non-empty closed set. Now, put

$$M^*(i) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k(i)} F_{n(j,t(j,i))m(j,t(j,i))}(Q(j)).$$

Since by (9^o) $F_{n(j,t(j,i))m(j,t(j,i))}(Q(j)) \subset F_{n(j,t(j,i+1))m(j,t(j,i+1))}(Q(j))$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k(i)$ and $k(i) < k(i+1)$, we have $M^*(i) \uparrow$. Further, $V^*(i) \uparrow$ and $M_{n(i)} \uparrow$ by $n(i) < n(i+1)$. Hence

$$F_{n(i)m(i)}(R) \uparrow \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $V^*(i) \subset R$ by (20^o), we have

$$F_{n(i)m(i)}(R) \subset R. \quad (22^\circ)$$

By (1) of the property (A₂) in $Q(j)$ associated with $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$, (5^o) and (7^o), we have $F_{n(j,t(j,i))m(j,t(j,i))}(Q(j)) \subset M_{m(j,t(j,i))} \subset M_{m(k(i),t(k(i),i))} = M_{m(i)}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k(i)$. Further $m(i) > n(i)$. Hence

$$F_{n(i)m(i)}(R) \subset M_{m(i)}.$$

Next, we prove that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_2(F_{n(i)m(i)}(R)) = \mu_2(R)$. We first have $M_{n(i)} - M^*(i) \supset F$. Because, we have $M_{n(i)} \supset M_{m(0)} \supset F$ for $i \geq 1$, and since $Q(j) \cap F = \emptyset$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k(i)$, we have $M^*(i) \cap F = \emptyset$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_2(F_{n(i)m(i)}(R)) &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_2(V^*(i) \cap (M_{n(i)} \cup M^*(i))) \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_2(V^*(i) \cap (M_{n(i)} - M^*(i))) + \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_2(V^*(i) \cap M^*(i)) \\ &\geq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_2(V^*(i) \cap F) + \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_2(V^*(i) \cap M^*(i)). \end{aligned} \tag{23^\circ}$$

By (13^\circ), if $y \in \text{proj}_y(R^\circ) - E(i)$, then $\mu_1((R)^y - (\cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} Q(j) \cup F)^y) \geq \varepsilon_{n(i)}/2^i$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(\text{proj}_y(R) - E(i)) \cdot (\varepsilon_{n(i)}/2^i) &\leq \int_{\text{proj}_y(R) - E(i)} \mu_1((R)^y - (\cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} Q(j) \cup F)^y) dy \\ &\leq \int_{\text{proj}_y(R)} \mu_1((R)^y - (\cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} Q(j) \cup F)^y) dy \\ &\leq \mu_2(R - (\cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} Q(j) \cup F)) < (\varepsilon_{n(i)}/2^i)^2 \quad (\text{by } (4^\circ) \text{ and } (7^\circ)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mu_1(\text{proj}_y(R) - E(i)) < \varepsilon_{n(i)}/2^i. \tag{24^\circ}$$

We have, by (10^\circ) and (12^\circ),

$$\cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j)) = \cup_{P \in \Delta(i)} (\cap_{h=1}^{h(P)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j(h, P)))) \cap \overline{J(P)}.$$

We further have

$$Q(j(h, P)) \supset (F_{n(j(h, P), t(j(h, P), i))m(j(h, P), t(j(h, P), i))}(Q(j(h, P)))) \quad \text{for } h = 1, 2, \dots, h(P).$$

Therefore, by (18^\circ), (16^\circ) and (17^\circ) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(S(i) - U(i)) &= \mu_1((S(i) - S^*(i)) - T(i)) \leq \mu_1((\cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j)))) - T(i) \\ &= \mu_1(\cup_{P \in \Delta(i)} (\cap_{h=1}^{h(P)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j(h, P)))) \cap \overline{J(P)}) \\ &\quad - \cup_{P \in \Delta(i)} (\cap_{h=1}^{h(P)} \text{proj}_y(F_{n(j(h, P), t(j(h, P), i))m(j(h, P), t(j(h, P), i))}(Q(j(h, P)))) \cap \overline{J(P)}) \\ &= \sum_{P \in \Delta(i)} \mu_1(\cap_{h=1}^{h(P)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j(h, P)))) \cap J(P) \\ &\quad - \cap_{h=1}^{h(P)} \text{proj}_y(F_{n(j(h, P), t(j(h, P), i))m(j(h, P), t(j(h, P), i))}(Q(j(h, P)))) \cap J(P) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{P \in \Delta(i)} \sum_{h=1}^{h(P)} (\mu_1(\cap_{h=1}^{h(P)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j(h, P)))) \cap J(P) \\
&\quad - \text{proj}_y(F_{n(j(h, P), t(j(h, P), i)) m(j(h, P), t(j(h, P), i))}(Q(j(h, P)))) \cap J(P)) \\
&\leq \sum_{P \in \Delta(i)} \sum_{h=1}^{h(P)} (\mu_1(\text{proj}_y(Q(j(h, P)))) \cap J(P) \\
&\quad - \text{proj}_y(F_{n(j(h, P), t(j(h, P), i)) m(j(h, P), t(j(h, P), i))}(Q(j(h, P)))) \cap J(P)) \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^{k(i)} \sum_{P \in \Delta(i), h(P)=r} \sum_{h=1}^{h(P)} (\mu_1(\text{proj}_y(Q(j(h, P)))) \cap J(P) \\
&\quad - \text{proj}_y(F_{n(j(h, P), t(j(h, P), i)) m(j(h, P), t(j(h, P), i))}(Q(j(h, P)))) \cap J(P)) \\
&< \sum_{r=1}^{k(i)} \left(\sum_{P \in \Delta(i), h(P)=r} \{r(\varepsilon_{n(i)}/N(i)2^i)\} \right) \quad (\text{by } (6^\circ) \text{ and } (7^\circ)) \\
&\leq \sum_{r=1}^{k(i)} \left({}^{k(i)}C_r \left\{ r(\varepsilon_{n(i)}) / \left(\sum_{r=1}^{k(i)} r({}^{k(i)}C_r)2^i \right) \right\} \right) = \varepsilon_{n(i)}/2^i. \tag{25^\circ}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (24 $^\circ$), (15 $^\circ$) and (25 $^\circ$),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu_1(\text{proj}_y(R) - U(i)) \\
&\leq \mu_1(\text{proj}_y(R) - E(i)) + \mu_1(E(i) - S(i)) + \mu_1(S(i) - U(i)) < 3(\varepsilon_{n(i)}/2^i).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_1(\text{proj}_y(R) - V(i)) &= \mu_1(\text{proj}_y(R) - (Y(i) \cap (\cap_{i'=i}^{\infty} U(i')))) \\
&\leq \mu_1(\text{proj}_y(R) - Y(i)) + \sum_{i'=i}^{\infty} \mu_1(\text{proj}_y(R) - U(i')) \\
&< \mu_1(\text{proj}_y(R) - Y(i)) + \sum_{i'=i}^{\infty} 3(\varepsilon_{n(i')}/2^{i'}) \\
&< \mu_1(\text{proj}_y(R) - Y(i)) + 3\varepsilon_{n(i)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (19 $^\circ$) $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_1(V(i)) = \mu_1(\text{proj}_y(R))$, and so

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_2(V^*(i)) = \mu_2(R). \tag{26^\circ}$$

By (20°)

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_2(V^*(i) \cap F) = \mu_2(F). \quad (27^\circ)$$

Next, for any positive integer j^* , take an i^* with $k(i^*) > j^*$. This is possible by (3°). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty, i \geq i^*} \mu_2(V^*(i) \cap M^*(i)) &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty, i \geq i^*} \mu_2(V^*(i) \cap (\cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} F_{n(j,t(j,i))m(j,t(j,i))}(Q(j)))) \\ &\geq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty, i \geq i^*} \sum_{j=1}^{j^*} \mu_2(V^*(i) \cap F_{n(j,t(j,i))m(j,t(j,i))}(Q(j))) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{j^*} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty, i \geq i^*} \mu_2(V^*(i) \cap F_{n(j,t(j,i))m(j,t(j,i))}(Q(j))) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{j^*} \mu_2(Q(j)) \end{aligned}$$

by (20°), (26°), the fact that $t(j, i) \uparrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, j^*$, and (iv) in $Q(j)$. Therefore, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_2(V^*(i) \cap M^*(i)) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty, j \geq i^*} \mu_2(V^*(i) \cap M^*(i)) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{j^*} \mu_2(Q(j))$ and so

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_2(V^*(i) \cap M^*(i)) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_2(Q(j)). \quad (28^\circ)$$

By (23°), (27°), (28°), (22°) and (a), we obtain $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_2(F_{n(i)m(i)}(R)) = \mu_2(R)$.

Consequently, when we put

$$Y = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{proj}_y(F_{n(i)m(i)}(R)) \quad \text{and} \quad Z = \text{proj}_y(R) - Y,$$

we have $\mu_1(Z) = 0$. We set

$$Y^* = Y - \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \cup_{P \in \Delta(i)} (\overline{J(P)} - J(P)). \quad (29^\circ)$$

Then, $Y^* \subset Y$ and $\mu_1(Y - Y^*) = 0$.

Next, we prove (ii') and (iii') of Remark. To prove them, we prepare the following statement(*).

(*): Let $y \in Y^*$, $y \in \text{proj}_y(V^*(i))$ for some i , and $(Q(j_s))^y \neq \emptyset$ for $s = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Let

$$i^* = \min\{i : y \in \text{proj}_y(V^*(i)) \text{ and } k(i) \geq j_s \ (s = 1, 2, \dots, r)\}.$$

Then, for each $i \geq i^*$ we have $y \in \text{proj}_y(V^*(i))$ and there exists a $P \in \Delta(i)$ such that

- (d) $Q(j_s)$ is $Q(j(h_s, P))$ for some h_s with $1 \leq h_s \leq h(P)$ ($s = 1, 2, \dots, r$), and
- (e) $y \in \cap_{h=1}^{h(P)} \text{proj}_y(F_{n(j(h,P),t(j(h,P),i))m(j(h,P),t(j(h,P),i))}(Q(j(h,P)))) \cap J(P)$.

Indeed, let $i \geq i^*$. Then, we have $y \in \text{proj}_y(V^*(i))$ by (20°). Since then $y \in V(i)$, $y \in U(i)$. Hence, by (18°)

$$y \in S^*(i) \text{ or } y \in T(i). \tag{30^\circ}$$

By the first part of (14^\circ),

$$\text{if } y \in S^*(i), \text{ then } y \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{k(i)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j)). \tag{31^\circ}$$

Since $k(i) \geq j_s$ and $(Q(j_s))^y \neq \emptyset$ for $s = 1, 2, \dots, r$, we have $y \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{k(i)} \text{proj}_y(Q(j))$. Hence, $y \in T(i)$ by (30^\circ) and (31^\circ). Since moreover $y \in Y^*$, by (17^\circ) and (29^\circ)

$$y \in \bigcup_{P \in \Delta(i)} (\bigcap_{h=1}^{h(P)} \text{proj}_y(F_{n(j(h,P),t(j(h,P),i))m(j(h,P),t(j(h,P),i))}(Q(j(h,P)))) \cap J(P)).$$

Hence, there exists a $P \in \Delta(i)$ such that

$$y \in \bigcap_{h=1}^{h(P)} \text{proj}_y(F_{n(j(h,P),t(j(h,P),i))m(j(h,P),t(j(h,P),i))}(Q(j(h,P)))) \cap J(P).$$

Further, this fact implies $y \in J(P)$. Hence, by (10^\circ) $y \notin \bigcup \text{proj}_y(Q(j))$, where the union is over all j such that $Q(j) \notin P$ with $1 \leq j \leq k(i)$. Hence, $Q(j_s)$ must be $Q(j(h_s, P))$ for some h_s with $1 \leq h_s \leq h(P)$ for $s = 1, 2, \dots, r$. The proof of (*) is complete.

As a corollary of (*), the following statement (**) holds.

(**): Let $y \in Y^*$ and $y \in \text{proj}_y(V^*(i)) \cap \text{proj}_y(\bigcup_{j=1}^{k(i)} F_{n(j,t(j,i))m(j,t(j,i))}(Q(j)))$ for an i . Then, there exists a $P \in \Delta(i)$ such that

$$y \in \bigcap_{h=1}^{h(P)} \text{proj}_y(F_{n(j(h,P),t(j(h,P),i))m(j(h,P),t(j(h,P),i))}(Q(j(h,P)))) \cap J(P).$$

Because, by the assumption of (**) and (1^\circ), $P \in \Delta(i)$ chosen in (*) is the desired one.

Now, we prove (ii') of Remark. Let $y \in \text{proj}_y(F_{n(i)m(i)}(R)) \cap Y^*$. Then, by (21^\circ)

- (i) $y \in \text{proj}_y(V^*(i) \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{k(i)} F_{n(j,t(j,i))m(j,t(j,i))}(Q(j)))$, or
- (ii) $y \in \text{proj}_y(V^*(i) \cap M_{n(i)}) - \text{proj}_y(V^*(i) \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{k(i)} F_{n(j,t(j,i))m(j,t(j,i))}(Q(j)))$.

First of all, we remark that, since $y \in \text{proj}_y(V^*(i)) = V(i)$, we have $y \in E(i)$, and so by (13^\circ)

$$\mu_1((R)^y - (\bigcup_{j=1}^{k(i)} Q(j) \cup F)^y) < \varepsilon_{n(i)}/2^i. \tag{32^\circ}$$

For the case of (i): By (**) there exists a $P \in \Delta(i)$ such that

$$y \in \bigcap_{h=1}^{h(P)} \text{proj}_y(F_{n(j(h,P),t(j(h,P),i))m(j(h,P),t(j(h,P),i))}(Q(j(h,P)))) \cap J(P).$$

In this case, we can suppose that $j(1, P) < j(2, P) < \dots < j(h(P), P)$. Hence, by (5^\circ) we have

$$\begin{aligned} n(i) &< n(j(1, P), t(j(1, P), i)) < m(j(1, P), t(j(1, P), i)) \\ &< n(j(2, P), t(j(2, P), i)) < m(j(2, P), t(j(2, P), i)) \\ &< \dots \\ &< n(j(h(P), P), t(j(h(P), P), i)) < m(j(h(P), P), t(j(h(P), P), i)) \\ &\leq m(k(i), t(k(i), i)) = m(i). \end{aligned}$$

And by the assumption (c) for $Q(j), (F_{n(j(h,P),t(j(h,P),i))m(j(h,P),t(j(h,P),i))}(Q(j(h,P))))^y$ has the property (B₁) in $(Q(j(h,P)))^y$ associated with $\{(M_k)^y\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ for $h = 1, 2, \dots, h(P)$.

Further, since

$$\begin{aligned} & (F_{n(j(h,P),t(j(h,P),t))m(j(h,P),t)}(Q(j(h,P))))^y \cap B'(j(h,P)) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \\ & (F_{n(j(h,P),t(j(h,P),t))m(j(h,P),t)}(Q(j(h,P))))^y \cap B''(j(h,P)) \neq \emptyset \\ & \quad \text{for } h = 1, 2, \dots, h(P) \quad \text{and } t = 1, 2, \dots \quad \text{by } (2^\circ); \\ & (M_i)^y \cap B' \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and } (M_i)^y \cap B'' \neq \emptyset, \quad \text{because } y \in V(i) \subset Y(i); \quad \text{and} \quad (33^\circ) \\ & M_i \subset M_{n(i)} \quad \text{by } n(i) > i, \end{aligned}$$

the set $(R)^y - (\cup_{h=1}^{h(P)} Q(j(h,P)) \cup M_{n(i)})^y$ consists of a sequence of non-overlapping intervals such that one at least of the end-points of each interval belongs to $M_{n(i)}$. Furthermore, since $y \in J(P)$, we have, by (10°) , $y \notin \cup \text{proj}_y(Q(j))$, where the union is over all $Q(j) \notin P$ with $1 \leq j \leq k(i)$. Hence

$$(\cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} Q(j))^y = (\cup_{h=1}^{h(P)} Q(j(h,P)))^y.$$

Therefore, by (32°) and the fact that $F \subset M_{n(i)}$,

$$\mu_1((R)^y - (\cup_{h=1}^{h(P)} Q(j(h,P)) \cup M_{n(i)})^y) < \varepsilon_{n(i)}/2^i < \varepsilon_{n(i)}.$$

Thus, for the case (i) the property (ii') of Remark holds.

For the case of (ii): Since $V^*(i) = \text{proj}_x(R) \times V(i)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{proj}_y(V^*(i)) \cap \cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} F_{n(j,t(j,i))m(j,t(j,i))}(Q(j)) \\ & = \text{proj}_y(V^*(i)) \cap \text{proj}_y(\cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} F_{n(j,t(j,i))m(j,t(j,i))}(Q(j))). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in the case (ii) $y \in \text{proj}_y(V^*(i))$, but $y \notin \text{proj}_y(\cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} F_{n(j,t(j,i))m(j,t(j,i))}(Q(j)))$. Hence, if $(Q(j))^y \neq \emptyset$ for some j with $1 \leq j \leq k(j)$, by $(*)$ we must have

$$y \in \cap_{h=1}^{h(P)} \text{proj}_y(F_{n(j(h,P),t(j(h,P),i))m(j(h,P),t(j(h,P),i))}(Q(j(h,P)))) \quad \text{for some } P \in \Delta(i)$$

and so

$$y \in \text{proj}_y(\cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} F_{n(j,t(j,i))m(j,t(j,i))}(Q(j))).$$

Hence, $(Q(j))^y = \emptyset$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k(i)$. Therefore, by (32°)

$$\mu_1((R)^y - (F)^y) = \mu_1((R)^y - (\cup_{j=1}^{k(i)} Q(j) \cup F)^y) < \varepsilon_{n(i)}/2^i.$$

Hence, by $F \subset M_{n(i)}$, we have $\mu_1((R)^y - (M_{n(i)})^y) < \varepsilon_{n(i)}$. By (33°) , the set $(R)^y - (M_{n(i)})^y$ consists of a sequence of non-overlapping intervals such that one at least of the end-points of each interval belongs to $M_{n(i)}$. Hence, for the case (ii) the property (ii') of Remark is true.

Next, we prove (iii') of Remark in the following form:

$$R^y = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (F_{n(i)m(i)}(R))^y \text{ for every } y \in Y^*.$$

Let $y \in Y^*$. By (a) we first have

$$R^y = \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} (Q(j))^y \cup F^y \cup (B')^y \cup (B'')^y.$$

Since then $y \in Y$, there exists an i' such that $y \in \text{proj}_y(F_{n(i')m(i')}(R))$. Then, $y \in \text{proj}_y(V^*(i'))$.

Now, suppose that $(Q(j))^y \neq \emptyset$ for some j . Then, by (*) there exists an i^* with $i^* \geq i'$ and $k(i^*) \geq j$ such that

$$y \in \text{proj}_y(F_{n(j,t(j,i))m(j,t(j,i))}(Q(j))) \text{ for all } i \geq i^*.$$

Hence, since $t(j,i) \uparrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ by (9°), we have, by (iii) of (2) in the property (A₂) for $Q(j)$,

$$(F_{n(j,t(j,i))m(j,t(j,i))}(Q(j)))^y \uparrow (Q(j))^y \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty,$$

and so

$$(Q(j))^y = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (F_{n(j,t(j,i))m(j,t(j,i))}(Q(j)))^y. \quad (34^\circ)$$

On the other hand, by (21°) for all i

$$(F_{n(i)m(i)}(R))^y \supset (V^*(i) \cap (F_{n(j,t(j,i))m(j,t(j,i))}(Q(j)))^y).$$

Further, since $y \in \text{proj}_y(V^*(i))$ for $i \geq i^*$ and $V^*(i) = \text{proj}_x(R) \times V(i)$,

$$(F_{n(i)m(i)}(R))^y \supset (F_{n(j,t(j,i))m(j,t(j,i))}(Q(j)))^y \text{ for all } i \geq i^*. \quad (35^\circ)$$

Thus, by (34°) and (35°)

$$\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (F_{n(i)m(i)}(R))^y \supset (Q(j))^y.$$

Thus

$$\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (F_{n(i)m(i)}(R))^y \supset \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} (Q(j))^y. \quad (36^\circ)$$

Similarly, by (21°), $(F_{n(i)m(i)}(R))^y \supset (V^*(i) \cap M_{n(i)})^y \supset F^y$ for all $i \geq i'$, and so

$$\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (F_{n(i)m(i)}(R))^y \supset F^y. \quad (37^\circ)$$

Further, since $y \in \text{proj}_y(V^*(i)) \subset Y(i)$ for all $i \geq i'$,

$$(B' \cap M_{n(i)})^y \neq \emptyset \text{ and } (B'' \cap M_{n(i)})^y \neq \emptyset.$$

Therefore

$$\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (F_{n(i)m(i)}(R))^y \supset \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (V^*(i) \cap M_{n(i)})^y$$

$$\supset \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (M_{n(i)})^y \supset (B')^y \cup (B'')^y. \tag{38^\circ}$$

Consequently, by (36°), (37°) and (38°) we have $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (F_{n(i)m(i)}(R))^y = R^y$.

The fourth step. Under the assumption of Lemma 2, R_0 has the property (A_2) in R_0 associated with $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.

Proof. We prove the statement above by use of the method of transfinite induction. We denote the smallest ordinal number of the third class by Ω .

1. For the case of $\nu = 1$: Since $R_0 = \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k$, by Baire's theorem (see [2, p.54] for example) there exists a k' and a square R_1 such that the center is a rational point and the diameter is a rational number, in such a way that

$$R_1 \subset R_0, \text{ and } R_1 \cap M_{k'} = R_1 \text{ and so } M_{k'} \supset R_1.$$

Putting, for $i = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$n(i) = k' + 2i \text{ and } m(i) = k' + 2i + 1; \text{ and } F_{n(i)m(i)}(R_1) = R_1,$$

R_1 has the property (A_2) in R_1 associated with $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.

2. For the case of $\nu < \Omega$: Suppose that an interval R_μ such that the center is a rational point and the diameter is a rational number is defined for every $0 < \mu < \nu$ in such a way that:

- (a) $R_\mu \subset R_0$ for every $0 < \mu < \nu$;
- (b) if $\mu \neq \mu'$, $0 < \mu < \nu$ and $0 < \mu' < \nu$, then $R_\mu \neq R_{\mu'}$; and
- (c) each R_μ ($0 < \mu < \nu$) has the property (A_2) in R_μ associated with $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.

Now suppose that $R_0 - \cup_{0 < \mu < \nu} R_\mu \neq \emptyset$. Put $A = R_0 - \cup_{0 < \mu < \nu} R_\mu$. Since then A is a non-empty G_δ -set and $A \subset \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k$, by Baire's theorem there exist a point $z \in A$ and a square R of center z such that for some k'' the set $A \cap M_{k''}$ is everywhere dense in $A \cap R^\circ$ and so we have

$$(d) \overline{A \cap M_{k''}} \supset A \cap R^\circ.$$

Then, taking a rational point $r \in R_0$ which is sufficiently near to the point z , we can find an interval R' so that:

- (e) $z \in R'$;
- (f) $R' \subset R^\circ$ and $R' \subset R_0$; and
- (g) the center of R' is r and the diameter of R' is a rational number.

We remark that (e) contains that

$$(h) R' \cap A \neq \emptyset.$$

Next, we show that the interval R' has the property (A_2) in R' associated with $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Put

$$A^* = \overline{A} \cap R'.$$

Then, A^* is a non-empty closed set by (h). By (d), we have $M_{k''} = \overline{M_{k''}} \supset \overline{A \cap M_{k''}} \supset \overline{A \cap R^\circ}$. Further, we have $\overline{A \cap R^\circ} \supset \overline{A} \cap R^\circ$. Because, let $x \in \overline{A} \cap R^\circ$, then there exists a sequence $\{x_i\}$ such that $x_i \in A$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = x$. Since $x \in R^\circ$, there exists an i' such that $x_i \in R^\circ$ for all $i \geq i'$. Hence, $x_i \in A \cap R^\circ$ for all $i \geq i'$. Therefore, $x \in \overline{A \cap R^\circ}$. By (f) $\overline{A} \cap R^\circ \supset \overline{A} \cap R' = A^*$. Hence, we have $A^* \subset M_{k''}$.

Put $G = (R')^\circ - A^*$. Since then G is an open set, there is a sequence of non-overlapping intervals $J_i (i = 1, 2, \dots)$ whose union is G , and for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_i \subset (R')^\circ - A^* &\subset R' - A^* = R' - \overline{A} \subset R' - A = R' \cap (R_0 - A) \\ &= R' \cap (\cup_{0 < \mu < \nu} R_\mu) = \cup_{0 < \mu < \nu} (R_\mu \cap R'). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (c) and the first and second steps each J_i has the property (A₂) in J_i associated with $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$, and we have $(R')^\circ = A^* \cup (\cup_{i=1}^\infty J_i)$. Consequently, by the second step the interval R' has the property (A₂) in R' associated with $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$.

Now put $R' = R_\nu$. Then, $\{R_\mu (0 < \mu \leq \nu)\}$ has the following three properties.

- (i) $R_\mu \subset R_0$ for $0 < \mu \leq \nu$ by (a) and (f).
- (j) If $\mu \neq \mu'$, $0 < \mu \leq \nu$ and $0 < \mu' \leq \nu$, then $R_\mu \neq R_{\mu'}$. This follows from (b) and the fact that we have $R_\nu \neq R_\mu$ for $0 < \mu < \nu$, because $R_\nu \cap A \neq \emptyset$ by (h).
- (k) Each $R_\mu (0 < \mu \leq \nu)$ has the property (A₂) in R_μ associated with $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. This follows from (c) and the result for R' mentioned in the above.

We should thus obtain a transfinite sequence of type Ω of distinct intervals such that each interval has the center that is a rational point and its diameter is a rational number. This is impossible. Consequently, there exists a $\kappa < \Omega$ such that $\cup_{0 < \mu < \kappa} R_\mu = R_0$. Thus, by the second step R_0 has the property (A₂) in R_0 associated with $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$.

By the first and fourth steps, the proof of Lemma 2 is complete.

Lemma 1 is obtained as a corollary of Lemma 2 as follows.

Proof of Lemma 1. Let I_0 be an interval on y -axis. Put $R_0 = J_0 \times I_0$, and $M_k^* = M_k \times I_0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then, $\{M_k^*\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a non-decreasing sequence of closed sets such that $\cup_{k=1}^\infty M_k^* = R_0$. Let J be any sub-interval of J_0 . Put $R = J \times I_0$. By Lemma 2 there exist two increasing sequences of positive integers $n(i)$ and $m(i)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) such that $n(i) < m(i) < n(i+1)$ and a non-decreasing sequence of non-empty closed sets $F_{n(i)m(i)}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) satisfying the conditions (1) and (2) of (A₂) in R associated with $\{M_k^*\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. Hence, there exists a $y \in I_0$ such that $(F_{n(i)m(i)})^y \subset R^y$ and $(F_{n(i)m(i)})^y \subset (M_k^*)^y$ and such that for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, the closed set $(F_{n(i)m(i)})^y$ has the property (B₁) for $n(i) < m(i)$ in R^y associated with $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$, and $\cup_{i=1}^\infty (F_{n(i)m(i)})^y = R^y$.

Put $F_{n(i)m(i)}^* = \text{proj}_x((F_{n(i)m(i)})^y)$. Then $F_{n(i)m(i)}^* (i = 1, 2, \dots)$ is a non-decreasing sequence of closed sets in J such that: (1) for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, the closed set $F_{n(i)m(i)}^*$ has the property (B₁) for $n(i) < m(i)$ in J associated with $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$; and (2) $\cup_{i=1}^\infty F_{n(i)m(i)}^* = J$ holds. Thus, the proof of Lemma 1 is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Nakanishi (formerly S. Enomoto), Sur une Totalisation dans les Espaces de Plusieurs Dimensions, I, II, Osaka Math. J. **7**(1955), 69–102, 157–178.
- [2] S. Saks, Theory of the Integral, Warsaw (1937).

4-15 JONANTERAMACHI TENNOJI-KU, OSAKA 543-0017, JAPAN.
E-mail address ; shizu.nakanishi@nifty.ne.jp