

A NOTE ON COOK'S INEQUALITY FOR SIMPLE CLOSED CURVES

K.T. HALLENBECK

Received May 18, 2008

ABSTRACT. The question of Howard Cook: “Do there exist, in the plane, two simple closed curves Y and X , such that X is in the bounded complementary domain of Y , and the span of X is greater than the span of Y ?” is answered, in the negative, for several types of simple closed curve pairs.

1 Several definitions. In his 1964 paper “Disjoint mappings and the span of spaces” [4], Andrew Lelek introduced the concept of span. Let X be a connected nonempty metric space. The **span** $\sigma(X)$ of X is the least upper bound of the set of nonnegative numbers r that satisfy the following condition: there exists a connected space Y and a pair of continuous functions $f, g : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $f(Y) = g(Y)$ and $\text{dist}[f(y), g(y)] \geq r$ for every $y \in Y$. In the definition of the **semispan** $\sigma_0(X)$ of X , the equality $f(Y) = g(Y)$ is relaxed to the inclusion $f(Y) \supset g(Y)$. As usual, $\epsilon(X)$ denotes the infimum of the set of meshes of the chains that cover X , for any continuum X .

Let X be a simple closed curve in the Cartesian plane. Let L_α denote the line passing through the origin, such that the angle between the positive x-axis and L_α , measured counterclockwise, is α , $\alpha \in [0, \pi)$. The **directional diameter** $d_\alpha(X)$ of X in the direction α is the length of the longest line segment (segments) with endpoints on X that is parallel to L_α [6]. The **breadth** $d_{\text{inf}}(X)$ of X is defined as $\inf\{d_\alpha(X) : \alpha \in [0, \pi)\}$, [7]. For X that is a boundary of a convex region, it is known that $\sigma(X) = d_{\text{inf}}(X)$, [6].

The definition of the essential span $\sigma_e(X)$ of X is obtained by restricting the functions in the definition of span to degree one maps exclusively, [1]. With that modification of the definition of the concept of span, the question of Cook has been answered in the negative, [1].

2 Theorems. Without changing the definition of span or extending the Cook's problem to topological objects other than simple closed curves, the following facts can be ascertained.

Theorem 1. *If R is a closed annulus in the plane, whose boundary consists of simple closed curves X and Y , where X is the boundary of the bounded component of the complement of R , then $\sigma(X) \leq \sigma(Y)$, provided R contains a curve that is a boundary of a convex region.*

Proof. Let X and Y be simple closed curves in the plane such that X is contained in the bounded component of the complement of Y , and let R be a closed annulus whose boundary consists of the union of X and Y . Let D be a convex region contained in the bounded component of the complement of Y and such that its boundary K is contained in R .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54F20.

Key words and phrases. span, breadth, simple closed curve, starlike curve.

By virtue of Lemma 1 in [6], $\sigma_o(X) \leq d_{\text{inf}}(X)$. Since $\sigma(X) \leq \sigma_o(X)$ and $d_{\text{inf}}(X) \leq d_{\text{inf}}(K)$, it follows that

$$(1) \quad \sigma(X) \leq d_{\text{inf}}(K).$$

Furthermore, since K is a boundary of a convex region, Theorem 3 in [6] implies that

$$(2) \quad d_{\text{inf}}(K) = \sigma(K).$$

□

On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 3 in [7] that

$$(3) \quad \sigma(K) \leq \sigma(Y).$$

Combining (1),(2) and (3), we obtain the desired inequality.

Remark. In particular, Theorem 1 holds when $X = K$ or $Y = K$, though in general neither needs to be the case.

Our next theorem utilizes the concept of a span mate, introduced in [3]. We say that a starlike polygonal line is standard if it satisfies the conditions listed in Theorem 2.2 in [3].

Theorem 2. *If R is a closed annulus in the plane, whose boundary consists of simple closed curves X and Y , where X is the boundary of the bounded component of the complement of R , then $\sigma(X) \leq \sigma(Y)$, provided R contains a standard starlike polygonal line.*

Proof. Let X and Y be simple closed curves in the plane such that X is contained in the bounded component of the complement of Y , and let R be a closed annulus whose boundary consists of the union of X and Y . Suppose S is a standard starlike polygonal line contained in R .

It is known that $\sigma(X) \leq \epsilon(X)$ (see [5] or [2]). Furthermore, by virtue of Theorem 2.2 in [3], $\epsilon(S) = \sigma(S)$. Since $\epsilon(X) \leq \epsilon(S)$, we have

$$(4) \quad \sigma(X) \leq \sigma(S).$$

The mappings used in the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [3] are of degree 1. Therefore, this proof implies that $\sigma(S) = \sigma_e(S)$. In addition, it follows from Theorem 3.2 in [1] that $\sigma_e(S) \leq \sigma_e(Y)$. Since $\sigma_e(Y) \leq \sigma(Y)$, we have

$$(5) \quad \sigma(S) \leq \sigma(Y).$$

Hence, by (4) and (5), $\sigma(X) \leq \sigma(Y)$.

□

Theorem 3. *If R is a closed annulus in the plane, whose boundary consists of simple closed curves X and Y , where X is the boundary of the bounded component of the complement of R , then $\sigma(X) \leq \sigma(Y)$, provided one of the following conditions holds*

- a) $\sigma(X) = \sigma_e(X)$
- b) $\sigma(Y) = d_{\text{inf}}(Y)$
- c) $\sigma(Y) = \epsilon(Y)$
- d) R contains a simple closed curve Z such that $\sigma(Z) = \sigma_e(Z) = \epsilon(Z)$.

Proof. Let X and Y be simple closed curves in the plane such that X is contained in the bounded component of the complement of Y , and let R be a closed annulus whose boundary consists of the union of X and Y . If, in addition, $\sigma(X) = \sigma_e(X)$ then $\sigma(X) \leq \sigma(Y)$ because $\sigma_e(X) \leq \sigma_e(Y)$ [1] and $\sigma_e(Y) \leq \sigma(Y)$. Condition b) implies that $\sigma(X) \leq \sigma(Y)$ because $\sigma(X) \leq d_{\text{inf}}(X)$ [6] and $d_{\text{inf}}(X) \leq d_{\text{inf}}(Y)$. Similarly, condition c) implies $\sigma(X) \leq \sigma(Y)$ because $\sigma(X) \leq \epsilon(X)$ [5], [2] and $\epsilon(X) \leq \epsilon(Y)$. Finally, if R contains a simple closed curve Z such that $\sigma(Z) = \sigma_e(Z) = \epsilon(Z)$ then $\sigma(X) \leq \sigma(Z)$, by the application of c), and $\sigma(Z) \leq \sigma(Y)$, by the application of a). Hence, the assertion. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Barge, R. Swanson, The essential span of a simple closed curve, Continua (eds.Cook et al.), Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics 170 (1995), Dekker, New York, 1983–1992.
- [2] K.T. Hallenbeck, Estimates of span of a simple closed curve involving mesh, Houston J.Math. 26 (2000), 741–745.
- [3] K.T. Hallenbeck, Span mates and mesh, Glasnik Matematicki, vol. 37 (57) (2002), 175–186.
- [4] A. Lelek, Disjoint mappings and the span of spaces, Fund. Math. 55 (1964), 199–214.
- [5] A. Lelek, On the surjective span of connected metric spaces, Colloq. Math. 37 (1977), 35–45.
- [6] K. Tkaczyńska (Hallenbeck), The span and semispan of some simple closed curves, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 111 (1991), 247–253.
- [7] K. Tkaczyńska, On the span of simple closed curves, Houston J. Math., vol.20, no. 3 (1994), 507–528.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS WIDENER UNIVERSITY CHESTER, PA 19013

E-mail: hall@maths.widener.edu