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#### Abstract

Let $\Phi$ be a subset of $L^{\infty}$ containing $H^{\infty}$ and $T_{\Phi}$ the family of Toeplitz operators $\left\{T_{\varphi}\right\}_{\varphi \in \Phi}$. In this paper, we study invariant subspaces of $T_{\Phi}$ and their properties. Moreover, we provide a concrete description of nontrivial invariant subspaces of $T_{\Phi}$ for some $\Phi$.


1 Introduction Let $\Gamma$ be the unit circle centered at the origin in the complex plane, and $H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{n}\right)$ be the Hardy space on $\Gamma^{n}$. In [5], the second author showed that $H^{2}(\Gamma)$ has a certain rigidity (see Theorem 2.1 stated below), and pointed out that $H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{2}\right)$ does not have this property. The purpose of this paper is to study this phenomenon with examples.

We introduce notions in this paper. Let $L^{2}\left(\Gamma^{n}\right)$ be the usual $L^{2}$ space with respect to the normalized Lebesgue measure on $\Gamma^{n}$. Let $P$ be the orthogonal projection from $L^{2}\left(\Gamma^{n}\right)$ onto $H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{n}\right)$. For $\varphi \in L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma^{n}\right)$, we define

$$
T_{\varphi} f=P(\varphi f) \quad\left(f \in H^{2}\right) .
$$

Then $T_{\varphi}$ is called the Toeplitz operator with symbol $\varphi$. For a subset $\Phi$ in $L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma^{n}\right), T_{\Phi}$ denotes the set of Toeplitz operators whose symbols are in $\Phi$, that is, we set

$$
T_{\Phi}=\left\{T_{\varphi}: \varphi \in \Phi\right\} .
$$

The collection of all closed subspaces of $H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{n}\right)$ invariant under every $T_{\varphi} \in T_{\Phi}$ is denoted by Lat $T_{\Phi}$. Throughout this paper, we assume that $H^{\infty} \subseteq \Phi \subseteq L^{\infty}$.

This paper consists of five sections. In Section 2, we consider one variable Hardy space and recall results in [5]. In Section 3, we introduce some classes of functions in order to study Lat $T_{\Phi}$. In Section 4, we study Lat $T_{\Phi}$ for some $\Phi$ 's. In Section 5, we show that Lat $T_{\Phi}$ is nontrivial for some $\Phi$, and present examples of invariant subspaces of $T_{z}$ and $T_{w}$.

2 A certain rigidity of $H^{2}(\Gamma)$ The following theorem was given in [5], which shows that $H^{2}(\Gamma)$ has a certain rigidity.

Theorem $2.1([5])$. If $\Phi=H^{\infty}(\Gamma) \cup\{\varphi\}$ for $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(\Gamma) \backslash H^{\infty}(\Gamma)$, then Lat $T_{\Phi}=$ $\left\{\langle 0\rangle, H^{2}(\Gamma)\right\}$.

The original proof is based on the theory of uniform algebras. We shall give another proof to this theorem.

Proof. In this proof, we will write $H^{2}=H^{2}(\Gamma), H^{\infty}=H^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ and so on. Suppose that $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat} T_{\Phi}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is nontrivial. Then, $\mathcal{M}$ is an invariant subspace of $H^{2}$. Hence, there exists a non-constant inner function $q$ such that $\mathcal{M}=q H^{2}$ by Beurling's theorem. We note that $T_{\varphi} \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$ is equivalent to that

$$
P_{H^{2}} \varphi q H^{2} \subset q H^{2} .
$$
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Hence, for any function $h \in H^{2}$, there exists a function $g_{h} \in H^{2}$ such that $P_{H^{2}}(\varphi q h)=q g_{h}$. Then we have that $P_{H^{2}}\left(\varphi q h-q g_{h}\right)=0$, and which is equivalent to that $\varphi q h-q g_{h} \in \overline{H_{0}^{2}}$, where $\overline{H_{0}^{2}}=L^{2} \ominus H^{2}$. Therefore we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi q h \in \mathcal{M} \oplus \overline{H_{0}^{2}} \quad\left(h \in H^{2}\right) \tag{2.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for $h=1$, there exist $g_{1} \in H^{2}$ and $k \in H_{0}^{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi q=q g_{1}+\bar{k} \tag{2.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $\mathcal{N}=H^{2} \ominus \mathcal{M}$. Multiplying both sides of (2.1.2) by $h \in H^{\infty}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi q h & =\left\{P_{\mathcal{M}}+P_{\mathcal{N}}+\left(I_{L^{2}}-P_{H^{2}}\right)\right\}\left(q g_{1} h+\bar{k} h\right) \\
& =\left(q g_{1} h+P_{\mathcal{M}} \bar{k} h\right) \oplus P_{\mathcal{N}} \bar{k} h \oplus\left(I_{L^{2}}-P_{H^{2}}\right) \bar{k} h
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by (2.1.1), we note that

$$
P_{\mathcal{N}} \bar{k} h=P_{\mathcal{N}} \varphi q h=0
$$

Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the open unit disc in the complex plane. Now, setting

$$
k=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_{j} z^{j}, \quad k_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} z^{j} \quad \text { and } \quad s_{\lambda}=\frac{1}{1-\bar{\lambda} z} \quad(\lambda \in \mathbb{D}),
$$

we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|P_{\mathcal{N}} \overline{k_{n}} s_{\lambda}\right\| & =\left\|P_{\mathcal{N}} \overline{k_{n}} s_{\lambda}-P_{\mathcal{N}} \bar{k} s_{\lambda}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\overline{k_{n}} s_{\lambda}-\bar{k} s_{\lambda}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|s_{\lambda}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|k_{n}-k\right\| \\
& \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{\mathcal{N}} \overline{k_{n}} s_{\lambda} & =P_{\mathcal{N}} T_{k_{n}}^{*} s_{\lambda} \\
& =P_{\mathcal{N}} \overline{k_{n}(\lambda)} s_{\lambda} \\
& \rightarrow P_{\mathcal{N}} \overline{k(\lambda)} s_{\lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $P_{\mathcal{N}} \overline{k(\lambda)} s_{\lambda}=0$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. If $k(\lambda) \neq 0$ for some $\lambda$, then $P_{\mathcal{N}} s_{\lambda}=0$. However,

$$
P_{\mathcal{N}} s_{\lambda}=\frac{1-\overline{q(\lambda)} q}{1-\bar{\lambda} z} \neq 0
$$

Hence $k(\lambda)=0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. Then we see that $\varphi q=q g_{1}$ in (2.1.2), and which implies $\varphi=g_{1} \in H^{2}$. This contradicts that $\varphi \in L^{\infty} \backslash H^{\infty}$.

From Theorem 2.1, in $H^{2}(\Gamma)$, Lat $T_{\Phi}$ has only trivial invariant subspaces if $\Phi$ contains $H^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ properly. On the other hand, in the case of $H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{2}\right)$, Lat $T_{\Phi}$ may not be $\left\{\langle 0\rangle, H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{2}\right)\right\}$ even if $\Phi$ properly contains $H^{\infty}\left(\Gamma^{2}\right)$. The following is an example.
Example 2.2. We set $\mathcal{M}=z H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{2}\right)+w H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{2}\right)$. Then $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat} T_{\Phi}$ for $\Phi=H^{\infty}\left(\Gamma^{2}\right) \cup\{\bar{z} w\}$.
We will see more examples in Section 5.
$3 \mathcal{M}_{\Phi}, \mathcal{M}^{\Phi}$ and $K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\Phi}$ We focus on the structure of $H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{2}\right)$, so that we will write $L^{2}=$ $L^{2}\left(\Gamma^{2}\right), H^{2}=H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{2}\right)$ and so on, if no confusion occurs. In this section, some classes of functions which play important roles in this paper are introduced.
Definition 3.1. Let $\varphi$ be a function in $L^{\infty}$. For $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat} T_{\varphi}$, we put

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\varphi}=\{f \in \mathcal{M}: \varphi f \in \mathcal{M}\} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{M}^{\varphi}=\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{M}_{\varphi}
$$

Moreover, let $\Phi$ be a subset of $L^{\infty}$. For $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat} T_{\Phi}$, we put

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}=\bigcap_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathcal{M}_{\varphi} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{M}^{\Phi}=\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{M}_{\Phi}
$$

Example 3.1. $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{z}}=z \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\bar{z}}=\mathcal{M} \ominus z \mathcal{M}$. Further, if $\Phi=H^{\infty} \cup\{\bar{z}, \bar{w}\}$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}=z w \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi}=\mathcal{M} \ominus z w \mathcal{M}$.

We are mainly interested in the case where $\Phi$ is a subset of $L^{\infty}$ which contains $H^{\infty}$ properly. We shall give some general facts on $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi}$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\Phi$ be a subset of $L^{\infty}$ which contains $H^{\infty}$ properly. Then $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}$ is an invariant subspace in $H^{2}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\mathcal{M}_{\varphi}$ is an invariant subspace for any $\varphi \in \Phi$. If $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi}$ then $\varphi f \in \mathcal{M}$. It follows from this that $z \varphi f \in \mathcal{M}$, that is, $z f \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi}$. Hence $\mathcal{M}_{\varphi}$ is invariant under multiplication by $z$. Moreover, if $f_{n} \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi}$ and $f_{n} \rightarrow f(n \rightarrow \infty)$, then $f \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\varphi f_{n} \rightarrow \varphi f(n \rightarrow \infty)$ in $\mathcal{M}$. Hence we have that $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi}$, that is, $\mathcal{M}_{\varphi}$ is closed. These conclude that $\mathcal{M}$ is an invariant subspace in $H^{2}$.

In order to give the next theorem on $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi}$, we need a lemma.
Lemma 3.3. Let $\Phi$ be a subset of $L^{\infty}$ which contains $H^{\infty}$ properly. Suppose that $\mathcal{M} \in$ Lat $T_{\Phi}$. For any $f \in H^{\infty}$, we define $Q_{f}=\left.P_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi}} T_{f}\right|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi}}$. Then

$$
Q_{f g}=Q_{f} Q_{g} \quad\left(f \text { and } g \in H^{\infty}\right)
$$

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.2 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{f g}-Q_{f} Q_{g} & =P_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi}} T_{f g} P_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi}}-P_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi}} T_{f} P_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi}} T_{g} P_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi}} \\
& =P_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi}} T_{f}\left(P_{\mathcal{M}}-P_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi}}\right) T_{g} P_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi}} \\
& =P_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi}} T_{f} P_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}} T_{g} P_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi}} \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $\Phi$ be a subset of $L^{\infty}$ which contains $H^{\infty}$ properly. If $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat} T_{\Phi}$ then $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}^{\Phi}=\infty$.
Proof. Suppose $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}^{\Phi}=n<\infty$. Then, by Lemma 3.3, there exists a finite Blaschke product $b_{1}(z)$ such that $Q_{b_{1}(z)}=0$. Hence we have $b_{1}(z) \mathcal{M}^{\Phi} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\Phi}$. Further, it follows from Proposition 3.2 that $b_{1}(z) \mathcal{M}_{\Phi} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\Phi}$, that is,

$$
b_{1}(z) \varphi \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M} \quad(\varphi \in \Phi)
$$

Similarly, there exists a finite Blaschke product $b_{2}(w)$ such that

$$
b_{2}(w) \varphi \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M} \quad(\varphi \in \Phi)
$$
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Hence $b_{1}(z) \varphi$ and $b_{2}(w) \varphi$ belong to $H^{2}$ for all $\varphi \in \Phi$. Therefore we have

$$
\varphi \in \overline{b_{1}(z)} H^{2} \cap \overline{b_{2}(w)} H^{2} \subset H^{2} .
$$

However, this is a contradiction.
Next, we introduce a kind of complement of $\mathcal{M}$ in our problem.
Definition 3.2. For $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat} T_{\Phi}$ and $\varphi \in \Phi$, put

$$
K=\left\{\bar{f}: f \in L^{2} \ominus H^{2}\right\}
$$

and

$$
K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\varphi}=\{k \in K: \bar{k}=\varphi f-g \text { for some } f \text { and } g \in \mathcal{M}\},
$$

where $\bar{f}$ denotes the complex conjugate of $f$. Moreover, we set

$$
K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\Phi}=\bigcup_{\varphi \in \Phi} K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\varphi}
$$

If $\varphi \in H^{\infty}$ and $k \in K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\varphi}$, then there exist $f$ and $g \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\bar{k}=\varphi f-g$. However, it follows from $\bar{K} \cap \mathcal{M}=\langle 0\rangle$ that $k=0$, that is, $K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\varphi}=\langle 0\rangle$ for $\varphi \in H^{\infty}$, so that we may define

$$
K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\Phi}=\bigcup_{\varphi \in \Phi \backslash H^{\infty}} K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\varphi}
$$

Remark 3.5. In $H^{2}(\Gamma)$,

$$
K=\left\{\bar{f}: f \in L^{2}(\Gamma) \ominus H^{2}(\Gamma)\right\}=H_{0}^{2}(\Gamma)
$$

and we have already dealt with $K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\varphi}$ in the proof of Theorem 2.1 (see (2.1.1)), implicitly.
Next, we study the properties of $K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\Phi}$ used in the rest of this paper.
Lemma 3.6. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a closed subspace in $H^{2}$, and $\Phi$ be a subset of $L^{\infty}$ which contains $H^{\infty}$.
(1) $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat} T_{\Phi}$ if and only if $\varphi \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}+\overline{K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\varphi}}$ for all $\varphi \in \Phi$.
(2) If $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat} T_{\Phi}$, then $\left(I_{L^{2}}-P_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \varphi \mathcal{M}^{\varphi}=\overline{K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\varphi}}$ for all $\varphi \in \Phi$.

Proof. (1) First we show the 'if' part. For any $\varphi \in \Phi$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}$, there exist $g \in \mathcal{M}$ and $k \in K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\varphi}$ such that $\varphi f=g+\bar{k}$. From this equality, we have $T_{\varphi} f=g \in \mathcal{M}$. Hence we see that $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat} T_{\Phi}$. Next, we show the 'only if' part. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ is in Lat $T_{\Phi}$. For any $\varphi \in \Phi$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}$, there exist $g \in \mathcal{M}, h \in H^{2} \ominus \mathcal{M}$ and $k \in K$ such that

$$
\varphi f=g+h+\bar{k} .
$$

From this equality, we have $P(\varphi f)=g+h$. Since $P(\varphi f)$ and $g$ are in $\mathcal{M}, h$ must be 0 . Therefore we see that $\varphi f=g+\bar{k}$ and that $k \in K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\varphi}$ by the definition of $K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\varphi}$.
(2) Since $\mathcal{M}$ contains $\mathcal{M}^{\varphi}$, for any $f \in \mathcal{M}^{\varphi}$ there exist $g \in \mathcal{M}$ and $k \in K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\varphi}$ such that $\varphi f=g+\bar{k}$ by (1). Then we see

$$
\left(I_{L^{2}}-P_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \varphi f=\left(I_{L^{2}}-P_{\mathcal{M}}\right)(g+\bar{k})=\bar{k} .
$$

Therefore we have $\left(I_{L^{2}}-P_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \varphi \mathcal{M}^{\varphi} \subset \overline{K_{\mathcal{M}}}$. On the other hand, for any $k \in K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\varphi}$ there exist $f$ and $g \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\varphi f=g+\bar{k}$ by the definition of $K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\varphi}$. In particular, we can write $f=f_{1}+f_{2}$, where $f_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi}$ and $f_{2} \in \mathcal{M}^{\varphi}$. Since $\varphi f_{1} \in \mathcal{M}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{k} & =\left(I_{L^{2}}-P_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \bar{k} \\
& =\left(I_{L^{2}}-P_{\mathcal{M}}\right)(\varphi f-g) \\
& =\left(I_{L^{2}}-P_{\mathcal{M}}\right)\left(\varphi f_{1}+\varphi f_{2}-g\right) \\
& =\left(I_{L^{2}}-P_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \varphi f_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and which implies $\overline{K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\varphi}} \subset\left(I_{L^{2}}-P_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \varphi \mathcal{M}^{\varphi}$. Hence we have

$$
\left(I_{L^{2}}-P_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \varphi \mathcal{M}^{\varphi}=\overline{K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\varphi}}
$$

Thus we obtain (2).
4 Properties of Lat $T_{\Phi}$ In this section, we study properties of Lat $T_{\Phi}$ for some $\Phi$ as the union of $H^{\infty}$ and some set. First we set $\Phi$ the union of $H^{\infty}$ and the complex conjugate of functions in $H^{\infty}$.

Proposition 4.1. If $\Phi=H^{\infty} \cup \overline{H^{\infty}}$, then $\operatorname{Lat} T_{\Phi}=\operatorname{Lat} T_{L^{\infty}}$.
Proof. It is obvious that Lat $T_{L^{\infty}} \subset$ Lat $T_{\Phi}$. To prove the converse inclusion, suppose that $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat} T_{\Phi}$. Then, since $T_{h_{1} \overline{h_{2}}}=T_{\overline{h_{2}}} T_{h_{1}}$ for any $h_{1}, h_{2} \in H^{\infty}$, we see that $T_{h_{1} \overline{h_{2}}} \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$. We note that $L^{\infty}$ is the algebra generated by $H^{\infty}$ and $\overline{H^{\infty}}$ in the $w^{*}$-topology. So for any $\varphi \in L^{\infty}$ we can choose a net $\left\{\varphi_{\alpha}\right\} \subset L^{\infty}$ converging in $w^{*}$-topology to $\varphi$, where each $\varphi_{\alpha}$ is a linear combination of products of functions in $H^{\infty}$ and $\overline{H^{\infty}}$ and satisfies $T_{\varphi_{\alpha}} \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$. For any $f$ and $g \in H^{2}$ we have

$$
\lim _{\alpha \in A}\left\langle T_{\varphi_{\alpha}} f, g\right\rangle=\lim _{\alpha \in A} \int_{\Gamma^{2}} \varphi_{\alpha} f \bar{g} d \mu=\int_{\Gamma^{2}} \varphi f \bar{g} d \mu=\left\langle T_{\varphi} f, g\right\rangle .
$$

In particular, for any $f \in \mathcal{M}$ and $g \in H^{2} \ominus \mathcal{M}$ we see that

$$
\left\langle T_{\varphi} f, g\right\rangle=\lim _{\alpha \in A}\left\langle T_{\varphi_{\alpha}} f, g\right\rangle=0
$$

Hence $T_{\varphi} f$ is in $\mathcal{M}$. Therefore we have $T_{\varphi} \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and so we conclude that Lat $T_{\Phi} \subset$ Lat $T_{L^{\infty}}$.

Proposition 4.2. Suppose that $F$ is a non-constant function in $H^{\infty} \cap q \overline{H^{\infty}}$ for some inner function $q$. Let $\Phi=H^{\infty} \cup\{\bar{F}\}$. If $\mathcal{M}$ is in Lat $T_{\Phi}$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}=\mathcal{M}_{\bar{F}} \supseteq q \mathcal{M}$.
Proof. If $F \in H^{\infty} \cap q \overline{H^{\infty}}$ then there exists $f \in H^{\infty}$ such that $F=q \bar{f}$. Hence $\bar{F} q \mathcal{M}=$ $f \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$, and trivially, $q \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$. Therefore we have that $q \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\bar{F}}$.

Next, we consider examples when $\Phi$ consists of all functions in $H^{\infty}$ and the complex conjugate of an inner function.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\Phi=H^{\infty} \cup\{\bar{q}\}$ for some non-constant inner function $q$. Suppose that $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat} T_{\Phi}$. Then the following statements hold.
(1) $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}=q \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi}=\mathcal{M} \ominus q \mathcal{M}$.
(2) $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi} \subset\left(H^{2}\right)_{\Phi}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi} \subset\left(H^{2}\right)^{\Phi}$.
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(3) $\overline{K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\Phi}}=\bar{q}(\mathcal{M} \ominus q \mathcal{M})$.

Proof. (1) It is sufficient to prove $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q}}=q \mathcal{M}$ since $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}=\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q}}$. If $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{q}}$, then $\bar{q} f \in \mathcal{M}$ from the definition of $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q}}$. The assumption that $q$ is an inner function implies that $f \in q \mathcal{M}$, and hence we see that $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q}} \subset q \mathcal{M}$. Conversely, if $f \in q \mathcal{M}$, then $f \in \mathcal{M}$ since $q \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$. Moreover, that $q$ is inner implies that $\bar{q} f \in \mathcal{M}$. Therefore we see that $q \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\bar{q}}$, which implies that the first statement. The second statement follows from the first statement.
(2) The first statement follows from the definition of $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}$ and $\left(H^{2}\right)_{\Phi}$. To show the second statement, suppose that $f \in \mathcal{M}^{\Phi}$. By (1) we have $f \in \mathcal{M}$ and $f \perp q \mathcal{M}$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{M}$ is invariant under $T_{\bar{q}}$, we see that $T_{q}\left(H^{2} \ominus \mathcal{M}\right) \subset H^{2} \ominus \mathcal{M}$, that is, $q\left(H^{2} \ominus \mathcal{M}\right) \subset H^{2} \ominus \mathcal{M}$. This implies that $\mathcal{M} \perp q\left(H^{2} \ominus \mathcal{M}\right)$. For any $g \in H^{2}$, there exist $g_{1} \in \mathcal{M}$ and $g_{2} \in H^{2} \ominus \mathcal{M}$ such that $g=g_{1}+g_{2}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle f, q g\rangle & =\left\langle f, q g_{1}+q g_{2}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle f, q g_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle f, q g_{2}\right\rangle \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $f \perp q \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M} \perp q\left(H^{2} \ominus \mathcal{M}\right)$. Therefore we see that $f \perp q H^{2}$, that is, $f \in\left(H^{2}\right)^{\Phi}$. Hence the second statement holds.
(3) By (2) of Lemma 3.6, it is obvious that

$$
\bar{q}(\mathcal{M} \ominus q \mathcal{M}) \supset\left(I_{L^{2}}-P_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \bar{q}(\mathcal{M} \ominus q \mathcal{M})=\overline{K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\bar{q}}}
$$

Next, we will show the converse inclusion. For any $f \in \mathcal{M} \ominus q \mathcal{M}$, there exist $g \in \mathcal{M}$ and $k \in K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\bar{q}}$ such that $\bar{q} f=g+\bar{k}$ by (1) of Lemma 3.6. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|g\|^{2} & =\langle g, g\rangle \\
& =\langle\bar{q} f-\bar{k}, g\rangle \\
& =\langle\bar{q} f, g\rangle-\langle\bar{k}, g\rangle \\
& =\langle f, q g\rangle-\langle\bar{k}, g\rangle \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $f \perp q \mathcal{M}$ and $g \perp \overline{K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\bar{q}}}$. So we see that $g=0$, which implies that $\bar{q} f=\bar{k} \in \overline{K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\bar{q}}}$. Therefore we have $\bar{q}(\mathcal{M} \ominus q \mathcal{M}) \subset \overline{K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\bar{q}}}$. Hence we obtain

$$
\bar{q}(\mathcal{M} \ominus q \mathcal{M})=\left(I_{L^{2}}-P_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \bar{q}(\mathcal{M} \ominus q \mathcal{M})=\overline{K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\bar{q}}}
$$

Since $\overline{K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\Phi}}=\overline{K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\bar{q}}}$, the statement holds.
More generally, we are able to consider the case when $\Phi$ is the union of $H^{\infty}$ and a set of the complex conjugate of inner functions. In Corollary 4.4, we denote by $\Lambda$ a subset of $\mathbb{R}$.

Corollary 4.4. Let $\Phi=H^{\infty} \cup\left\{\overline{q_{\alpha}}: q_{\alpha}\right.$ is inner, $\left.\alpha \in \Lambda\right\}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat} T_{\Phi}$. Then the following statements hold.
(1) $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}=\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} q_{\alpha} \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi}=\mathcal{M} \ominus \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} q_{\alpha} \mathcal{M}$.
(2) $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi} \subset\left(H^{2}\right)_{\Phi}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi} \subset\left(H^{2}\right)^{\Phi}$.
(3) $\overline{K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\Phi}}=\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \overline{q_{\alpha}}\left(\mathcal{M} \ominus q_{\alpha} \mathcal{M}\right)$.

Proof. (1) These statements follow from (1) of Theorem 4.3 and the definitions of $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi}$.
(2) It is clear that $q_{\alpha} \mathcal{M} \subset q_{\alpha} H^{2}$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Hence we have

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}=\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} q_{\alpha} \mathcal{M} \subset \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} q_{\alpha} H^{2}=\left(H^{2}\right)_{\Phi}
$$

Moreover by (2) of Theorem 4.3, we see that if $f$ is in $\mathcal{M} \ominus q_{\alpha} \mathcal{M}$, then $f \perp q_{\alpha} H^{2}$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Therefore the second statement holds.
(3) The statement follows from (3) of Theorem 4.3 and the definition of $K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\Phi}$.

We will use Proposition 4.5 to determine Lat $T_{\Phi}$ in some concrete case.
Proposition 4.5. Let $q$ be a non-constant inner function and $\psi=\frac{q-a}{1-\bar{a} q}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|a|<1$. If $\Phi=H^{\infty} \cup\{\bar{q}\}$ and $\Psi=H^{\infty} \cup\{\bar{\psi}\}$, then $\operatorname{Lat} T_{\Phi}=\operatorname{Lat} T_{\Psi}$.
Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat} T_{\Phi}$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is invariant under $T_{\bar{q}}$, we see that $T_{q} \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{N}$ where $\mathcal{N}=H^{2} \ominus \mathcal{M}$. In particular, we have

$$
q \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{N}
$$

Note that $\mathcal{N}$ is a closed subspace in $H^{2}$. We obtain

$$
(q-a) \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{N} \quad \text { and } \quad(1-\bar{a} q)^{-1} \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{N}
$$

for $|a|<1$. Thus $T_{\psi} \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{N}$ and so $T_{\bar{\psi}} \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$. This shows that Lat $T_{\Phi} \subset$ Lat $T_{\Psi}$. Since $q=\frac{\psi+a}{1+\bar{a} \psi}$, we can prove the converse inclusion similarly.
5 Examples In this section, we will describe Lat $T_{\Phi}$ for some concrete $\Phi$. To begin with, in Corollary 5.3, we will show the case that Lat $T_{\Phi}$ is trivial. To show this, we consider when $\Phi$ is the union of $H^{\infty}$ and $\{\bar{q}\}$ for a one variable inner function $q=q(z)$.
Theorem 5.1. Let $\Phi=H^{\infty} \cup\{\overline{q(z)}\}$ for a one variable non-constant inner function $q=$ $q(z)$. If $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat} T_{\Phi}$, then there exists some one variable inner function $Q=Q(w)$ such that $\mathcal{M}=Q(w) H^{2}$.

Proof. Since $q=q(z)$ is a one variable non-constant inner function, there exist some $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $q(b)=a$ and $|a|<1,|b|<1$. Put $\psi=\frac{q-a}{1-\bar{a} q}$. Since $\psi(b)=0$, we write $\psi=q_{0} q_{1}$ where $q_{0}=\frac{z-b}{1-\bar{b} z}$ and $q_{1}(z)$ is inner. If we put $\Psi=H^{\infty} \cup\{\bar{\psi}\}$, then Lat $T_{\Phi}=\operatorname{Lat} T_{\Psi}$ by Proposition 4.5. This implies that $\mathcal{M}$ is invariant under $T_{\bar{\psi}}=T_{\overline{q_{0} q_{1}}}$. So we have that

$$
T_{\overline{q_{0}}} \mathcal{M}=T_{\overline{q_{0} q_{1}}} q_{1} \mathcal{M} \subset T_{\overline{q_{0} q_{1}}} \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}
$$

Therefore we obtain $T_{\overline{q_{0}}} \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$. So if we put $\Omega=H^{\infty} \cup\left\{\overline{q_{0}}\right\}$, then $\operatorname{Lat} T_{\Psi} \subset \operatorname{Lat} T_{\Omega}$. Moreover, by Proposition 4.5, we obtain Lat $T_{\Omega}=\operatorname{Lat} T_{\Omega^{\prime}}$, where $\Omega^{\prime}=H^{\infty} \cup\{\bar{z}\}$. Hence we have $T_{\bar{z}} \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$. By (2) of Theorem 4.3, we see that

$$
\mathcal{M} \ominus z \mathcal{M} \subset H^{2} \ominus z H^{2}=H^{2}\left(\Gamma_{w}\right)
$$

and so $w(\mathcal{M} \ominus z \mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{M} \ominus z \mathcal{M} \subset H^{2}\left(\Gamma_{w}\right)$. The Beurling theorem implies that $\mathcal{M} \ominus z \mathcal{M}=$ $Q H^{2}\left(\Gamma_{w}\right)$, where $Q=Q(w)$. Thus we have $\mathcal{M}=Q(w) H^{2}$.

Remark 5.2. Let $\Phi=H^{\infty} \cup\{\overline{q(w)}\}$ for a one variable non-constant inner function $q=q(w)$. Making the same argument for Theorem 5.1, we can show that if $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat} T_{\Phi}$, then there exists some one variable inner function $Q=Q(z)$ such that $\mathcal{M}=Q(z) H^{2}$.
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Corollary 5.3. If $\Phi=H^{\infty} \cup\left\{\overline{q_{1}(z) q_{2}(w)}\right\}$ for one variable non-constant inner functions $q_{1}=q_{1}(z)$ and $q_{2}=q_{2}(w)$, then Lat $T_{\Phi}=\left\{\langle 0\rangle, H^{2}\right\}$.
Proof. If $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat} T_{\Phi}$, then we have that

$$
T_{\overline{q_{1}}} \mathcal{M}=T_{\overline{\bar{q}_{1} q_{2}}}\left(q_{2} \mathcal{M}\right) \subset T_{\overline{q_{1} q_{2}}} \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}
$$

Hence by Theorem 5.1, there exists some one variable inner function $Q_{2}=Q_{2}(w)$ such that $\mathcal{M}=Q_{2}(w) H^{2}$. Similarly we have $T_{\bar{q}_{2}} \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and so $\mathcal{M}=Q_{1}(z) H^{2}$ for some one variable inner function $Q_{1}=Q_{1}(z)$. This happens only when $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ are constant. Therefore we obtain the corollary.

Next, we will show the case that Lat $T_{\Phi}$ is nontrivial. Now we study the case of $\Phi=$ $H^{\infty} \cup\left\{\overline{q_{1}} q_{2}, q_{1} \overline{q_{2}}\right\}$ for some non-constant inner functions $q_{1}=q_{1}(z)$ and $q_{2}=q_{2}(w)$. We note that if $\mathcal{M}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} q_{1}^{n-k} q_{2}^{k} H^{2}$, then it is clear that $\mathcal{M}$ is in Lat $T_{\Phi}$. Theorem 5.4 shows properties of Lat $T_{\Phi}$.
Theorem 5.4. Let $\Phi=H^{\infty} \cup\left\{\overline{q_{1}} q_{2}, q_{1} \overline{q_{2}}\right\}$ for some non-constant one variable inner functions $q_{1}=q_{1}(z)$ and $q_{2}=q_{2}(w)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat} T_{\Phi}$. Then the following statements hold.
(1) $q_{1} \mathcal{M} \subset q_{2} \mathcal{M}+H^{2} \ominus q_{2} H^{2} \quad$ and $\quad q_{2} \mathcal{M} \subset q_{1} \mathcal{M}+H^{2} \ominus q_{1} H^{2}$.
(2) If there exists some natural number $n$ such that $q_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{M}$ and $q_{1}^{n-1} \notin \mathcal{M}$, then we have $q_{1}^{l} q_{2}^{m} \notin \mathcal{M}$ for $l \geq 0, m \geq 0$ and $l+m<n$.
(3) If there exists some natural number $n$ such that $q_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{M}$, then we have $\mathcal{M} \supset$ $\sum_{k=0}^{n} q_{1}^{n-k} q_{2}^{k} H^{2}$.

Proof. (1) By (1) of Lemma 3.6,

$$
q_{1} \overline{q_{2}} \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}+\overline{K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\Phi}}
$$

Then we have

$$
q_{1} \mathcal{M} \subset q_{2} \mathcal{M}+q_{2} \overline{K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\Phi}} \subset q_{2} \mathcal{M}+q_{2} \bar{K}
$$

since $\overline{K_{\mathcal{M}}^{\Phi}}$ is a subset of $K$. Hence $q_{1} \mathcal{M} \subset q_{2} \mathcal{M}+q_{2} \bar{K} \cap H^{2}$. Moreover from the definition of $\bar{K}$, it is clear that $q_{2} \bar{K} \cap H^{2} \subset H^{2} \ominus q_{2} H^{2}$. Therefore we obtain

$$
q_{1} \mathcal{M} \subset q_{2} \mathcal{M}+H^{2} \ominus q_{2} H^{2}
$$

The same argument shows that $q_{2} \mathcal{M} \subset q_{1} \mathcal{M}+H^{2} \ominus q_{1} H^{2}$.
(2) If $q_{1}^{l} q_{2}^{m}$ were in $\mathcal{M}$, then we would have

$$
T_{q_{1}}^{n-1-m-l} T_{q_{1} \overline{q_{2}}}^{m}\left(q_{1}^{l} q_{2}^{m}\right)=T_{q_{1}}^{n-1-m-l}\left(q_{1}^{m+l}\right)=q_{1}^{n-1} \in \mathcal{M}
$$

This contradicts that $q_{1}^{n-1} \notin \mathcal{M}$. Hence we conclude that $q_{1}^{l} q_{2}^{m} \notin \mathcal{M}$ for $l \geq 0, m \geq 0$ and $l+m<n$.
(3) Since $q_{1}^{n}$ is in $\mathcal{M}$, we have $T_{\bar{q}_{1} q_{2}}^{j}\left(q_{1}^{n}\right)=q_{1}^{n-j} q_{2}^{j} \in \mathcal{M}$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{+}$be the set of analytic trigonometric polynomials. Then we see that $\sum_{j=0}^{n} q_{1}^{n-j} q_{2}^{j} \mathcal{P}_{+} \subset \mathcal{M}$. Since $H^{2}$ is the closure in the $L^{2}$-norm of $\mathcal{P}_{+}$and the multiplication by an inner function is continuous, we have

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n} q_{1}^{n-j} q_{2}^{j} H^{2} \subset \mathcal{M}
$$

In [3], the first author studied Lat $T_{\Psi}$ for $\Psi=\left\{z^{n} \bar{w}, \bar{z}^{n} w\right\}$ for a fixed natural number $n$. In this context, we consider the case when $\Phi=H^{\infty} \cup\{\bar{z} w, z \bar{w}\}$. In Theorem 5.5, we describe Lat $T_{\Phi}$ completely and show that $\operatorname{Lat} T_{\Phi}$ is nontrivial. Moreover we provide a concrete example of invariant subspaces of $T_{z}$ and $T_{w}$. We recall that $H^{2}\left(\Gamma_{z}\right)$ or $H^{2}\left(\Gamma_{w}\right)$ denotes a one variable Hardy space on the unit circle $\Gamma=\Gamma_{z}$ or $\Gamma_{w}$ respectively.

Theorem 5.5. Let $\Phi=H^{\infty} \cup\{\bar{z} w, z \bar{w}\}$. Then the following statements hold.
(1) If $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat} T_{\Phi}$, then

$$
z \mathcal{M} \subset w \mathcal{M}+H^{2}\left(\Gamma_{z}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad w \mathcal{M} \subset z \mathcal{M}+H^{2}\left(\Gamma_{w}\right)
$$

(2) A closed subspace $\mathcal{M}$ is in Lat $T_{\Phi}$ if and only if there exists the smallest natural number $N$ such that $z^{N}$ and $w^{N}$ belong to $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}=\sum_{j=0}^{N} z^{N-j} w^{j} H^{2}$.

Proof. (1) We note that equalities

$$
H^{2} \ominus z H^{2}=H^{2}\left(\Gamma_{w}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad H^{2} \ominus w H^{2}=H^{2}\left(\Gamma_{z}\right)
$$

hold. Applying (1) of Theorem 5.4, we obtain the conclusion.
(2) The 'if' part is not hard to prove. Now we show the 'only if' part. Assume that $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat} T_{\Phi}$. It is clear that there exists the smallest natural number $N$ satisfying the following condition; there exists $f \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\frac{\partial^{N}}{\partial z^{N}} f(0,0) \neq 0$ but $\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial z^{k}} g(0,0)=0$ for all $g \in \mathcal{M}$ if $k<N$. In order to show that $z^{N} \in \mathcal{M}$, we consider the extremal problem

$$
\sup \left\{\operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial^{N}}{\partial z^{N}} f(0,0) ; f \in \mathcal{M},\|f\| \leq 1\right\}
$$

Note that the mapping $f \mapsto \frac{\partial^{N}}{\partial z^{N}} f(0,0)$ is a bounded linear functional on $H^{2}$. By the Riesz representation theorem, this extremal problem has a unique solution $G \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\|G\|=1$ and $\frac{\partial^{N}}{\partial z^{N}} G(0,0)>0$. We will see that $G=z^{N}$. Put

$$
g_{f}=\frac{G+T_{z \bar{w}}^{N+1} f}{\left\|G+T_{z \bar{w}}^{N+1} f\right\|}
$$

for each $f \in \mathcal{M}$. Since $\operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial^{N}}{\partial z^{N}} g_{f}(0,0) \leq \frac{\partial^{N}}{\partial z^{N}} G(0,0)$, it is easy to see that $\left\|G+T_{z \bar{w}}^{N+1} f\right\| \geq 1$ for any $f \in \mathcal{M}$. From this inequality, we obtain $G \perp T_{z \bar{w}}^{N+1} f$. Hence we have $T_{\bar{z} w}^{N+1} G=0$. Similarly we have $T_{z \bar{w}} G=0$. From these equalities, we obtain $G=z^{N}$. It is obvious that $w^{N}=T_{\bar{z} w}^{N} z^{N}$ is in $\mathcal{M}$.

By (3) of Theorem 5.4, we obtain $\mathcal{M} \supset \sum_{j=0}^{N} z^{N-j} w^{j} H^{2}$. Moreover, by (2) of Theorem 5.4, we see that $z^{k_{1}} w^{k_{2}} \notin \mathcal{M}$ for $0 \leq k_{1}+k_{2}<N$, which shows the converse inclusion.

Corollary 5.6 shows that each $\mathcal{M}$ in Lat $T_{\Phi}$ contains an invariant subspace $z^{N} H^{2}+w^{N} H^{2}$ for some natural number $N$.

Corollary 5.6. Let $\Phi=H^{\infty} \cup\{\bar{z} w, z \bar{w}\}$. If $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat} T_{\Phi}$, then there exists some natural number $N$ such that

$$
\mathcal{M} \supset z^{N} H^{2}+w^{N} H^{2}
$$

Proof. By (2) of Theorem 5.5, there exists some natural number $N$ such that

$$
\mathcal{M}=\sum_{j=0}^{N} z^{j} w^{N-j} H^{2}
$$
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Then we obtain

$$
z^{N} H^{2}+w^{N} H^{2} \subset \sum_{j=0}^{N} z^{j} w^{N-j} H^{2}=\mathcal{M}
$$

Hence the statement is clear.
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