

UNIFORM STRUCTURE ON HYPER  $K$ -ALGEBRAS

A. BORUMAND SAEID AND M.M. ZAHEDI

Received November 26, 2002

ABSTRACT. In this note first we define an equivalence relation on a hyper  $K$ -algebra  $H$  and then we construct a uniform structure on  $H$ , when this uniformity gives a topology on  $H$ .

**1 Introduction** The hyperalgebraic structure theory was introduced by F. Marty [7] in 1934. Imai and Iseki [4] in 1966 introduced the notion of a  $BCK$ -algebra. Recently [3] Borzooei, Jun and Zahedi et.al. applied the hyperstructure to  $BCK$ -algebras and introduced the concept of hyper  $K$ -algebra which is a generalization of  $BCK$ -algebra. Now, in this note we use this structure and construct a uniform structure on a hyper  $K$ -algebra  $H$ , which gives a topology on  $H$ .

**2 Preliminaries**

**Definition 2.1.** [3] Let  $H$  be a nonempty set and “ $\circ$ ” be a *hyperoperation* on  $H$ , that is “ $\circ$ ” is a function from  $H \times H$  to  $\mathcal{P}^*(H) = \mathcal{P}(H) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ . Then  $H$  is called a *hyper  $K$ -algebra* if it contains a constant “0” and satisfies the following axioms:

- (HK1)  $(x \circ z) \circ (y \circ z) < x \circ y$   
 (HK2)  $(x \circ y) \circ z = (x \circ z) \circ y$   
 (HK3)  $x < x$   
 (HK4)  $x < y, y < x \Rightarrow x = y$   
 (HK5)  $0 < x$ ,

for all  $x, y, z \in H$ , where  $x < y$  is defined by  $0 \in x \circ y$  and for every  $A, B \subseteq H$ ,  $A < B$  is defined by  $\exists a \in A, \exists b \in B$  such that  $a < b$ .

Note that if  $A, B \subseteq H$ , then by  $A \circ B$  we mean the subset  $\bigcup_{\substack{a \in A \\ b \in B}} a \circ b$  of  $H$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** [3] Let  $(H, \circ, 0)$  be a hyper  $K$ -algebra. Then for all  $x, y, z \in H$  and for all nonempty subsets  $A, B$  and  $C$  of  $H$  the following hold:

- (i)  $(x \circ z) \circ (x \circ y) < y \circ z$ , (ii)  $x \in x \circ 0$ ,  
 (iii)  $A \subseteq B$  implies  $A < B$ , (iv)  $(A \circ C) \circ (A \circ B) < B \circ C$ ,  
 (v)  $(A \circ C) \circ (B \circ C) < A \circ B$ ,

**Definition 2.3.** [3] Let  $I$  be a nonempty subset of a hyper  $K$ -algebra  $(H, \circ, 0)$  and  $0 \in I$ . Then  $I$  is called a *hyper  $K$ -ideal* of  $H$  if  $x \circ y < I$  and  $y \in I$  imply that  $x \in I$ , for all  $x, y \in H$ .

**Definition 2.4.** [2] We say that the hyper  $K$ -algebra  $H$  satisfies the *transitive condition* if for all  $x, y, z \in H$ ,  $x < y$  and  $y < z$  imply that  $x < z$ .

**Definition 2.5.** [1] We say that the hyper  $K$ -algebra  $H$  satisfies the *strong transitive condition* if for all  $A, B, C \subseteq H$ ,  $A < B$  and  $B < C$  imply that  $A < C$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06F35, 03G25.

*Key words and phrases.* Uniformity, Hyper  $K$ -algebra.

**Proposition 2.6.** [1] Let  $H$  satisfies the strong transitive condition. If  $I$  is a hyper  $K$ -ideal of  $H$  and  $A, B \subseteq H$ ,  $A \circ B < I$  and  $B < I$ , then  $A < I$ .  $\square$

### 3 Uniformity in hyper $K$ -algebras

From now on  $H$  is a hyper  $K$ -algebra which satisfies the strong transitive condition.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $I$  be a hyper  $K$ -ideal of  $H$ . We define the relation  $\sim_I$  on  $H$  as follows:

$$x \sim_I y \text{ if and only if } x \circ y < I \text{ and } y \circ x < I.$$

If  $A, B$  are subsets of  $H$  then we define  $A \sim_I B$  if and only if  $\exists a \in A, \exists b \in B$  such that  $a \sim_I b$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** The relation  $\sim_I$  is an equivalence relation on  $H$ .

*Proof.* (i) Since  $0 \in x \circ x$ , then  $x \circ x < I$ . Hence  $x \sim_I x$ .

(ii) Clearly  $\sim_I$  is symmetric.

(iii) Let  $x \sim_I y$  and  $y \sim_I z$ . Then  $x \circ y < I$ ,  $y \circ x < I$ ,  $y \circ z < I$  and  $z \circ y < I$ . Since  $(x \circ z) \circ (x \circ y) < y \circ z$  and  $y \circ z < I$ , so by strong transitivity of  $H$  we get that  $(x \circ z) \circ (x \circ y) < I$ . Thus from  $(x \circ z) \circ (x \circ y) < I$ ,  $x \circ y < I$  and Proposition 2.6 we conclude that  $x \circ z < I$ . Similarly  $z \circ x < I$ , therefore  $x \sim_I z$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** The relation  $\sim_I$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathcal{P}^*(H)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Since  $x \circ x < I$  for all  $x$  in  $H$ , so  $A \sim_I A$  for any  $A$  in  $\mathcal{P}^*(H)$ .

(ii) Let  $A \sim_I B$ . Then there exist  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$  such that  $a \sim_I b$ . So  $b \sim_I a$  by Proposition 3.2, thus  $B \sim_I A$ .

(iii) Let  $A \sim_I B$  and  $B \sim_I C$ . Then there exist  $a \in A, b, b' \in B$ , and  $c \in C$  such that  $a \sim_I b$  and  $b' \sim_I c$ . So we have  $a \circ b < I$ ,  $b \circ a < I$ ,  $b' \circ c < I$  and  $c \circ b' < I$ . Now by Theorem 2.2 (i) we have  $(A \circ C) \circ (A \circ B) < B \circ C$ . Since  $b' \circ c \subseteq B \circ C$  and  $b' \circ c < I$ , we get that  $B \circ C < I$ . Therefore the strong transitivity of  $H$  implies that  $(A \circ C) \circ (A \circ B) < I$ . Also  $a \circ b < I$ , gives that  $A \circ B < I$ . Thus by Proposition 2.6 we conclude that  $A \circ C < I$ . Similarly  $C \circ A < I$ . So there are  $a' \in A$  and  $c' \in C$  such that  $a' \circ c' < I$ . Since  $c' \circ a' \subseteq C \circ A$  and  $C \circ A < I$ , then  $c' \circ a' < I$  by Theorem 2.2 (iii) and strong transitivity of  $H$ . That is  $a' \sim_I c'$ , therefore  $A \sim_I C$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}^*(H)$ , and  $I$  be a hyper  $K$ -ideal of  $H$ . Then  $A \circ B < I$  and  $B \circ A < I$  imply that  $A \sim_I B$ .

*Proof.* Since  $A \circ B < I$ , there are  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$  such that  $a \circ b < I$ . We have  $b \circ a \subseteq B \circ A$  so  $b \circ a < B \circ A$ . Now  $b \circ a < B \circ A$  and  $B \circ A < I$  imply that  $b \circ a < I$ , by strong transitivity of  $H$ . Thus  $a \sim_I b$ , which implies that  $A \sim_I B$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** The relation  $\sim_I$  is a congruence relation on  $H$ .

*Proof.* By considering Proposition 3.2, it is enough to show that If  $x \sim_I y$  and  $u \sim_I v$ , then  $x \circ u \sim_I y \circ v$ . Since  $u \sim_I v$ , we have  $v \circ u < I$  and  $u \circ v < I$ . So  $(x \circ u) \circ (x \circ v) < v \circ u$  and  $v \circ u < I$  imply that  $(x \circ u) \circ (x \circ v) < I$ . Similarly  $(x \circ v) \circ (x \circ u) < I$ . Therefore by Lemma 3.4

$$x \circ u \sim_I x \circ v. \tag{1}$$

Similarly from  $(x \circ v) \circ (y \circ v) < x \circ y$ ,  $(y \circ v) \circ (x \circ v) < y \circ x$ ,  $x \circ y < I$  and  $y \circ x < I$  we can see that

$$x \circ v \sim_I y \circ v. \quad (2)$$

Since  $\sim_I$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathcal{P}^*(H)$ , then (1) and (2) imply that  $x \circ u \sim_I y \circ v$ .  $\square$

Let  $X$  be a non empty set and  $U$  and  $V$  be any subsets of  $X \times X$ . We let

$$\begin{aligned} U \diamond V &= \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid \text{for some } z \in X, (x, z) \in U \text{ and } (z, y) \in V\}, \\ U^{-1} &= \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid (y, x) \in U\}, \\ \Delta &= \{(x, x) \in X \times X \mid x \in X\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3.6.** [5] By a *uniformity* on  $X$  we shall mean a non empty collection  $\mathcal{K}$  of subsets of  $X \times X$  which satisfies the following conditions:

- (U<sub>1</sub>)  $\Delta \subseteq U$  for any  $U \in \mathcal{K}$ ,
- (U<sub>2</sub>) if  $U \in \mathcal{K}$ , then  $U^{-1} \in \mathcal{K}$ ,
- (U<sub>3</sub>) if  $U \in \mathcal{K}$ , then there exist a  $V \in \mathcal{K}$ , such that  $V \diamond V \subseteq U$ ,
- (U<sub>4</sub>) if  $U, V \in \mathcal{K}$ , then  $U \cap V \in \mathcal{K}$ ,
- (U<sub>5</sub>) if  $U \in \mathcal{K}$ , and  $U \subseteq V \subseteq X \times X$  then  $V \in \mathcal{K}$ .

The pair  $(X, \mathcal{K})$  is called a *uniform structure*.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $I$  be a hyper  $K$ -ideal of  $H$  and  $U_I = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid x \sim_I y\}$ . If

$$\mathcal{K}^* = \{U_I \mid I \text{ is a hyper } K\text{-ideal of } H\}$$

then  $\mathcal{K}^*$  satisfies the conditions (U<sub>1</sub>) – (U<sub>4</sub>).

*Proof.* (U<sub>1</sub>): Since  $0 \in x \circ x$ , hence  $x \circ x < I$  for any hyper  $K$ -ideal  $I$  of  $H$ . Thus  $x \sim_I x$  for any  $x$  in  $H$ , hence  $\Delta \subseteq U_I$  for all  $U_I \in \mathcal{K}^*$ .

(U<sub>2</sub>): For any  $U_I \in \mathcal{K}^*$ , we have

$$(x, y) \in (U_I)^{-1} \iff (y, x) \in U_I \iff y \sim_I x \iff x \sim_I y \iff (x, y) \in U_I.$$

Hence  $(U_I)^{-1} = U_I \in \mathcal{K}^*$ .

(U<sub>3</sub>): For any  $U_I \in \mathcal{K}^*$ , the transitivity of  $\sim_I$  implies that  $U_I \diamond U_I \subseteq U_I$ .

(U<sub>4</sub>): For any  $U_I, U_J \in \mathcal{K}^*$ , we claim that  $U_I \cap U_J = U_{I \cap J}$ . Let  $(x, y) \in U_I \cap U_J$ . Then  $x \sim_I y$  and  $x \sim_J y$ . So we have  $x \circ y < I$ ,  $y \circ x < I$ ,  $x \circ y < J$  and  $y \circ x < J$ . Thus there exist  $t \in x \circ y$  and  $i \in I$  such that  $t < i$ , that is  $0 \in t \circ i$ . So  $t \circ i < I$ . Therefore  $i \in I$  implies that  $t \in I$ . Hence  $t \in (x \circ y) \cap I$ . Similarly we can see that there is  $r \in H$  such that  $r \in (x \circ y) \cap J$ . Since  $0 \in (x \circ y) \circ (x \circ y)$ , so

$$(x \circ y) \circ (x \circ y) < J \quad (3)$$

we have  $t \circ r \subseteq (x \circ y) \circ (x \circ y)$ , thus (3) and the strong transitivity of  $H$  imply that  $t \circ r < J$ . Since  $r \in J$  we get that  $t \in J$ , hence  $t \in I \cap J \cap (x \circ y)$ . So  $x \circ y < I \cap J$ . Similarly  $y \circ x < I \cap J$ , therefore  $x \sim_{I \cap J} y$ . Thus  $(x, y) \in U_{I \cap J}$ . Conversely, let  $(x, y) \in U_{I \cap J}$ . Then  $x \sim_{I \cap J} y$ , hence  $x \circ y < I \cap J$  and  $y \circ x < I \cap J$ . We have  $I \cap J < I, J$ . So the strong transitivity of  $H$  implies that  $x \circ y < I$ ,  $y \circ x < I$ ,  $x \circ y < J$  and  $y \circ x < J$ . Thus  $x \sim_I y$  and

$x \sim_J y$ , therefore  $(x, y) \in (U_I \cap U_J)$ . So  $U_I \cap U_J = U_{I \cap J}$ , Since  $I \cap J$  is a hyper  $K$ -ideal of  $H$ , thus  $U_I \cap U_J \in \mathcal{K}^*$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $\mathcal{K} = \{U \subseteq X \times X \mid U_I \subseteq U \text{ for some } U_I \in \mathcal{K}^*\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}$  satisfies a uniformity on  $H$  and the pair  $(H, \mathcal{K})$  is a uniform structure.

*Proof.* By applying Theorem 3.7 we can show that  $\mathcal{K}$  satisfies the conditions  $(U_1) - (U_4)$ . Let  $U \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $U \subseteq V \subseteq X \times X$ . Then there exists a  $U_I \subseteq U \subseteq V$ , which means that  $V \in \mathcal{K}$ . This proves the theorem.  $\square$

Given a  $x \in H$  and  $U \in \mathcal{K}$ , we define

$$U[x] := \{y \in H \mid (x, y) \in U\}.$$

**Theorem 3.9.** For any  $x$  in  $H$ , The collection  $\mathcal{U}_x := \{U[x] \mid U \in \mathcal{K}\}$ , forms a neighborhood base at  $x$ , making  $H$  a topological space.

*Proof.* Note that  $x \in U[x]$  for each  $x \in H$ . Since  $U_1[x] \cap U_2[x] = (U_1 \cap U_2)[x]$ , the intersection of neighborhoods is also a neighborhood. Finally, if  $U[x] \in \mathcal{U}_x$  then by  $(U_3)$  there exists a  $V \in \mathcal{K}$  such that  $V \diamond V \subseteq U$ . Hence for any  $y \in V[x]$ , we can check that  $V[y] \subseteq U[x]$ , this completes the prove of theorem.  $\square$

## References

- [1] A. Borumand Saeid, R.A. Borzooei and M.M. Zahedi, *(Weak) Implicative hyper  $K$ -ideals*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., (to appear).
- [2] R.A. Borzooei, P. Corsini and M.M. Zahedi, *Some kinds of positive Implicative hyper  $K$ -ideals*, Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography, Delhi, (to appear).
- [3] R.A. Borzooei, A. Hasankhani, M.M. Zahedi and Y.B. Jun, *On hyper  $K$ -algebras*, Math. Japon. Vol. **52**, No. **1** (2000), 113-121.
- [4] Y. Imai and K. Iseki, *On axiom systems of propositional calculi*, XIV Proc. Japan Academy, **42** (1966), 19-22.
- [5] K. D. Joshi, *Introduction to general topology*, New Age International Publisher, India, 1997.
- [6] Y.B. Jun and H. S. Kim, *Uniform structure in positive implicative algebras*, International Mathematical Journal **2** (2002), 215-218.
- [7] F. Marty, *Sur une generalization de la notion de groups*, 8th congress Math. Scandinaves, Stockholm, (1934), 45-49.

Dept. of Math., Islamic Azad University, Kerman, Iran

*e-mail:* arsham@iauk.ac.ir

Dept. of Math., Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman, Iran

*e-mail:* zahedi\_mm@mail.uk.ac.ir