

## A NOTE ON COOK'S INEQUALITY FOR SIMPLE CLOSED CURVES

K.T. HALLENBECK

Received May 18, 2008

ABSTRACT. The question of Howard Cook: “Do there exist, in the plane, two simple closed curves  $Y$  and  $X$ , such that  $X$  is in the bounded complementary domain of  $Y$ , and the span of  $X$  is greater than the span of  $Y$ ?” is answered, in the negative, for several types of simple closed curve pairs.

**1 Several definitions.** In his 1964 paper “Disjoint mappings and the span of spaces” [4], Andrew Lelek introduced the concept of span. Let  $X$  be a connected nonempty metric space. The **span**  $\sigma(X)$  of  $X$  is the least upper bound of the set of nonnegative numbers  $r$  that satisfy the following condition: there exists a connected space  $Y$  and a pair of continuous functions  $f, g : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $f(Y) = g(Y)$  and  $\text{dist}[f(y), g(y)] \geq r$  for every  $y \in Y$ . In the definition of the **semispan**  $\sigma_0(X)$  of  $X$ , the equality  $f(Y) = g(Y)$  is relaxed to the inclusion  $f(Y) \supset g(Y)$ . As usual,  $\epsilon(X)$  denotes the infimum of the set of meshes of the chains that cover  $X$ , for any continuum  $X$ .

Let  $X$  be a simple closed curve in the Cartesian plane. Let  $L_\alpha$  denote the line passing through the origin, such that the angle between the positive x-axis and  $L_\alpha$ , measured counterclockwise, is  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in [0, \pi)$ . The **directional diameter**  $d_\alpha(X)$  of  $X$  in the direction  $\alpha$  is the length of the longest line segment (segments) with endpoints on  $X$  that is parallel to  $L_\alpha$  [6]. The **breadth**  $d_{\text{inf}}(X)$  of  $X$  is defined as  $\inf\{d_\alpha(X) : \alpha \in [0, \pi)\}$ , [7]. For  $X$  that is a boundary of a convex region, it is known that  $\sigma(X) = d_{\text{inf}}(X)$ , [6].

The definition of the essential span  $\sigma_e(X)$  of  $X$  is obtained by restricting the functions in the definition of span to degree one maps exclusively, [1]. With that modification of the definition of the concept of span, the question of Cook has been answered in the negative, [1].

**2 Theorems.** Without changing the definition of span or extending the Cook's problem to topological objects other than simple closed curves, the following facts can be ascertained.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $R$  is a closed annulus in the plane, whose boundary consists of simple closed curves  $X$  and  $Y$ , where  $X$  is the boundary of the bounded component of the complement of  $R$ , then  $\sigma(X) \leq \sigma(Y)$ , provided  $R$  contains a curve that is a boundary of a convex region.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be simple closed curves in the plane such that  $X$  is contained in the bounded component of the complement of  $Y$ , and let  $R$  be a closed annulus whose boundary consists of the union of  $X$  and  $Y$ . Let  $D$  be a convex region contained in the bounded component of the complement of  $Y$  and such that its boundary  $K$  is contained in  $R$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54F20.

*Key words and phrases.* span, breadth, simple closed curve, starlike curve.

By virtue of Lemma 1 in [6],  $\sigma_o(X) \leq d_{\text{inf}}(X)$ . Since  $\sigma(X) \leq \sigma_o(X)$  and  $d_{\text{inf}}(X) \leq d_{\text{inf}}(K)$ , it follows that

$$(1) \quad \sigma(X) \leq d_{\text{inf}}(K).$$

Furthermore, since  $K$  is a boundary of a convex region, Theorem 3 in [6] implies that

$$(2) \quad d_{\text{inf}}(K) = \sigma(K).$$

□

On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 3 in [7] that

$$(3) \quad \sigma(K) \leq \sigma(Y).$$

Combining (1),(2) and (3), we obtain the desired inequality.

**Remark.** In particular, Theorem 1 holds when  $X = K$  or  $Y = K$ , though in general neither needs to be the case.

Our next theorem utilizes the concept of a span mate, introduced in [3]. We say that a starlike polygonal line is standard if it satisfies the conditions listed in Theorem 2.2 in [3].

**Theorem 2.** *If  $R$  is a closed annulus in the plane, whose boundary consists of simple closed curves  $X$  and  $Y$ , where  $X$  is the boundary of the bounded component of the complement of  $R$ , then  $\sigma(X) \leq \sigma(Y)$ , provided  $R$  contains a standard starlike polygonal line.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be simple closed curves in the plane such that  $X$  is contained in the bounded component of the complement of  $Y$ , and let  $R$  be a closed annulus whose boundary consists of the union of  $X$  and  $Y$ . Suppose  $S$  is a standard starlike polygonal line contained in  $R$ .

It is known that  $\sigma(X) \leq \epsilon(X)$  (see [5] or [2]). Furthermore, by virtue of Theorem 2.2 in [3],  $\epsilon(S) = \sigma(S)$ . Since  $\epsilon(X) \leq \epsilon(S)$ , we have

$$(4) \quad \sigma(X) \leq \sigma(S).$$

The mappings used in the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [3] are of degree 1. Therefore, this proof implies that  $\sigma(S) = \sigma_e(S)$ . In addition, it follows from Theorem 3.2 in [1] that  $\sigma_e(S) \leq \sigma_e(Y)$ . Since  $\sigma_e(Y) \leq \sigma(Y)$ , we have

$$(5) \quad \sigma(S) \leq \sigma(Y).$$

Hence, by (4) and (5),  $\sigma(X) \leq \sigma(Y)$ .

□

**Theorem 3.** *If  $R$  is a closed annulus in the plane, whose boundary consists of simple closed curves  $X$  and  $Y$ , where  $X$  is the boundary of the bounded component of the complement of  $R$ , then  $\sigma(X) \leq \sigma(Y)$ , provided one of the following conditions holds*

a)  $\sigma(X) = \sigma_e(X)$

b)  $\sigma(Y) = d_{\text{inf}}(Y)$

c)  $\sigma(Y) = \epsilon(Y)$

d)  $R$  contains a simple closed curve  $Z$  such that  $\sigma(Z) = \sigma_e(Z) = \epsilon(Z)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be simple closed curves in the plane such that  $X$  is contained in the bounded component of the complement of  $Y$ , and let  $R$  be a closed annulus whose boundary consists of the union of  $X$  and  $Y$ . If, in addition,  $\sigma(X) = \sigma_e(X)$  then  $\sigma(X) \leq \sigma(Y)$  because  $\sigma_e(X) \leq \sigma_e(Y)$  [1] and  $\sigma_e(Y) \leq \sigma(Y)$ . Condition b) implies that  $\sigma(X) \leq \sigma(Y)$  because  $\sigma(X) \leq d_{\text{inf}}(X)$ [6] and  $d_{\text{inf}}(X) \leq d_{\text{inf}}(Y)$ . Similarly, condition c) implies  $\sigma(X) \leq \sigma(Y)$  because  $\sigma(X) \leq \epsilon(X)$  [5], [2] and  $\epsilon(X) \leq \epsilon(Y)$ . Finally, if  $R$  contains a simple closed curve  $Z$  such that  $\sigma(Z) = \sigma_e(Z) = \epsilon(Z)$  then  $\sigma(X) \leq \sigma(Z)$ , by the application of c), and  $\sigma(Z) \leq \sigma(Y)$ , by the application of a). Hence, the assertion.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Barge, R. Swanson, The essential span of a simple closed curve, Continua (eds.Cook et al.), Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics 170 (1995), Dekker, New York, 1983–1992.
- [2] K.T. Hallenbeck, Estimates of span of a simple closed curve involving mesh, Houston J.Math. 26 (2000), 741–745.
- [3] K.T. Hallenbeck, Span mates and mesh, Glasnik Matematicki, vol. 37 (57) (2002), 175–186.
- [4] A. Lelek, Disjoint mappings and the span of spaces, Fund. Math. 55 (1964), 199–214.
- [5] A. Lelek, On the surjective span of connected metric spaces, Colloq. Math. 37 (1977), 35–45.
- [6] K. Tkaczyńska (Hallenbeck), The span and semispan of some simple closed curves, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 111 (1991), 247–253.
- [7] K. Tkaczyńska, On the span of simple closed curves, Houston J. Math., vol.20, no. 3 (1994), 507–528.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS WIDENER UNIVERSITY CHESTER, PA 19013

E-mail: hall@maths.widener.edu