ON NIL RADICALS IN BCI-ALGEBRAS

YOUNG BAE JUN

Received July 8, 2002

ABSTRACT. We verify that the k-nil radical of an obstinate (resp. associative, strong, weakly implicative, implicative, sub-implicative, sub-commutative) ideal is an obstinate (resp. associative, strong, weakly implicative, implicative, sub-implicative, sub-commutative) ideal. We prove that every k-nil radical of a q-ideal and an a-ideal is also a q-ideal and an a-ideal.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [4] the notion of nil radical in BCI-algebras was introduced, and various properties were developed in [3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. In this paper, we prove that every k-nil radical of an associative (resp. strong, obstinate) ideal is an associative (resp. strong, obstinate) ideal. Using characterizations of a weakly implicative ideal (resp. an implicative ideal, a q-ideal, an a-ideal, a sub-implicative ideal, a sub-commutative ideal), we show that the k-nil radical of a weakly implicative ideal (resp. an implicative ideal, a q-ideal, a sub-implicative ideal, a sub-commutative ideal) is a weakly implicative ideal (resp. an implicative ideal, a q-ideal, a sub-commutative ideal) is a weakly implicative ideal (resp. an implicative ideal, a q-ideal, an a-ideal, a sub-implicative ideal, a sub-commutative ideal).

2. Preliminaries

Recall that a *BCI-algebra* is an algebra (X, *, 0) of type (2, 0) satisfying the following axioms: for every $x, y, z \in X$,

- ((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0,
- (x * (x * y)) * y = 0,
- x * x = 0,
- x * y = 0 and y * x = 0 imply x = y.

For any BCI-algebra X, the relation \leq defined by $x \leq y$ if and only if x * y = 0 is a partial order on X. For any elements x and y of a BCI-algebra X and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let us write $x * y^k$ instead of $(\cdots((x * y) * y) \cdots) * y$ in which y occurs k-times. In a BCI-algebra X, the following holds for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

 $(\mathbf{p1}) \ x * 0 = x,$

- $(p2) \ (x*y)*z = (x*z)*y,$
- $(\mathbf{p3}) \quad \mathbf{0} * (x * y)^k = (\mathbf{0} * x^k) * (\mathbf{0} * y^k),$
- (p4) $0 * (0 * x)^k = 0 * (0 * x^k).$

A nonempty subset S of a BCI-algebra X is said to be a subalgebra of X if $x * y \in S$ whenever $x, y \in S$. A nonempty subset A of a BCI-algebra X is called an *ideal* of X if it satisfies

• $0 \in A$,

 $^{2000\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification. \ 06\,F35,\ 03\,G25.$

Key words and phrases. k-nil radical, q-ideal, a-ideal, (associative, strong, obstinate, weakly implicative, implicative, sub-implicative, sub-commutative) ideal.

• $x * y \in A$ and $y \in A$ imply $x \in A$ for all $x, y \in X$.

An ideal A of a BCI-algebra X is said to be *closed* if $0 * x \in A$ for all $x \in A$. Note that an ideal of a BCI-algebra may not be a subalgebra in general, but every closed ideal of a BCI-algebra is a subalgebra. An ideal A of a BCI-algebra X is said to be *strong* if $x * y \in X \setminus A$ for all $x \in A$ and $y \in X \setminus A$. A proper ideal A of a BCI-algebra X is said to be *obstinate* if $x * y \in A$ for all $x, y \in X \setminus A$. A nonempty subset A of a BCI-algebra X is called an *associative ideal* of X if it satisfies

- $0 \in A$,
- $(x * y) * z \in A$ and $y * z \in A$ imply $x \in A$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this section X is a *BCI*-algebra and k is a positive integer.

Definition 3.1. ([3, Definition 1]) For any nonempty subset I of X, the set

 $\sqrt[k]{I} := \{ x \in X \mid 0 * x^k \in I \}$

is called the k-nil radical of I.

Lemma 3.2. ([3, Theorem 2]) If I is a (closed) ideal of X, then so is $\sqrt[k]{I}$.

Theorem 3.3. If I is an associative ideal of X, then so is $\sqrt[k]{I}$.

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $(x * y) * z \in \sqrt[k]{I}$ and $y * z \in \sqrt[k]{I}$. Then

$$\left(\left(0 * x^k \right) * \left(0 * y^k \right) \right) * \left(0 * z^k \right) = 0 * \left(\left(x * y \right) * z \right)^k \in I$$

and $(0 * y^k) * (0 * z^k) = 0 * (y * z)^k \in I$. Since *I* is an associative ideal, it follows that $0 * x^k \in I$, that is, $x \in \sqrt[k]{I}$. Hence $\sqrt[k]{I}$ is an associative ideal of *X*.

Theorem 3.4. If I is a strong ideal of X, then so is $\sqrt[k]{I}$.

Proof. Let $a \in \sqrt[k]{I}$ and $x \in X \setminus \sqrt[k]{I}$. Then $0 * a^k \in I$ and $0 * x^k \notin I$. Since I is a strong ideal, it follows from (p3) that

$$0 * (a * x)^{k} = (0 * a^{k}) * (0 * x^{k}) \in X \setminus I,$$

that is, $a * x \in X \setminus \sqrt[k]{I}$. Hence $\sqrt[k]{I}$ is a strong ideal of X.

Theorem 3.5. Every k-nil radical of an obstinate ideal is also an obstinate ideal.

Proof. Let I be an obstinate ideal of X. Then I is an ideal of X, and so $\sqrt[k]{I}$ is an ideal of X (see Lemma 3.2). Let $x, y \in X \setminus \sqrt[k]{I}$. Then $0 * x^k \in X \setminus I$ and $0 * y^k \in X \setminus I$. Since I is an obstinate ideal, it follows from (p3) that

$$0 * (x * y)^{k} = (0 * x^{k}) * (0 * y^{k}) \in I$$

so that $x * y \in \sqrt[k]{I}$. This completes the proof.

By means of [10, Corollary 3], we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. Every k-nil radical of an obstinate ideal is a closed (*)-ideal.

Definition 3.7. ([14]) A nonempty subset I of X is called a *weakly implicative ideal* of X if it satisfies

• $0 \in I$,

• $z \in I$ and $((x * (y * x)) * (0 * (y * x))) * z \in I$ imply $x \in I$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Note that every weakly implicative ideal is an ideal (see [14, Theorem 1]).

Lemma 3.8. ([14, Theorem 4]) An ideal I of X is weakly implicative if and only if it satisfies: for all $x, y \in X$,

• $(x * (y * x)) * (0 * (y * x)) \in I \text{ implies } x \in I.$

Theorem 3.9. If I is a weakly implicative ideal of X, then so is $\sqrt[k]{I}$.

Proof. If I is a weakly implicative ideal of X, then I is an ideal of X. Hence $\sqrt[k]{I}$ is an ideal of X (see Lemma 3.2). Let $x, y \in X$ be such that

$$(x \ast (y \ast x)) \ast (0 \ast (y \ast x)) \in \sqrt[k]{I}$$

Then

$$\begin{array}{l} \left((0*x^k) * ((0*y^k) * (0*x^k)) \right) * \left(0 * ((0*y^k) * (0*x^k)) \right) \\ = & \left(0 * (x*(y*x))^k \right) * \left(0 * (0*(y*x))^k \right) \\ = & 0 * \left((x*(y*x)) * (0*(y*x)) \right)^k \in I. \end{array}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.8 that $0 * x^k \in I$ so that $x \in \sqrt[k]{I}$. Hence, by Lemma 3.8, $\sqrt[k]{I}$ is a weakly implicative ideal of X.

Definition 3.10. ([13, Definition 3.1]) A nonempty subset I of X is called an *implicative ideal* of X if it satisfies

- $0 \in I$,
- $(((x * y) * y) * (0 * y)) * z \in I \text{ and } z \in I \text{ imply}$ $x * ((y * (y * x)) * (0 * (0 * (x * y)))) \in I,$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Note that every implicative ideal is an ideal, but not converse (see [13, Theorem 3.7]).

Lemma 3.11. ([13, Theorem 3.4]) Let I be an ideal of X. Then I is implicative if and only if it satisfies: for all $x, y, z \in X$,

• $((x*y)*y)*(0*y) \in I \text{ implies } x*((y*(y*x))*(0*(0*(x*y)))) \in I.$

Theorem 3.12. Every k-nil radical of an implicative ideal is also an implicative ideal.

Proof. Let I be an implicative ideal of X. Then I is an ideal, and so $\sqrt[k]{I}$ is an ideal of X. For the convenience of notations, we use 0_x instead of $0 * x^k$. Then $0_x * 0_y = 0_{x*y}$ by (p3). Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $((x*y)*y) * (0*y) \in \sqrt[k]{I}$. Then

$$\left(\left(0_x * 0_y \right) * 0_y \right) * \left(0 * 0_y \right) = 0_{\left((x * y) * y \right) * \left(0 * y \right)} = 0 * \left(\left((x * y) * y \right) * \left(0 * y \right) \right)^k \in I,$$

which implies

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 * \left(x * \left(\left(y * \left(y * x\right)\right) * \left(0 * \left(0 * \left(x * y\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)^{\kappa} \\ = & 0_{x * \left(\left(y * \left(y * x\right)\right) * \left(0 * \left(0 * \left(x * y\right)\right)\right)\right)} \\ = & 0_{x} * \left(0_{y * \left(y * x\right)} * \left(0 * \left(0 * \left(0 * \left(x * y\right)\right)\right)\right) \\ = & 0_{x} * \left(\left(0_{y} * \left(0_{y} * \left(0_{y} * \left(0 * \left(0$$

by Lemma 3.11. Hence

$$x * ((y * (y * x)) * (0 * (0 * (x * y)))) \in \sqrt[k]{I},$$

and thus $\sqrt[k]{I}$ is an implicative ideal of X by Lemma 3.11.

Definition 3.13. ([11, Definition 3.1]) A nonempty subset I of X is called a q-ideal of X if it satisfies:

- $0 \in I$,
- $x * (y * z) \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply $x * z \in I$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Lemma 3.14. ([11, Theorem 3.3]) Every q-ideal is an ideal.

Lemma 3.15. ([11, Theorem 3.5]) Let I be an ideal of X. Then the following are equivalent.

- (i) I is a q-ideal of X.
- (ii) $x * (0 * y) \in I$ implies $x * y \in I$, for all $x, y \in X$.
- (iii) $x * (y * z) \in I$ implies $(x * y) * z \in I$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Theorem 3.16. Every k-nil radical of a q-ideal is also a q-ideal.

Proof. Let I be a q-ideal of X. Then I is an ideal of X, and so $\sqrt[k]{I}$ is an ideal of X. Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x * (0 * y) \in \sqrt[k]{I}$. Using (p3) and (p4), we have

$$(0 * x^{k}) * (0 * (0 * y^{k})) = (0 * x^{k}) * (0 * (0 * y)^{k}) = 0 * (x * (0 * y))^{k} \in I$$

Since I is a q-ideal, it follows from (p3) and Lemma 3.15 that

$$0 * (x * y)^{k} = (0 * x^{k}) * (0 * y^{k}) \in I$$

so that $x * y \in \sqrt[k]{I}$. Therefore $\sqrt[k]{I}$ is a q-ideal of X by Lemma 3.15.

Definition 3.17. ([11, Definition 4.1]) A nonempty subset I of X is called an *a*-ideal of X if it satisfies:

- $0 \in I$,
- $(x * z) * (0 * y) \in I$ and $z \in I$ imply $y * x \in I$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Lemma 3.18. ([11, Theorem 4.3]) Any a-ideal is an ideal.

Lemma 3.19. ([11, Theorem 4.4]) Let I be an ideal of X. Then the following are equivalent.

- (i) I is an a-ideal of X.
- (ii) $(x * z) * (0 * y) \in I \Rightarrow y * (x * z) \in I.$
- (iii) $x * (0 * y) \in I \Rightarrow y * x \in I.$

Theorem 3.20. Every k-nil radical of an a-ideal is also an a-ideal.

Proof. Let I be an a-ideal of X. Then I is an ideal of X, and thus $\sqrt[k]{I}$ is an ideal of X. Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x * (0 * y) \in \sqrt[k]{I}$. Then

$$(0 * x^{k}) * (0 * (0 * y^{k})) = (0 * x^{k}) * (0 * (0 * y)^{k}) = 0 * (x * (0 * y))^{k} \in I,$$

which implies from (p3) and Lemma 3.19 that $0 * (y * x)^k = (0 * y^k) * (0 * x^k) \in I$. Hence $y * x \in \sqrt[k]{I}$, and so $\sqrt[k]{I}$ is an *a*-ideal of X by Lemma 3.19.

Definition 3.21. ([12, Definition 3.1]) A nonempty subset I of X is called a *sub-implicative ideal* of X if it satisfies:

- $0 \in I$,
- $((x * (x * y)) * (y * x)) * z \in I \text{ and } z \in I \text{ imply } y * (y * x) \in I, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$

Lemma 3.22. ([12, Theorem 3.5]) Every sub-implicative ideal is an ideal.

Lemma 3.23. ([12, Theorem 3.4]) Let I be an ideal of X. Then I is a sub-implicative ideal of X if and only if

$$(x * (x * y)) * (y * x) \in I \implies y * (y * x) \in I$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Theorem 3.24. If I is a sub-implicative ideal of X, then so is $\sqrt[k]{I}$.

Proof. Let I be a sub-implicative ideal of X. Then I is an ideal of X, and so $\sqrt[k]{I}$ is an ideal of X. Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $(x * (x * y)) * (y * x) \in \sqrt[k]{I}$. Then

$$\left(\left(0 * x^k \right) * \left(\left(0 * x^k \right) * \left(0 * y^k \right) \right) \right) * \left(\left(0 * y^k \right) * \left(0 * x^k \right) \right) = 0 * \left(\left(x * \left(x * y \right) \right) * \left(y * x \right) \right)^k \in I.$$

Since I is sub-implicative, it follows from (p3) and Lemma 3.23 that

$$0 * (y * (y * x))^{k} = (0 * y^{k}) * ((0 * y^{k}) * (0 * x^{k})) \in I$$

so that $y * (y * x) \in \sqrt[k]{I}$. Hence, by Lemma 3.23, $\sqrt[k]{I}$ is a sub-implicative ideal of X.

Definition 3.25. ([12, Definition 3.9]) A nonempty subset I of X is called a *sub-commutative ideal* of X if it satisfies:

- $0 \in I$,
- $(y * (x * (x * y))) * z \in I \text{ and } z \in I \text{ imply } x * (x * y) \in I, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$

Lemma 3.26. ([12, Theorem 3.13]) Every sub-commutative ideal is an ideal.

Lemma 3.27. ([12, Theorem 3.12]) Let I be an ideal of X. Then I is a sub-commutative ideal of X if and only if

$$y * (y * (x * (x * y))) \in I \implies x * (x * y) \in I$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Theorem 3.28. If I is a sub-commutative ideal of X, then so is $\sqrt[k]{I}$.

Proof. Let I be a sub-commutative ideal of X. Then I is an ideal of X, and so $\sqrt[k]{I}$ is an ideal of X. Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $y * (y * (x * (x * y))) \in \sqrt[k]{I}$. Then

$$0_y * (0_y * (0_x * (0_x * (0_x * 0_y)))) = 0_{y * (y * (x * (x * y)))} = 0 * (y * (y * (x * (x * y))))^{\kappa} \in I.$$

Since I is sub-commutative, it follows from Lemma 3.27 that

$$0 * (x * (x * y))^{\kappa} = 0_{x * (x * y)} = 0_x * 0_{x * y} = 0_x * (0_x * 0_y) \in I$$

so that $x * (x * y) \in \sqrt[k]{I}$. Therefore $\sqrt[k]{I}$ is a sub-commutative ideal of X.

Acknowledgements. This paper was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2001-005-D00002).

References

- S. A. Bhatti, M. A. Chaudhry and B. Ahmad, Obstinate ideals in BCI-algebras, J. Nat. Sci. Math. 30(1) (1990), 21-31.
- [2] S. A. Bhatti and X. H. Zhang, Strong ideals, associative ideals and p-ideals in BCI-algebras, Punjab Univ. J. Math. 27 (1994), 113-120.
- [3] S. M. Hong, Y. B. Jun and E. H. Roh, k-nil radical in BCI-algebras, Far East J. Math. Sci. 5(2) (1997), 237-242.
- [4] W. P. Huang, Nil-radical in BCI-algebras, Math. Japonica 37(2) (1992), 363-366.
- [5] Y. B. Jun, A note on nil ideals in BCI-algebras, Math. Japonica 38(6) (1993), 1017-1021.
- [6] Y. B. Jun, S. M. Hong and E. H. Roh, k-nil radical in BCI-algebras II, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. 12(3) (1997), 499-505.
- [7] Y. B. Jun, J. Meng and E. H. Roh, On nil ideals in BCI-algebras, Math. Japonica 38(6) (1993), 1051-1056.
- [8] Y. B. Jun and E. H. Roh, Nil ideals in BCI-algebras, Math. Japonica 41(2) (1995), 297-302.
- [9] Y. B. Jun and E. H. Roh, Nil ideals in BCI-algebras (II), Math. Japonica 41(3) (1995), 553-556.
- [10] M. Kondo, On (*)-ideals in BCI-algebras, Math. Japonica 50(2) (1999), 201-205.
- [11] Y. L. Liu and J. Meng, q-ideals and a-ideals in BCI-algebras, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics 24 (2000), 243-253.
- [12] Y. L. Liu and J. Meng, Sub-implicative ideals and sub-commutative ideals of BCI-algebras, Soochow J. Math. 26(4) (2000), 441-453.

- [13] Y. L. Liu and J. Meng, Implicative ideals of BCI-algebras, (submitted).
- [14] Y. L. Liu and X. H. Zhang, Weakly implicative ideal in BCI-algebra, Pure and Appl. Marh. 11 (1995), 66-69.
- [15] J. Meng, An ideal characterization of commutative BCI-algberas, Pusan Kyongnam Math. J. (recently, East Asian Math. J.) 9(1) (1993), 1-6.
- [16] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, BCK-algberas, Kyungmoonsa Co. Seoul, Korea, 1994.
- [17] X. H. Zhang, H. Jiang and S. A. Bhatti, On p-ideals of a BCI-algebra, Punjab Univ. J. Math. 27 (1994), 121-128.

Department of Mathematics Education, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju (Jinju) 660-701, Korea

E-mail address: ybjun@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr