

ON COMMUTATIVE BE-ALGEBRAS

ANDRZEJ WALENDZIAK

Received July 13, 2008

ABSTRACT. In this paper we investigate the relationship between BE-algebras, implicative algebras, and J-algebras. Moreover, we define commutative BE-algebras and state that these algebras are equivalent to the commutative dual BCK-algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1967 J. C. Abbot introduced in [1] the concept of implication algebras as algebras connected with a propositional calculus. In [5] K. Iséki introduced a wide class of abstract algebras: BCK-algebras. Recently, R. A. Borzooei and S. Khosravi Shoar ([2]) showed that the implication algebras are equivalent to the dual implicative BCK-algebras. W. H. Cornish ([4]) introduced the condition (J) and proved the BCK-algebras satisfying (J) form a variety. In [7], as a generalization of a BCK-algebra, H. S. Kim and Y. H. Kim introduced the notion of a BE-algebra.

In this paper we show that any implication algebra is a BE-algebra and that every BE-algebra satisfies (J). Moreover, we define commutative BE-algebras and state that these algebras are equivalent to the commutative dual BCK-algebras.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1. ([7]) An algebra $(X; *, 1)$ of type $(2, 0)$ is called a *BE-algebra* if for all $x, y, z \in X$ the following identities hold:

- (BE1) $x * x = 1$,
- (BE2) $x * 1 = 1$,
- (BE3) $1 * x = x$,
- (BE4) $x * (y * z) = y * (x * z)$.

Lemma 2.2. ([7]) *If $(X; *, 1)$ is a BE-algebra, then $x * (y * x) = 1$ for any $x, y \in X$.*

Definition 2.3. ([8]) A *dual BCK-algebra* is an algebra $(X; *, 1)$ of type $(2, 0)$ satisfying (BE1), (BE2), and the following axioms:

- (dBCK1) $x * y = y * x = 1 \implies x = y$,
- (dBCK2) $(x * y) * ((y * z) * (x * z)) = 1$,
- (dBCK3) $x * ((x * y) * y) = 1$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06F35.

Key words and phrases. BE-algebras, dual BCK-algebras, implicative algebras, J-algebras, commutative BE-algebras.

Lemma 2.4. ([8], Theorem 2.5) *Let $(X; *, 1)$ be a dual BCK-algebra and $x, y, z \in X$. Then:*

- (a) $x * (y * z) = y * (x * z)$,
- (b) $1 * x = x$.

From Lemma 2.4 we have

Proposition 2.5. *Any dual BCK-algebra is a BE-algebra.*

Example 2.6. Let \mathbb{N} be the set of all natural numbers and $*$ be the binary operation on \mathbb{N} defined by

$$x * y = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } x = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } x \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $(\mathbb{N}; *, 1)$ is a BE-algebra, but it is not a dual BCK-algebra.

Definition 2.7. ([1]) An algebra $(X; *)$ of type (2) is called an *implication algebra* if for all $x, y, z \in X$ the following identities hold:

- (I1) $(x * y) * x = x$,
- (I2) $(x * y) * y = (y * x) * x$,
- (I3) $x * (y * z) = y * (x * z)$.

In any implication algebra $(X; *)$, $x * x = y * y$ for all $x, y \in X$. This was proved by W. Y. Chen and J. S. Oliveira [3]. Let 1 stand for the constant $x * x$. R. A. Borzooei and S. Khosravi Shoar proved the following result:

Proposition 2.8. ([2]) *If $(X; *)$ is an implication algebra, then $(X; *, 1)$ is a dual BCK-algebra.*

Propositions 2.8 and 2.5 give

Proposition 2.9. *Any implication algebra is a BE-algebra.*

Definition 2.10. ([6]) An algebra $(X; *)$ consisting of a set X with a binary operation $*$ on X is said to be a *J-algebra* if

- (J) $x * (x * (y * (y * x))) = y * (y * (x * (x * y)))$
- for all $x, y \in X$.

Proposition 2.11. *Let $(X; *, 1)$ be a BE-algebra. Then $(X; *)$ is a J-algebra.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$. By (BE4), Lemma 2.2, and (BE2) we have

$$x * (x * (y * (y * x))) = x * (y * (x * (y * x))) = x * (y * 1) = x * 1 = 1.$$

Similarly,

$$y * (y * (x * (x * y))) = y * (x * (y * (x * y))) = y * (x * 1) = y * 1 = 1.$$

Hence (J) holds, and therefore X is a J-algebra. □

3. COMMUTATIVE BE-ALGEBRAS

Definition 3.1. Let $(X; *, 1)$ be a BE-algebra or a dual BCK-algebra. We say that X is *commutative* if

(C) $(x * y) * y = (y * x) * x$
for all $x, y \in X$.

Example 3.2. Let $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and let $*$ be the binary operation of \mathbb{N}_0 defined by

$$x * y = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \geq y \\ y - x & \text{if } y > x. \end{cases}$$

Observe that $(\mathbb{N}_0; *, 0)$ is a commutative BE-algebra. Obviously, $x * x = 0$, $x * 0 = 0$, and $0 * x = x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus (BE1)–(BE3) hold. Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}_0$. To prove (BE4) we consider two cases.

Case 1: $x + y < z$.

Then $x < z$ and $y < z$. Hence $x * z = z - x$ and $y * z = z - y$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} x * (y * z) &= x * (z - y) = z - y - x = (z - x) - y \\ &= y * (z - x) = y * (x * z). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $x + y \geq z$.

Then $x \geq z - y \geq y * z$. From this we obtain $x * (y * z) = 0$. Similarly, since $y \geq z - x \geq x * z$, we conclude that $y * (x * z) = 0$. Consequently, $x * (y * z) = y * (x * z)$. Thus $(\mathbb{N}_0; *, 0)$ is a BE-algebra.

Now we shall prove that $(\mathbb{N}_0; *, 0)$ is commutative. Without loss of generality we can assume that $x \geq y$. Then $(x * y) * y = 0 * y = y$ and $(y * x) * x = (x - y) * x = x - (x - y) = y$. Hence $(x * y) * y = (y * x) * x$ and we see that $(\mathbb{N}_0; *, 0)$ is a commutative BE-algebra.

Proposition 3.3. *If $(X; *, 1)$ is a commutative BE-algebra, then for all $x, y \in X$,*

$$x * y = 1 \text{ and } y * x = 1 \text{ imply } x = y.$$

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$ and suppose that $x * y = y * x = 1$. Then

$$x = 1 * x = (y * x) * x = (x * y) * y = 1 * y = y. \quad \square$$

Theorem 3.4. *If $(X; *, 1)$ is a commutative BE-algebra, then $(X; *, 1)$ is a dual BCK-algebra.*

Proof. Proposition 3.3 yields (dBCK1). Now let $x, y, z \in X$. Applying (BE4) and (C) we have

$$(y * z) * (x * z) = x * [(y * z) * z] = x * [(z * y) * y] = (z * y) * (x * y).$$

Hence

$$(x * y) * [(y * z) * (x * z)] = (x * y) * [(z * y) * (x * y)].$$

Lemma 2.2 now shows that $(x * y) * [(y * z) * (x * z)] = 1$, and therefore (dBCK2) holds. Moreover, by (BE4) and (BE1), $x * ((x * y) * y) = (x * y) * (x * y) = 1$. From this we have (dBCK3), and consequently, X is a dual BCK-algebra. \square

By Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 3.4 we have

Corollary 3.5. *$(X; *, 1)$ is a commutative BE-algebra if and only if it is a commutative dual BCK-algebra.*

Definition 3.6. Let $(X; *, 1)$ be a BE-algebra. We define the binary operation " + " on X as the following: for any $x, y \in X$

$$x + y = (x * y) * y.$$

Clearly, X is a commutative BE-algebra if and only if $x + y = y + x$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Lemma 3.7. Let $(X; *, 1)$ be a commutative BE-algebra. Then for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- (a) $x * (x + y) = 1$,
- (b) $x * y = y * z = 1 \implies x * z = 1$,
- (c) $x * y = 1 \implies (x + z) * (y + z) = 1$,
- (d) $x * z = y * z = 1 \implies (x + y) * z = 1$.

Proof. (a) By Theorem 3.4, X is a dual BCK-algebra. From (dBCK3) we obtain (a).

(b) Applying (dBCK2) and Lemma 2.4 (b) we have (b).

(c) To prove (c), let $x * y = 1$. From (dBCK2) we deduce that $(y * z) * (x * z) = 1$. Again using (dBCK2) we get $[(x * z) * z] * [(y * z) * z] = 1$, i.e. $(x + z) * (y + z) = 1$.

(d) To prove (d), let $x * y = y * z = 1$. From (c) we conclude that $(x + y) * (y + z) = 1$ and $(y + z) * (z + z) = 1$. By (b), $(x + y) * (z + z) = 1$, and hence $(x + y) * z = 1$. \square

Proposition 3.8. If $(X; *, 1)$ is a commutative BE-algebra, then $(X; +)$ is a semilattice.

Proof. Obviously $x + x = x$ and $x + y = y + x$ for all $x, y \in X$. We will now prove that + is associative. Let $x, y, z \in X$. From Lemma 3.7 (a) we have $x * (x + y) = 1$ and $(x + y) * [(x + y) + z] = 1$. Therefore

$$(1) \quad x * [(x + y) + z] = 1.$$

Since $y * (x + y) = 1$, Lemma 3.7 (c) shows that

$$(2) \quad (y + z) * [(x + y) + z] = 1.$$

By Lemma 3.7 (d), from (1) and (2) we obtain

$$(3) \quad [x + (y + z)] * [(x + y) + z] = 1.$$

Similarly,

$$(4) \quad [(x + y) + z] * [x + (y + z)] = 1.$$

From (3) and (4) it follows by (dBCK1) that $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. C. Abbott, *Semi-boolean algebras*, *Mate. Vesnik* **4** (1967), 177-198.
- [2] R. A. Borzooei and S. Khosravi Shoar, *Implication algebras are equivalent to the dual implicative BCK-algebras*, *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae* **63** (2006), 429-431.
- [3] W. Y. Chen and J. S. Oliveira, *Implication Algebras and the Metropolis-Rota Axioms for Cubic Lattices*, *J. Algebra* **171** (1995), 383-396.
- [4] W. H. Cornish, *A large variety of BCK-algebras*, *Math. Japonica* **26** (1981), 339-344.
- [5] K. Iséki, *An algebra related with a propositional calculus*, *Proc. Japan. Acad.* **42** (1966), 26-29.
- [6] K. Iséki, H. S. Kim, and J. Neggers, *On J-algebras*, *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae* **63** (2006), 413-419.
- [7] H. S. Kim and Y. H. Kim, *On BE-algebras*, *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae* **66** (2007), 113-128.
- [8] K. H. Kim and Y. H. Yon, *Dual BCK-algebra and MV-algebra*, *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae* **66** (2007), 247-253.