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#### Abstract

The Ando-Hiai inequality says that if $A \#_{\alpha} B \leq 1$ for a fixed $\alpha \in[0,1]$ and positive invertible operators $A, B$ on a Hilbert space, then $A^{r} \#{ }_{\alpha} B^{r} \leq 1$ for $r \geq 1$, where $\#_{\alpha}$ is the $\alpha$-geometric mean defined by $A \#_{\alpha} B=$ $A^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\alpha} A^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In this note, we generalize it as follows: If $A \natural_{\alpha} B \leq 1$ for a fixed $\alpha \in[-1,0]$ and positive invertible operators $A, B$ on a Hilbert space, then $A^{r} \#_{\beta} B^{s} \leq 1$ for $r \in[0,1]$ and $s \in\left[\frac{-2 \alpha r}{-\alpha}, 1\right]$, where $\beta=\frac{\alpha r}{\alpha r+(1-\alpha) s}$ and $A \natural_{\alpha} B=A^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\alpha} A^{\frac{1}{2}}$. As an application, we pose operator inequalities of type of Furuta inequality and grand Furuta inequality. For instance, if $A \geq B>0$, then $A^{-r} \underline{\bigsqcup}_{\frac{1+r}{p+r}} B^{p} \leq A$ holds for $p \leq-1$ and $r \in[-1,0]$, where $A \natural_{\alpha} B=A^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\alpha} A^{\frac{1}{2}}$.


1 Introduction Throughout this note, an operator $A$ means a bounded linear operator acting on a complex Hilbert space $H$. An operator $A$ is positive, denoted by $A \geq 0$, if $(A x, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$. We denote $A>0$ if $A$ is positive and invertible. The $\alpha$-geometric mean $\#_{\alpha}$ is defined by $A \#_{\alpha} B=A^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\alpha} A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $A>0$ and $B \geq 0$.
A log-majorization theorem due to Ando-Hiai [1] is expressed as follows: For $\alpha \in$ $[0,1]$ and positive definite matrices $A$ and $B$,

$$
\left(A \#_{\alpha} B\right)^{r} \succ(\log ) A^{r} \#_{\alpha} B^{r} \quad(r \geq 1) .
$$

The core in the proof is that $A \#_{\alpha} B \leq 1$ implies $A^{r} \#_{\alpha} B^{r} \leq 1$ for $r \geq 1$. It holds for positive operators $A, B$ on a Hilbert space, and is called the Ando-Hiai inequality,
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simply (AH). Afterwards, it is generalized to two variable version: If $A \#{ }_{\alpha} B \leq 1$ for $\alpha \in[0,1]$ and positive operators $A, B$, then $A^{r} \#_{\beta} B^{s} \leq 1$ for $r, s \geq 1$, where $\beta=\frac{\alpha r}{\alpha r+(1-\alpha) s}$. It is known that both one-sided versions are equivalent, and that they are alterantive expressions of the Furuta inequality, see [4, 5].
A binary operation $\hbar_{\alpha}$ is defined by the same formula as the $\alpha$-geometric mean for $\alpha \notin[0,1]$, that is,

$$
A \natural_{\alpha} B=A^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\alpha} A^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text { for } A, B>0 .
$$

Very recently (AH) is extended by Seo [17] and [13] as follows: For $\alpha \in[-1,0]$, $A \natural_{\alpha} B \leq 1$ for $A, B>0$ implies $A^{r} \natural_{\alpha} B^{r} \leq 1$ for $r \in[0,1]$.
In this note, we present two variable version of it, presicely we show that if $A \natural_{\alpha} B \leq 1$ for $\alpha \in[-1,0]$ and positive invertible operators $A, B$, then $A^{r}{ }_{{ }_{\beta}} B^{s} \leq 1$ for $r \in$ $[0,1]$ and $s \in\left[\frac{-2 \alpha r}{1-\alpha}, 1\right]$, where $\beta=\frac{\alpha r}{\alpha r+(1-\alpha) s}$. As an application, we pose operator inequalities of type of Furuta inequality and grand Furuta inequality.

## 2 Extensions of (AH) with negative power

In the beginning of this section, we mention the following useful identity on the binary operation t: For $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and positive invertible operators $X$ and $Y$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \natural_{\beta} Y=X\left(X^{-1} \natural_{-\beta} Y^{-1}\right) X \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. If $A \natural_{\alpha} B \leq 1$ for $\alpha \in[-1,0]$ and positive invertible operators $A$ and $B$, then $A^{r} \bigsqcup_{\beta} B \leq 1$ for $r \in[0,1]$, where $\beta=\frac{\alpha r}{\alpha r+(1-\alpha)}$.

Proof. For convenience, we show that if $A^{-1} \natural_{\alpha} B \leq 1$, then $A^{-r} \natural_{\beta} B \leq 1$ for $r \in[0,1]$. Thus the assumption ensures that $C^{\alpha} \leq A$, where $C=A^{\frac{1}{2}} B A^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Note that $\beta \in[-1,0]$.
Now we first assume that $r=1-\epsilon \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$, i.e., $\epsilon \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\epsilon}{ }_{\beta} C & =A^{\epsilon}\left(A^{-\epsilon} \#_{-\beta} C^{-1}\right) A^{\epsilon} \\
& \leq A^{\epsilon}\left(C^{-\alpha \epsilon} \#_{-\beta} C^{-1}\right) A^{\epsilon} \\
& =A^{\epsilon} C^{\alpha(1-2 \epsilon)} A^{\epsilon} \\
& \leq A^{\epsilon} A^{1-2 \epsilon} A^{\epsilon}=A .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence it follows that

$$
A^{-r} \text { Ł }_{\beta} B=A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(A^{\epsilon} \bigsqcup_{\beta} C\right) A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq A^{-\frac{1}{2}} A A^{-\frac{1}{2}}=1 .
$$

In particular, we note that $A^{r} \natural_{\beta} B \leq 1$ for $r=\frac{1}{2}$, that is, $A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \natural_{\alpha_{1}} B \leq 1$ holds for $\alpha_{1}=\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}$. Hence it follows from the preceding paragragh that for $r \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$,

$$
1 \geq\left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{r} \natural_{\beta_{1}} B=A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \natural_{\beta_{1}} B,
$$

where $\beta_{1}=\frac{\alpha_{1} r}{\alpha_{1} r+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right)}=\frac{\alpha r / 2}{\alpha r / 2+(1-\alpha)}$. This means tht the desired inequality holds for $r \in\left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. Finally we have the conclusion by the induction.

Lemma 2.2. If $A \natural_{\alpha} B \leq 1$ for $\alpha \in[-1,0]$ and positive invertible operators $A$ and $B$, then $A \bigsqcup_{\beta} B^{s} \leq 1$ for $s \in\left[\frac{-2 \alpha}{1-\alpha}, 1\right]$, where $\beta=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+(1-\alpha) s}$.

Proof. For convenience, we show that if $A \natural_{\alpha} B^{-1} \leq 1$, then $A \natural_{\beta} B^{-s} \leq 1$ for $s \in$ $\left[\frac{-2 \alpha}{1-\alpha}, 1\right]$. Thus the assumption is understood as $D^{1-\alpha} \leq B$, where $D=B^{\frac{1}{2}} A B^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We first note that $\beta \in[-1,0]$ by $s \in\left[\frac{-2 \alpha}{1-\alpha}, 1\right]$. So we put $s=1-\epsilon$ for some $\epsilon \in\left[0,1-\frac{-2 \alpha}{1-\alpha}\right]$. Then we have

$$
D \natural_{\beta} B^{\epsilon}=D\left(D^{-1} \#_{-\beta} B^{-\epsilon}\right) D \leq D\left(D^{-1} \#_{-\beta} D^{-\epsilon(1-\alpha)} D=D^{1-\alpha} \leq B,\right.
$$

so that

$$
A \bigsqcup_{\beta} B^{-s}=B^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(D \bigsqcup_{\beta} B^{\epsilon}\right) B^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq B^{-\frac{1}{2}} B B^{-\frac{1}{2}}=1 .
$$

Theorem 2.3. If $A \natural_{\alpha} B \leq 1$ for $\alpha \in[-1,0]$ and positive invertible operators $A$ and $B$, then $A^{r} \natural_{\beta} B^{s} \leq 1$ for $r \in[0,1]$ and $s \in\left[\frac{-2 \alpha r}{1-\alpha}, 1\right]$, where $\beta=\frac{\alpha r}{\alpha r+(1-\alpha) s}$.

Proof. Suppose that $A \natural_{\alpha} B \leq 1$. Then Lemma 2.1 says that $A^{r} \natural_{\gamma} B \leq 1$ for $r \in[0,1]$, where $\gamma=\frac{\alpha r}{\alpha r+(1-\alpha)}$. Next we apply Lemma 2.2 to this obtained inequality. Then we have

$$
1 \geq A^{r} \square_{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+(1-\gamma) s}} B^{s}=A^{r} \square_{\frac{\alpha r}{\alpha r+(1-\alpha) s}} B^{s}
$$

for $s \in\left[\frac{-2 \gamma}{1-\gamma}, 1\right]=\left[\frac{-2 \alpha r}{1-\alpha}, 1\right]$.
As a special case $s=r$ in the above, we obtain Seo's original extension of (AH) because $\beta=\alpha$ (by $s=r$ ) and $r \in\left[\frac{-2 \alpha r}{1-\alpha}, 1\right]$.

Corollary 2.4. If $A \natural_{\alpha} B \leq 1$ for $\alpha \in[-1,0]$ and positive invertible operators $A$ and $B$, then $A^{r} \bigsqcup_{\beta} B^{r} \leq 1$ for $r \in[0,1]$.

Remark 2.5. We here consider the condition $s \in\left[\frac{-2 \alpha}{1-\alpha}, 1\right]$ in Lemma 2.2. In particular, take $\alpha=-1$. Then the assumption $A \natural_{\alpha} B \leq 1$ means that $B \geq A^{2}$, and $\beta=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+(1-\alpha) s}=\frac{1}{1-2 s}$. Though $s=1$ in this case by $s \in\left[\frac{-2 \alpha}{1-\alpha}, 1\right]$, the inequality in Lemma 2.2 still holds for $s \in\left[\frac{3}{4}, 1\right]$. We use the formula $X \natural_{\gamma} Y=Y \natural_{1-\gamma} X=$ $Y\left(Y^{-1} \dagger_{\gamma-1} X^{-1}\right) Y$. Note that $-\beta \in[1,2]$. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \bigsqcup_{\beta} B^{s} & =A\left(A^{-1} \natural_{\beta} B^{-s}\right) A=A B^{-s}\left(B^{s} \#_{\beta-1} A\right) B^{-s} A \\
& \leq A B^{-s}\left(B^{s} \#_{-\beta-1} B^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) B^{-s} A=A B^{-1} A \leq A A^{-2} A=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, it is false for $s \in\left[0, \frac{1}{4}\right]$. Note that $\beta=\frac{1}{1-2 s} \in[1,2]$. Suppose to the contrary that $A \natural_{\beta} B^{s} \leq 1$ holds under the assumption $B \geq A^{2}$. Then it follows that $1 \leq A \bigsqcup_{\beta} B^{s}=B^{s}\left(B^{-s} \#_{\beta-1} A^{-1}\right) B^{s}$ and so

$$
B^{-2 s} \geq B^{-s} \#_{\beta-1} A^{-1} \geq B^{-s} \#_{\beta-1} B^{-\frac{1}{2}}=B^{-2 s}
$$

so that $B=A^{2}$ follows, which is imposible in general.

3 Operator inequalities of Furuta type In this section, we discuss representations of Furuta type associtated with extensions of Ando-Hiai inequality obtained in the preceding section. For convenience for readers, we cite the Furuta inequality which is a remarkable and amazing extension of Löwner-Heinz inequality (LH) in [?], [?] and [?], i.e., if $A \geq B \geq 0$, then $A^{\alpha} \geq B^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in[0,1]$.

## Furuta Inequality (FI)

If $A \geq B \geq 0$, then for each $r \geq 0$,
(i) $\quad\left(B^{\frac{r}{2}} A^{p} B^{\frac{r}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq\left(B^{\frac{r}{2}} B^{p} B^{\frac{r}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$
and
(ii) $\quad\left(A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^{p} A^{\frac{r}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq\left(A^{\frac{r}{2}} A^{p} A^{\frac{r}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$
hold for $p \geq 0$ and $q \geq 1$ with $(1+r) q \geq$
 $p+r$.
Related to Furuta inequality, see [2], [3], [6], [8], [9] and [18].

Especially the optimal case $(1+r) q=p+r$ is the most important, which is realized as a beautiful formula by the use of the $\alpha$-geometric mean:

If $A \geq B \geq 0$, then for each $r \geq 0$

$$
A^{-r} \#_{\frac{1+r}{p+r}} B^{p} \leq A
$$

holds for $p \geq 1$.
More precisely, the conclusion in above is improved by

$$
A^{-r} \#_{\frac{1+r}{p+r}} B^{p} \leq B(\leq A)
$$

holds for $p \geq 1$, due to Kamei [12].
The following inequality is led by Lemma 2.1.
Theorem 3.1. If $A \geq B>0$, then

$$
A^{-r} \mathfrak{q}_{\frac{1+r}{p+r}} B^{p} \leq A
$$

holds for $p \leq-1$ and $r \in[-1,0]$.
Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, it says that if $A^{-1} \natural_{\alpha} B \leq 1$, then $A^{-r} \natural_{\beta} B \leq 1$ for $r \in[0,1]$, where $\beta=\frac{\alpha r}{\alpha r+(1-\alpha)}$. Thus the assumption is that $C^{\alpha} \leq A$, where $C=A^{\frac{1}{2}} B A^{\frac{1}{2}}$. So we put $B_{1}=C^{\alpha} \leq A$, and moreover $p=\frac{1}{\alpha}, r_{1}=r-1$. Then $p \leq-1$ and $r_{1} \in[-1,0]$ and $\beta=\frac{1+r_{1}}{p+r_{1}}$. Moreover the conclusion is rephrased as

$$
A^{-r+1} \bigsqcup_{\beta} C \leq A, \text { or } A^{-r_{1}} \dot{\varphi}_{\frac{1+r_{1}}{p+r_{1}}} B_{1}^{p} \leq A .
$$

Now the Furuta inequality was generalized to so-called "grand Furuta inequality" by the appearence of Ando-Hiai inequality, which is due to Furuta [10], see also [5] and [6].

Grand Furuta inequality (GFI) If $A \geq B>0$ and $t \in[0,1]$, then

$$
\left[A^{\frac{r}{2}}\left(A^{-\frac{t}{2}} B^{p} A^{-\frac{t}{2}}\right)^{s} A^{\frac{r}{2}}\right]^{\frac{1-t+r}{p-t) s+r}} \leq A^{1-t+r}
$$

holds for $r \geq t$ and $p, s \geq 1$.
As a matter of fact, (GFI) interpolates (FI) with (AH), presicely

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathrm{GFI}) \text { for } t=1, r=s \Longleftrightarrow(\mathrm{AH}) \\
& (\mathrm{GFI}) \text { for } t=0,(s=1) \Longleftrightarrow(\mathrm{FI})
\end{aligned}
$$

As well as (FI), (GFI) has also mean theoretic expression as follows:
If $A \geq B>0$ and $t \in[0,1]$, then

$$
A^{-r+t} \#_{\frac{1-t+r}{(p-t) s+r}}\left(A^{t} \#_{s} B^{p}\right) \leq A
$$

holds for $r \geq t$ and $p, s \geq 1$.
In succession with the above discussion, Theorem 2.3 gives us the following inequality of (GFI)-type.

Theorem 3.2. If $A \geq B>0$, then

$$
A^{-r+1} \varphi_{\frac{r}{r+(p-1) s}}\left(A \#{ }_{s} B^{p}\right) \leq A
$$

holds for $p \leq-1, r \in[0,1]$ and $s \in\left[\frac{2 r}{p-1}, 1\right]$.
Proof. Theorem 2.3 says that if $A^{-1} \mathfrak{\natural}_{\alpha} B \leq 1$, then $A^{-r} \natural_{\beta} B^{s} \leq 1$ for $r \in[0,1]$ and $s \in\left[\frac{-2 \alpha r}{1-\alpha}, 1\right]$, where $\beta=\frac{\alpha r}{\alpha r+(1-\alpha) s}$. So the assumption is that $B_{1}=C^{\alpha} \leq A$, where $C=A^{\frac{1}{2}} B A^{\frac{1}{2}}$. On the other hand, the conclusion is that, putting $\alpha=\frac{1}{p}$,

$$
1 \geq A^{-r} \square_{\frac{\alpha r}{\alpha r+(1-\alpha) s}} B^{s}=A^{-r} \square_{\frac{r}{r+(p-1) s}}\left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B_{1}{ }^{p} A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{s}
$$

or equivalently

$$
A \geq A^{-r+1} \dot{\square}_{\frac{r}{r+(p-1) s}}\left(A \#_{s} B_{1}{ }^{p}\right) .
$$

Furthermore, from the viewpoint of (GFI), the following generalization is expected:
Conjecture 3.3. If $A \geq B>0$ and $t \in[0,1]$, then

$$
A^{-r+t} \mathfrak{q}_{\frac{1-t+r}{r+(p-t) s}}\left(A^{t} \#_{s} B^{p}\right) \leq A
$$

holds for $p \leq-1, r \in[0, t]$ and $s \in\left[\frac{-2 r}{p-t}, 1\right]$.
At present, we can prove it under a restriction:

Theorem 3.4. If $A \geq B>0$ and $t \in[0,1]$, then

$$
A^{-r+t} দ_{\frac{1-t+r}{r+(p-t) s}}\left(A^{t} \#_{s} B^{p}\right) \leq A
$$

holds for $p \leq-1, r \in[0, t]$ and $s \in\left[\max \left\{\frac{-t}{p-t}, \frac{-2 r-(1-t)}{p-t}\right\}, 1\right]$.
Proof. First of all, we note that $-1 \leq \frac{1-t+r}{r+(p-t) s} \leq 0$. Hence we have

$$
A^{r-t} \#_{\frac{-(1-t+r)}{r+(p-t) s}}\left(A^{-t} \#_{s} B^{-p}\right) \leq A^{r-t} \#_{\frac{-(1-t+r)}{r+(p-t) s}} B^{-(p-t) s-t} \leq A^{2(r-t)+1}
$$

The second inequality in above is shown as follows: The exponent $-(p-t) s-t$ of $B$ is nonnegative by $\frac{-t}{p-t} \leq s$. Thus, if $-(p-t) s-t \leq 1$, the second inequality holds. On the other hand, if $-(p-t) s-t \geq 1$, then the Furuta inequality assures that

$$
\left(A^{\frac{t-r}{2}} B^{(-p+t) s-t} A^{\frac{t-r}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1-t+r}{(-p+t) s-r}} \leq A^{1-t+r}
$$

or equivalently

$$
A^{r-t} \#_{\frac{1-t+r}{(-p+t) s-r}} B^{(-p+t) s-t} \leq A^{2(r-t)+1}
$$

Hence, noting that $X \natural_{-q} Y=X\left(X^{-1} \natural_{q} Y^{-1}\right) X$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{-r+t} \square_{\frac{1-t+r}{r+(p-t) s}}\left(A^{t} \#_{s} B^{p}\right) & =A^{-r+t}\left\{A^{r-t} \#_{\frac{-(1-t+r)}{r+(p-t) s}}\left(A^{-t} \#_{s} B^{-p}\right)\right\} A^{-r+t} \\
& \leq A^{-r+t} A^{2(r-t)+1} A^{-r+t}=A .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. On $\gamma=\max \left\{\frac{-t}{p-t}, \frac{-2 r-(1-t)}{p-t}\right\}$ in the statement, $\gamma=\frac{-2 r-(1-t)}{p-t}$ is equivalent to the condition $t-r \leq \frac{1}{2}$, which appears in Theorem 3.4.

The following two theorems show that Theorem 3.4 is true at the critical points $s=\frac{-t}{p-t}, \frac{-2 r-(1-t)}{p-t}$.

Theorem 3.5. If $A \geq B>0$ and $t \in[0,1]$, then

$$
A^{-r+t} দ_{\frac{1-t+r}{r+(p-t) s}}\left(A^{t} \#_{s} B^{p}\right) \leq A
$$

holds for $p \leq-1, r \in[0, t]$ and $s=\frac{-2 r-(1-t)}{p-t}$.
Proof. First of all, we note that $\frac{1-t+r}{r+(p-t) s}=-1$ and $X \natural_{-1} Y=X Y^{-1} X$. Therefore the conclusion is arranged as

$$
A^{-r+t} \text { দ-1 }\left(A^{t} \#_{s} B^{p}\right) \leq A,
$$

$$
A^{-r+t}\left(A^{-t} \#_{s} B^{-p}\right) A^{-r+t} \leq A
$$

and so

$$
A^{-t} \#_{s} B^{-p} \leq A^{1+2 r-2 t} . \quad(*)
$$

To prove this, we recall the Furuta inequality, i.e., if $A \geq B \geq 0$, then

$$
\left(A^{\frac{t}{2}} B^{P} A^{\frac{t}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq A^{\frac{P+t}{q}}
$$

holds for $t, P \geq 0$ and $q \geq 1$ with $(1+t) q \geq P+t$. Taking $P=-p$ and $q=\frac{1}{s}$, the required condition $(1+t) q \geq P+t$ is enjoyed and we obtain

$$
\left(A^{\frac{t}{2}} B^{-p} A^{\frac{t}{2}}\right)^{s} \leq A^{1+2 r-t}
$$

which is equivalent to $\left({ }^{*}\right)$.
In succession to Theorem 3.5, the other case $s=\frac{-t}{p-t}$ can be proved:

Theorem 3.6. If $A \geq B>0$ and $t \in[0,1]$, then

$$
A^{-r+t} \emptyset_{\frac{1-t+r}{r+(p-t) s}}\left(A^{t} \#_{s} B^{p}\right) \leq A
$$

holds for $p \leq-1, r \in[0, t]$ and $s=\frac{-t}{p-t}$.
Since we have only to consider the case $\frac{-t}{p-t}<\frac{-2 r-(1-t)}{p-t}$ by the above theorems, that is, $0 \leq t-r<\frac{1}{2}$ can be assumed as cited in Remark of Theorem 3.4, we have

$$
\frac{1-t+r}{r+(p-t) s}=1-\frac{1}{t-r}<-1
$$

As a special case, we take $t=\frac{2}{3}, r=\frac{1}{3}$ and $p=-2$. Then $s=\frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1-t+r}{r+(p-t) s}=-2$. Hence the statement in this case is arranged as follows:
If $A \geq B>0$, then

$$
A^{\frac{1}{3}} \mathfrak{\natural}_{-2}\left(A^{\frac{2}{3}} \#_{\frac{1}{4}} B^{-2}\right) \leq A
$$

holds? It is proved by using Furuta inequality twice: First of all, since $A \geq B>0$, (FI) ensures that

$$
\left(A^{\frac{1}{3}} B^{2} A^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)^{\frac{5}{8}} \leq A^{\frac{5}{3}}
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\frac{1}{3}} \natural_{-2}\left(A^{\frac{2}{3}} \#_{\frac{1}{4}} B^{-2}\right) & =A^{\frac{1}{6}}\left(A^{-\frac{1}{6}}\left(A^{\frac{2}{3}} \#_{\frac{1}{4}} B^{-2}\right) A^{-\frac{1}{6}}\right)^{-2} A^{\frac{1}{6}} \\
& =A^{\frac{1}{6}}\left(A^{\frac{1}{6}}\left(A^{-\frac{2}{3}} \#_{\frac{1}{4}} B^{2}\right) A^{\frac{1}{6}}\right)^{2} A^{\frac{1}{6}} \\
& =A^{\frac{1}{6}}\left(A^{-\frac{1}{3}} \#_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{6}} B^{2} A^{\frac{1}{6}}\right)^{2} A^{\frac{1}{6}} \\
& =A^{\frac{1}{6}}\left(A^{-\frac{1}{6}}\left(A^{\frac{1}{3}} B^{2} A^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} A^{-\frac{1}{6}}\right)^{2} A^{\frac{1}{6}} \\
& =\left(A^{\frac{1}{3}} B^{2} A^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} A^{-\frac{1}{3}}\left(A^{\frac{1}{3}} B^{2} A^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
& \leq\left(A^{\frac{1}{3}} B^{2} A^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{8}} \\
& \leq\left(A^{\frac{1}{3}} B^{2} A^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)^{\frac{3}{8}} \\
& \leq A,
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.

To prove Theorem 3.6, we cite a lemma obtained by the Furuta inequality.
Lemma 3.7. If $A \geq B>0, t \geq 0$ and $p \leq-1$, then

$$
\left(A^{\frac{t}{2}} B^{-p} A^{\frac{t}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1+t}{p+t}} \leq A^{1+t}
$$

in particular, $\left(A^{\frac{t}{2}} B^{-p} A^{\frac{t}{2}}\right)^{s} \leq A^{t}$ holds for $s=\frac{t}{-p+t}$.
To show Theorem 3.6, we reformulate it as follows:
Theorem 3.8. If $A \geq B>0, t \geq \frac{c-1}{c+1}$ for some $c \geq 2,1 \geq t>r \geq 0$ with $t-r=\frac{1}{c+1}$ and $p \leq-1$, then

$$
A^{\frac{1}{c+1}} \natural_{-c}\left(A^{t} \#_{s} B^{p}\right) \leq A
$$

holds for $s=\frac{t}{-p+t}$.
Proof. Put $\alpha=t-r$. Then $\alpha=\frac{1}{c+1}<\frac{1}{2}, c=\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}$ and the assumption $t \geq \frac{c-1}{c+1}$ means $\alpha(c-1) \leq t$, which plays a role when we use the Löwner-Heinz inequality in the below. We put $X=A^{\frac{t}{2}} B^{-p} A^{\frac{t}{2}}$ and $Y=A^{-\frac{r}{2}} X^{s} A^{-\frac{r}{2}}$. Then $A^{\frac{1}{c+1}} \natural_{-c}\left(A^{t} \#{ }_{s} B^{p}\right)=$ $A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} Y^{c} A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$, and $X^{\frac{s}{t}}=X^{\frac{1}{-p+t}} \leq A$, in particular, $X^{s} \leq A^{t}$ and $X^{\frac{s t^{\prime}}{t}} \leq A^{t^{\prime}}$ for $0 \leq t^{\prime} \leq 1+t$ by Lemma 3.7.
(1) First we suppose that $2 n \leq c<2 n+1$ for some $n$, i.e., $c=2 n+\epsilon$ for some $\epsilon \in[0,1)$. Since $\alpha(c-2) \leq t-\alpha=r$ by $\alpha(c-1) \leq t$, we have $\alpha \epsilon \leq \alpha(2(n-1)+\epsilon)=$ $\alpha(c-2) \leq r$ and so

$$
-1 \leq \frac{\alpha \epsilon-r}{t} \leq \frac{\alpha(2(n-k)+\epsilon)-r}{t} \leq 0
$$

for $k=1,2, \cdots, n$. Noting that $0 \leq 2 s+[\alpha(2(n-1)+\epsilon)-r]_{\bar{t}} \leq \frac{1+t}{-p+t}$ by $\frac{c-1}{c+1} \leq 1$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y^{c} & =Y^{n} Y^{\epsilon} Y^{n}=Y^{n}\left(A^{-\frac{r}{2}} X^{s} A^{-\frac{r}{2}}\right)^{\epsilon} Y^{n} \\
& \leq Y^{n}\left(A^{-\frac{r}{2}} A^{t} A^{-\frac{r}{2}}\right)^{\epsilon} Y^{n}=Y^{n} A^{\alpha \epsilon} Y^{n} \quad \text { by } X^{s} \leq A^{t} \text { and }(\mathrm{LH}) \\
& =Y^{n-1} A^{-\frac{r}{2}} X^{s} A^{\alpha \epsilon-r} X^{s} A^{-\frac{r}{2}} Y^{n-1} \\
& \leq Y^{n-1} A^{-\frac{r}{2}} X^{2 s+(\alpha \epsilon-r) \frac{s}{t}} A^{-\frac{r}{2}} Y^{n-1} \quad \text { by } X^{s} \leq A^{t}, \frac{\alpha \epsilon-r}{t} \in[-1,0] \\
& \leq Y^{n-1} A^{2 t+\alpha \epsilon-2 r} Y^{n-1} \quad \text { by putting } t^{\prime}=2 t+\alpha \epsilon-r \leq 1+t \\
& =Y^{n-1} A^{\alpha(2+\epsilon)} Y^{n-1} \\
& \leq Y^{n-2} A^{\alpha(4+\epsilon)} Y^{n-2} \\
& \cdots \\
& \leq Y A^{\alpha(2(n-1)+\epsilon)} Y \\
& \leq A^{\alpha(2 n+\epsilon)} \\
& =A^{\alpha c} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
A^{\frac{1}{c+1}} \natural_{-c}\left(A^{t} \#_{s} B^{p}\right)=A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} Y^{c} A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq A^{\alpha c+\alpha}=A,
$$

as desired.
(2) Next we suppose that $2 n+1 \leq c<2 n+2$ for some $n$, i.e., $c=2 n+1+\epsilon$ for some $\epsilon \in[0,1)$. For this case, we prepare the inequality

$$
Y^{1+\epsilon} \leq A^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)} .
$$

It is proved as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y^{1+\epsilon} & =\left(A^{-\frac{r}{2}} X^{s} A^{-\frac{r}{2}}\right)^{1+\epsilon} \\
& =A^{-\frac{r}{2}} X^{\frac{s}{2}}\left(X^{\frac{s}{2}} A^{-r} X^{\frac{s}{2}}\right)^{\epsilon} X^{\frac{s}{2}} A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \\
& \leq A^{-\frac{r}{2}} X^{\frac{s}{2}}\left(X^{\frac{s}{2}} X^{-\frac{s r}{t}} X^{\frac{s}{2}}\right)^{\epsilon} X^{\frac{s}{2}} A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \\
& =A^{-\frac{r}{2}} X^{s+\left(s-\frac{s r}{t}\right) \epsilon} A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \\
& \leq A^{-\frac{r}{2}} A^{t+\alpha \epsilon} A^{-\frac{r}{2}}=A^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, if $n=0$, i.e., $c=1+\epsilon$, then

$$
A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} Y^{1+\epsilon} A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} A^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)} A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}=A^{\alpha(2+\epsilon)}=A
$$

Next, if $c=2 n+1+\epsilon$ for some $\epsilon \in[0,1)$ with $n \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y^{c} & =Y^{n} Y^{1+\epsilon} Y^{n} \leq Y^{n} A^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)} Y^{n} \\
& =Y^{n-1} A^{-\frac{r}{2}} X^{s} A^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)-r} X^{s} A^{-\frac{r}{2}} Y^{n-1} \\
& \leq Y^{n-1} A^{-\frac{r}{2}} X^{2 s+(\alpha(1+\epsilon)-r) \frac{s}{t}} A^{-\frac{r}{2}} Y^{n-1} \\
& \leq Y^{n-1} A^{2 t+\alpha(1+\epsilon)-2 r} Y^{n-1} \\
& =Y^{n-1} A^{\alpha(3+\epsilon)} Y^{n-1} \\
& \leq Y^{n-2} A^{\alpha(5+\epsilon)} Y^{n-2} \\
& \cdots \\
& \leq Y A^{\alpha(2(n-1)+1+\epsilon)} Y \\
& \leq A^{\alpha(2 n+1+\epsilon)}=A^{\alpha c},
\end{aligned}
$$

in which $(-1 \leq-r \leq) \alpha(2(n-1)+1+\epsilon)-r \leq 0$ is required in order to use the Löwner-Heinz inequality. (Fortunately it is assured by the assumption $t \geq \frac{c-1}{c+1}$.) Hence we have

$$
A^{\frac{1}{c+1}} \natural_{-c}\left(A^{t} \#{ }_{s} B^{p}\right)=A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} Y^{c} A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq A^{\alpha c+\alpha}=A,
$$

as desired.

4 Log-majorization In this section, we express operator inequalities obtained in Section 2 as log-majorization inequalities.

Theorem 4.1. For $\alpha \in[-1,0]$ and positive invertible operators $A$ and $B$,

$$
\left(A \natural_{\alpha} B\right)^{\frac{r r s}{\alpha r+(1-\alpha) s}} \succ_{(\log )} A^{r} \natural_{\beta} B^{s}
$$

holds for $r, s \in[0,1]$, where $\beta=\frac{\alpha r}{\alpha r+(1-\alpha) s}$.
Theorem 4.2. For $\alpha \in[-1,0]$ and positive invertible operators $A$ and $B$,

$$
\left(A \bigsqcup_{\alpha} B\right)^{\frac{(1-t+r) s}{\alpha r+(1-\alpha t) s}} \succ_{(\log )} A^{r} \natural_{\beta} B^{s}
$$

holds for $r, s \in[0,1]$, where $\beta=\frac{\alpha(1-t+r)}{\alpha r+(1-\alpha t) s}$.
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